


FRANKENSTEIN's James Whale

DISPLAY UNTIL NOV. 15, 1998

The Importance of Being Brnest Thesiger
Basil Rathbones Zacherley! Forry Ackerman!

REMEMBER THE ONE MOVIE THAT REALLY SCARED YOU?




TAKE HOME THE SPECIAL COLLECTOR'S TRIBUTE TO THE FILM THAT FRIGHTENED THE WORLD.

INCLUDES A NEW 30-MINUTE DOCUMENTARY FEATURING NEVER-BEFORE-SEEN FOOTAGE COMMEMORATING THE FILM'S 25TH ANNIVERSARY.



EACH VIDEO CONTAINS OVER \$150 WORTH OF WARNER BROS 75TH ANNIVERSARY COUPON VALUES.



ALSO AVAILABLE IN DELUXE COLLECTOR'S SET.

WARNER BBOS. PRESETS WILLIAM PETER BLATTY'S "FRE EXORCIST" INDUSTRIA OF WILLIAM FRIEDRIN ELLEN BURSTYN. MAX VON SYDOW LEE I. CORR KITTY WINN JACK MACGOWRAN JASON MILLER AS FAIRER KARDAS.

LINDA BLATTY BASED IN BS AND PROBLEM IN WILLIAM PETER BLATTY EXECUTIVE PRODUCE NOTEL MARSHALL SERERINAY IN WILLIAM PETER BLATTY BASED IN BS AND IN SERVICE.

OWN IT ON VIDEO!

PUBLISHER/EDITOR Richard Valley

MANAGING EDITOR Tom Amorosi

ADVERTISING INFORMATION (201) 445-0034/Fax (201) 445-1496

ART DIRECTOR John E. Payne

COPY EDITOR Alvin H. Marill

ASSOCIATE EDITOR Dan Clayton

SPECIAL CONTRIBUTOR Forrest J Ackerman

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION (201) 445-0034 / Fax (201) 445-1496

E-mail-reditor@aol.com

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS
John F. Black, David Stuart Davies,
Boze Hadleigh, Ken Hanke, John J.
Mathews (The News Hound), John
E. Payne, Brooke Perry, Ken Schactman, Richard Scrivani, Kevin G.
Shinnick, Michael Spampinato, Drew
Sullivan, Michael D. Walker

CONTRIBUTING ARTIST Mary Payne

RESEARCH CONSULTANTS John Brunas, Laser Joe Failla, Robert Milite

to to the

SPECIAL THANKS

American Movie Classics, Mary Amorosi, Clive Barker, John Brunas, Michael Brunas, Bill Condon, Arthur Dignam, Elite Entertainment Inc., Film Forum, Curtis Harrington, Image Entertainment, Sara Karloff, Garrett Lee, Lions Gate Releasing, Joe Moe, Jack Morrissey, Ann Palladino, Toni Palladino, Paramount Pictures, Pioneer Home Video, The Roan Group, Richard Scrivani, Seraphim Films, David J. Skal, Barbara Steinberg, Universal Pictures, Phyl Valley, Jeff Walker, Warner Bros., John Zacherle

to to to

Copyright @ 1998 by Scarlet Street, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. Scarlet Street® (ISSN 10588612) is published bimonthly for \$35 per year (\$6.95 for a single issue) by Scarlet Street, Inc., 247 Boulevard, Glen Rock, New Jersey 07452. Periodical postage paid at Ridgewood, New Jersey, and additional office. POSTMASTER: Address changes to Scarlet Street, P.O. Box 604, Glen Rock, NJ 07452. Scarlet Street assumes no responsibility for the authority of advertisers to sell transferred property. Products advertised and editorial views expressed are not necessarily endorsed by Scarlet Street. All rights to letters sent to Scarlet Street will be treated as unconditionally assigned to Scarlet Street for publication unless otherwise noted. Please send all correspondence to P.O. Box 604, Glen Rock, NJ 07452.



Features and Departments

- 4 Scarlet Letters
- 9 Frankly Scarlet
- 14 The News Hound
- 15 Body Heat on Compact Disc
- 16 Creatures in Your Cable Box
- 17 Boris & Bela: Back in Bizness!
- 19 Forrest J Ackerman's Crimson Chronicles
- 20 Screen and Screen Again
- 28 Monster Maker: Clive Barker
- 33 Monster Maker: Bill Condon
- 37 Curtis Harrington Remembers Gods, Monsters, and James Whale
- 40 The Importance of Being Ernest Thesiger
- 43 Arthur Dignam: The Man Who Was Ernest Thesiger
- 46 The Bride Came C.O.L.D.: Whale's Bride of Frankenstein
- 62 Our Man on Baker Street: Basil Rathbone Rediscovered
- 64 The Cool Ghoul at Eighty: Happy Birthday, Zacherley!
- 66 Book Ends
- 69 Whale Hunt: New James Whale Bios
- 74 Classified

COVER: Jack Betts as Boris Karloff, Ian McKellen as James Whale, and Rosalind Ayres as Elsa Lanchester in GODS AND MONSTERS (1998), Anne Darling and Boris Karloff in BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN (1935).

Scarlet Letters

Thanks for the great interview with Audrey Totter (Scarlet Street #29). I had a boyhood crush on Ms. Totter when I saw her in wartorn London in THE COCK-EYED MIRACLE (called MR. GRIGGS

RETURNS over there).

When in the early fifties I became an American International Pictures producer, I couldn't afford her in my 10-day black and white drive-in movies. Finally in 1958, after her marriage and some infrequent appearances, I managed to sign her for the co-lead with John Agar in JET ATTACK. She played a Russian doctor in Korea. Edward L. Cahn, who directed her in one of her first movies at MGM, MAIN STREET AFTER DARK, was our director.

For 10 whole days I had the pleasure of discussing every one of her movies with her and she was as delightful in person as she was on the screen. Don't miss her best performance, in MGM's TENSION, when it repeats on TCM.

Alex Gordon Studio City, CA

I wanted to drop a note in praise of Rick McKay's interview/profile of Lawrence Tierney (Issue #29), which set a

new high-water mark for Scarlet Street. A superb job all the way around. I find that I am also unable to resist responding to the unnamed gal letter-writer from the same issue, who took such umbrage at the mention of Humphrey Bogart's height, or lack thereof, citing it as a lapse in fact-checking. As any writer/researcher working with film subjects knows, gauging the true height of a movie star is very difficult (unless you've met them), since studio publicity flacks in the old days tended to lie like rugs. For the record, I've heard Bogie's height placed as low as 5'4" (perhaps not far from the truth, if one considers the final shot of CASABLANCA, in which Bogart and 5'5" Claude Rains disappear into the fog-they appear to be the same height.) As a rule of thumb, any time you see a Golden Age actor's height mentioned in a studio press release or pressbook, and even in many biographies, subtract two inches.

I would, however, like to point out a couple errors, or perhaps typos, in Kevin G. Shinnick's interview with Robert Wise. Shouldn't "Golden Studios" be Goldwyn Studios? And "MGM Border One," mentioned as the studio where THE HAUNTING was filmed, is MGM Boreham Wood.

Michael Mallory Glendale, CA

Aw, c'mon, Mike—you mean to say you've never heard of Golden Studios and MGM Border One? Never? Well . . . whh . . . neither have we. The error was ours, not Kevin's: when we scanned in the text of the interview, modern technology, like Dr. Bohmer, played us a trick. Then, after the errors were caught and corrected, modern technology played a second trick and mysteriously reverted to the uncorrected text. At least, we think it was modern technology. It certainly can't have been the guy at the computer....

Being the token Republican on the staff of Scarlet Street has its interesting moments. We always manage to keep our friendships regardless of our widely disparate personal views of the world, and while it has gotten a bit tense at times in the past (at least for me, the surrounded one), I like to think we've known each other long enough to remember we're all still friends, and opinions are just that; meant to be shared and discussed with a minimum of physical injury. I've always wondered how I'd react if you guys were brash enough to publish something that was clearly of-fensive to something I believed in strongly-would I have to quit? Ask to have my name removed from that issue? Send you a nasty letter? Get my mommy to beat up your mommies? Well, I fig-

WANTED: MORE READERS LIKE...



Zacherley



ured, screw it, it's all just opinions and in the end I have too good a time with everybody to go and get all pissed. Hence, rather than a nasty letter, this polite rebuttal to Richard's caption on the PLANET OF THE APES photo in issue #28, wherein the two apes with Chuck Heston were identified as members of the National Rifle Association. I know it was too hard to resist.

As I'm sure you guys know, I'm a member of the ape . . . uh, NRA, and I enjoy collecting and shooting firearms. It's always distressed me that the media (not just the one I work for) habitually misunderstand the NRA, and often seem to intentionally misrepresent the organization's policy or state it as the opposite of what it actually is. I am not a spokesperson for the Association's position, but I'd like to try to describe it as I under-

stand it from a member/gun collector/ hobbyist shooter's point of view. The National Rifle Association is this country's oldest civil rights organization. Their interest, as you can see by their title, is primarily in supporting and defending the second article of the Bill of Rights. While they're usually portrayed in the media and entertainment industry as, to paraphrase Woody Allen in SLEEPER, "an organization that takes guns away from private citizens and gives them to criminals," that is in fact the direct opposite of their stated cause. The goal of the NRA is to find ways to allow private citizens who do not break the law to own firearms for defense and recreation, while also finding ways to keep guns out of the hands of criminals, and punish those who use guns to hurt people. To this end, the NRA maintains civilian firearm safety training programs, civilian and police marksmanship training programs, and shooting competitions. The NRA's legislative action section proposes and backs legislation with harsh penalties for criminals who use guns, and urges stronger enforcement of existing penalties. They also fight any legislation perceived as infringing on the rights of the lawful private gun-owner that also does nothing to contain or prevent real crime. They have public education programs to inform people of the facts as they see them, and to teach children to stay the hell away from guns.

Continued on page 8

HALLOWEEN TREATS



The Collectors' Editions Now specially priced: \$14.99

Mommy & Mommy's Day Two thrillers from acclaimed writer/director Max Allan Collins starring the original "bad seed" Patty McCormack. #2155 - #2165

I, Mobster

Classic little film

noir from Roger

with the rise of a

through the ranks

young punk up

of the Mafia.

B&W/1958

Corman deals



Shouldn't Play With Dead Things! The cultfavorite from Benjamin "Bob" ("Porky's") Clark and Alan Ormsby, now digitally remastered from the 35mm nega-

tive, in widescreen, includes the theatrical trailer and uncut! Specially priced \$14.99 #8109




Jack The Ripper From prolific cult director Jesus Franco starring Klaus Kinski, in widescreen format. Bloody-gory! #8112 \$14.99


WIDESCREEN Adventures

Evil In the Deep

A pre-Charlie's Angels appearance by Cheryl Ladd, as she dives for sunken trea-



sure. Widescreen C/1974 \$14.99



Edge of the World Fantastic adventure from the mind of Jules Verne with an allstar cast -

Kirk Douglas, Yul Brenner, Samantha Eggar. Widescreen format. C/1971, #1095, \$14.99

#2154, \$19.99

The Creeper

#4715, \$19.99

GIFT BOX SPECIAL

Coming Soon HORROR HOTEL This extraordinarily good hor-

ror chiller starring Christopher Lee will stand up nicely to anything produced by Hammer. First time

format! A must for all horror fans, #8110 - \$14.99

ever on home video from beautifully restored 35mm materials and presented in the widescreen

The Creeper

Mad doc's


serum turns

creepy.

man into a cat-

like killer. Very

B&W/1948.



- 4 from the Master of Horror
- · Black Dragons
- · Corpse Vanishes
- · Death Wish
- · White Zombie
- interview with Vincent Price: The Bat.
- · House on Haunted Hill
- · Last Man on Earth
- · Shock

More cheap chills Regular \$14.99 Now...



THE KILLER SHREWS #2107



"IT" THE TERROR FROM OUTER SPACE #3029



BLACK SABBATH #2151

Call Toll Free 800-331-4077 Visa, Mastercard, Discover, American Express Liberty Home Video 11333 E. 60th Place Tulsa, OK 74146



HALLOWEEN TREATS



The Collectors' Editions Now specially priced: \$14.99

Mommy & Mommy's Day Two thrillers from acclaimed writer/director Max Allan Collins starring the original "bad seed" Patty McCormack. #2155 - #2165

I, Mobster

Classic little film

noir from Roger

with the rise of a

through the ranks

young punk up

of the Mafia.

B&W/1958

Corman deals



Shouldn't Play With Dead Things! The cultfavorite from Benjamin "Bob" ("Porky's") Clark and Alan Ormsby, now digitally remastered from the 35mm nega-

tive, in widescreen, includes the theatrical trailer and uncut! Specially priced \$14.99 #8109




Jack The Ripper From prolific cult director Jesus Franco starring Klaus Kinski, in widescreen format. Bloody-gory! #8112 \$14.99


WIDESCREEN Adventures

Evil In the Deep

A pre-Charlie's Angels appearance by Cheryl Ladd, as she dives for sunken trea-



sure. Widescreen C/1974 \$14.99



Edge of the World Fantastic adventure from the mind of Jules Verne with an allstar cast -

Kirk Douglas, Yul Brenner, Samantha Eggar. Widescreen format. C/1971, #1095, \$14.99

#2154, \$19.99

The Creeper

#4715, \$19.99

GIFT BOX SPECIAL

Coming Soon HORROR HOTEL This extraordinarily good hor-

ror chiller starring Christopher Lee will stand up nicely to anything produced by Hammer. First time

format! A must for all horror fans, #8110 - \$14.99

ever on home video from beautifully restored 35mm materials and presented in the widescreen

The Creeper

Mad doc's


serum turns

creepy.

man into a cat-

like killer. Very

B&W/1948.



- 4 from the Master of Horror
- · Black Dragons
- · Corpse Vanishes
- · Death Wish
- · White Zombie
- interview with Vincent Price: The Bat.
- · House on Haunted Hill
- · Last Man on Earth
- · Shock

More cheap chills Regular \$14.99 Now...



THE KILLER SHREWS #2107



"IT" THE TERROR FROM OUTER SPACE #3029



BLACK SABBATH #2151

Call Toll Free 800-331-4077 Visa, Mastercard, Discover, American Express Liberty Home Video 11333 E. 60th Place Tulsa, OK 74146



and don't forget these GHILLING back issues!



Campbell, Jeffrey Combs, Howard Duff, Lovecraft on Film, THE AD-VENTURES OF SHERLOCK HOLMES, and more!



#14: Barbara Shelley, Ann Blyth, Robert Bloch, PSYCHO, Jack Larson, Noel Nell, Edward Hard-wicke, John Waters, VILLAGE OF THE DAMNED, and more!



#15; Johnny Shelfeld, Acquanatta, Michael Ripper, Val Guest, THE SHADOW, TARZAN AND THE LEOPARD WOMAN, Hammer's Quatermass, and more!



#16: X-FILES, NIGHT STALKER, Darren McGavin, David Duchovny, Gillian Anderson, Jack Grinnage, INTERVIEW WITH THE VAMPIRE, ED WOOD, and more!



#17: Ann Doran, Dabbs Greer, #18: Michael Gough, BATMAN Herman Cohen, ITI THE TERROR, FOREVER, TALES FROM THE Jan Nurrey, WHO XILLED TEDDY HOOD, SPIDER-MAN, Harman BEAR?, Gary Conway, THE Cohen, BLACK ZOO, Stan Lee, THE SKULL, Jeremy Brett, more!





#19: A STUDY IN TERROR, John Neville, Bob Kane, BATMAN FOR-EVER, JUDGE DREDD, Brad Pitt, Joel Schumacher, Robert Quarry, William Marshall, and more!



#20: Deborah Kerr, Martin Stephens, THE INNOCENTS, Russ Tamblyn, THE HAUNTING. Jeromy Brett David Wayne, Eliza-both Hussell, and more!



#21: Jeremy Brett Tribute, Farley Granger, Pet Hitchcock, Hillary Brooke, REAR WINDOW, IN-VADERS FROM MARS, Raymond



X-FILES, Billy Zane, THE PHAN TOM, Jeremy Brett, Sci-Fi Serenade, THE MAD GHOUL, David Bruce, and more!



McCatia, Ray Harryhausen, Joe CHU, Sheldon Leonard, Marc Lara, TERROR IS A MAN, Lawrence, GODZILLA, Nicholas TARZAN: THE EPIC ADVEN-Lea, THE RELIC, THE LAND TURES, THEX-FILES, and more! UNKNOWN, and more!



#23: Christopher Atkins, Irish #24: THE MASK OF FU MANn, Joe CHU, Sheldon Leonard, Marc MAN, Lawrence, GODZILLA Nicholan



#25: Patricia Neal, Billy Gray, Robert Wise, DAY THE EARTH Robert Wise, DAY THE EARTH STOOD STILL, FLASH GOR-DON, BATMAN AND ROBIN, Johnny Duncan, and more!



#26: Roddy McDowall, David Manners, Stephen Geoffreys, FRIGHT NIGHT, DRACULA, FRANKENSTEIN, Sherlock Holmes on Radio, and morel



#27:Fay Wray, THE MOST DAN-GEROUS GAME, Robert Wise, WEHOUS GAME, Robert Wise, CURSE OF THE CAT PEOPLE, TITANIC, The Disney Music Yauft, and moral



#28:Gloria Stuart, TITANIC, Adrienne Corri, Ian Richardeon, CHARLIE CHAN, Keye Like, SOMEWHERE IN TIME, NO WAY TO TREAT A LADY, and more!



#29:Robert Wise, Audrey Totler, Lawrence Tierney, Film Noir Classics, MORE TALES Of the city, Ian Richardson, NO WAY TO TREAT A LADY, and more!



#30: Clive Barker, Bill Condon. GQDS AND MONSTERS, Zack-erley, Basil Rathbone, BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN, Ernest Thesiger, BODY HEAT, and morel

Send Check or Money Order Payable to Scarlet Street Inc., P.O. Box 604, Dept. 30, Glen Rock, NJ 07452 U.S. Sub. (6 Issues) \$35.00 Issue 18 \$10.00 Foreign Sub. (6 issues) \$42.00 Issue 19 \$10.00 NAME: Issue1 (Reprint) Issue 20 \$10.00 \$14.95 Issue2 (Reprint) \$25.00 Issue 21 \$10.00 Issue6 \$25.00 Issue 22 \$10.00 Issue7 \$10.00 \$10.00 Issue 23 ADDRESS: Issue8 \$10.00 Issue 24 \$10.00 \$10.00 Issue9 \$10.00 Issue 25 Issue10 \$10.00 Issue 26 \$10.00 Issue11 \$10.00 Issue 27 \$ 6.95 CITY: Issue12 \$10.00 Issue 28 \$ 6.95 Issue13 \$10.00 Issue 29 \$ 6.95 \$10.00 Issue 30 \$ 6.95 Issue14 STATE/ZIP: Subtotal Issue15 \$10.00 Postage Issue16 \$ 10.00[\$ 10.00[Total

U.S. single-issue orders, please add \$2.00 for shipping and Issue17 handling, and \$1.50 each additional copy. Canada, \$4.00 per copy (1st class). Foreign, \$6.00 per copy (air mail). Please allow 6 to 8 weeks for delivery.

Prices guaranteed through Nov. 15, 1998

PRESENTING THE FINEST IN HOME VIDEOS



Louis Feuillade's mystery-horror classic. Available for the first time!

Four tape collectors boxed set: S99.95



Painstakingly restored with fullcolor tinting, fresh title cards and digital re-speeding. Newly scored with full orchestration by Robert Israel, this 4 tape boxed collectors set is a must for any fancier of classic, hard to find silent masterpieces!



"Spirits of the Dead." (Histoires

Extraordinaires) Three grotesque and macabre adaptations of Edgar Allen Poe tales. Directed by Roger Vadim, Louis Malle and Federico Fellini. Staring Jane & Peter Fonda, Alain Delon, Brigitte Bardot and Terence Stamp. This is the only entire, unedited, European version available on video in the United States.

(French & Italian with English Subtitles)

ONLY \$29.95

Money Order Please enclose orders

Christopher Lee is at: it again in the tongue

and cheek "Dracula,

lust, that is until both

the same woman!

Father & Son."

and sires a child.

Turns out that the ingrate has no blood

father and son find themselves in love with

1976/Dubbed

Dracula falls in love

We accept Visa/MC/Check or \$6.00 s/h for all

Just \$29.95

Water Bearer Films, 48 West 21st Street, Suite 301. New York, NY 10010 (212) 242-8686/(800) 551-8304 www.waterbearer.com

SCARLET LETTERS Continued from page 4

Imagine how Scarlet Street's readers (and staff members) would feel if a political force decided that horror films were the cause of violent crime in the country. It's not that far-fetched, is it? People who don't understand us fans are always screaming that violent movies cause violent crime. We know it's bull, but we can't convince them because they don't understand. Imagine politically-backed organizations running TV and print ad campaigns against horror films. Imagine local, state, and federal bodies creating 25,000 laws nationwide to ban or control horror films. That's how many gun laws there are, and we have a constitutional amendment on our side just like you do! Now imagine how Scarlet Street's gay readers (and staff members) would feel if a political force decided that homosexuality was evil and needed to be legislated out of existence. Oh. Wait. You already know. Okay, so now we know how each other feels. The NRA is doing its best to do what a lot of people feel is right (and I don't mean that as opposed to "left").

I always thought it was highly unfair that practitioners of the first amendment may use it to slander the second amendment, while practitioners of the second would never dream of using it to, um, remind practitioners of the first of their responsibility to the truth. Well, we can dream, can't we?

John E. Payne Oakland, NJ

Did I mention that I loved the caption for the PLANET OF THE APES still in my last RECORD RACK column? According to recent headlines, it's more timely

than ever. Ross Care Ojai, CA

It's no good making fun of the NRA pres. Even if you took his guns away, Heston could hit you over the head with one of his performances.

Victor Bassett Miami, FL

540

Does this magazine have an agenda or what? I am continually shocked shocked shocked at the homoerotic content of your publication. As I was telling my much younger, live-in male roommate the other day, "These people are obsessed with the gay subtext of absolutely every movie they see." My friend, once he was finished working on his abs, read through the magazine, or at least the captions, and could not help but agree with me. "Look at what Audrey Totter is wearing in this still. It's simply hideous! It makes her look so-o-o fat!" he exclaimed. Needless to

say, the point is this: next you'll be telling your readers that Franklin Pangborn was gay!

By the way, is your Chris Atkins issue still available?

Actually, in all seriousness, I am about halfway through the new issue and I must say that the Lawrence Tierney interview is just about the best thing I've ever read. Fascinating! Or as Judy Holliday would say: "Soo-poib."

You've probably already gotten several E-mails from the rabid Bond fanatics, but I thought I'd point out to your CALL ME BWANA reviewer, John F. Black, the other James Bond connection he forgot to mention. In FROM RUSSIA WITH LOVE, the billboard for CALL ME BWANA figures prominently in a key sequence: Pedro Armendariz has to shoot a Russian assassin who is trying to escape from the building behind the sign by climbing down a rope from Anita Ekberg's mouth!

Barry Monush Metuchen, NJ

All these many years of being a fan, and only now do I finally learn all about the great Warner Oland, or as I like to call him, "Chuckie Chan." (Scarlet Street #28)

For years I've been frustrated by the fact that CHARLIE CHAN IN LON-

Continued on page 10

Frankly Scarlet

kay, okay, so he did make my acting partner, Chris Santy, and me play a scene from DEATH OF A SALESMAN in our jockey shorts, but I've always been very fond of the late, great William Hickey, not the least because he thought I was a pretty good actor. (Besides, I had a size 28 waist in those days-painfully thin, according to a short-time companion-and I didn't mind doing a Gypsy Rose Lee, not even for a play whose most famous line is "Attention must be paid.") Actually, now that I think of it, Chris and I began the scene fully dressed. However, during the course of the action, in which we played Willie Loman's worshipful sons Biff and Happy, we gradually doffed shoes, socks, shirts, and pants, then proceeded to put on each other's clothes. I've no idea why Bill Hickey thought this was a good acting exercise (other than the obvious one: that Bill wanted to see us in our undies), but I still remember the future Oscar nominee telling me, apropos my performance, "You know you can do it, so get out there and do it."

Well, I knew I could do it, sorta, but I never did get around to doing it "out there." Out there meant auditions, and auditioning requires a certain kind of nerve that eludes me. It was so much easier, I discovered, to write plays and send them out into the world to audition for me. If they were rejected, I wasn't there dripping sweat. If they "got the job" (and a few did), then all the better . . .

So it naturally followed that I made my motion picture debut by proxy, when Scarlet Street: The Magazine of Mystery and Horror flashed across the screen in Fred Olen Ray's AT-TACK OF THE 60 FOOT CENTER-FOLD (1995). And it followed again that, when the request came in from associate producer Kacy Andrews at Dancing Productions, Inc., it wasn't for me to join a cast that already included Sean Connery, Gillian Anderson, Ellen Burstyn, Anthony Edwards, Dennis Quaid, Gena Rowlands, Jon Stewart, Madeleine Stowe, and the currently hotter than hot Ryan Philippe (pictured here in last year's fright flick I KNOW WHAT YOU DID LAST SUMMER), it was

for <u>Scarlet Street</u> to make a cameo appearance in a picture called DANCING ABOUT ARCHITECTURE,

Well, now, I can't lend Scarlet Street's name to just any enterprise, you understand, so after giving the matter serious consideration for, oh, one or two seconds, I quickly consented. Then I began to wonder: why Scarlet Street? I got back in touch with Kacy, explained that we were all very flattered (which, indeed, we were), and asked why Scarlet Street of all mags had been chosen for this singular honor. The cheery reply came not from Kacy, but from the film's writer and director, Willard Carroll: "Because I love Scarlet Street! Is that a good enough reason?"

Good enough for me!

According to the brief synopsis we received shortly thereafter, DANCING ABOUT ARCHITECTURE is "a multi-



generational exploration of love." Well, so's Scarlet Street, except that we toss in a few monsters and murders for good measure. So look for us in a bookstore scene, being read by a chap named Bosco, when Miramax Films distributes DANCING ABOUT ARCHITECTURE in the future... where all of us will be spending the rest of our lives.

Speaking of our sparkling subheading The Magazine of Mystery and Horror, I'd quite forgotten that it stems from what many consider the very best horror movie of all time: Universal's BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN (1935). In the film's prologue, when Lord Byron (Gavin Gordon) entreats Mary Shelley (Elsa Lanchester) to elaborate on the story that was FRANKENSTEIN (1931), the fragile beauty replies:

I feel like telling it. It's a perfect night for mystery and horror. The air itself is filled with monsters.

Mosquitos, no doubt-but what better time to quote this delectable speech than now, in our 30th issue, which devotes page after page to BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN, its director, James Whale, and even its most eccentric supporting player, the astonishing Ernest Thesiger? The BRIDE issue of Famous Monsters of Filmland (#21) has always been my favorite edition of that once magnificent magazine, and I hope this issue of Scarlet Street finds its way into the hearts of both old and new fright fans today. (After we find our way into your heart, we'll pluck it out and sell it-why should Dwight Frye be the only organ donor in town?)

Our BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN coverage is sparked by the new fictionalized biopic of the film's director. James Whale, It's called GODS

tor, James Whale. It's called GODS AND MONSTERS, it's based on the Christopher Bram novel Father of Frankenstein (covered in SS #26), it's executive-produced by Clive Barker (interview on page 28), and it's directed by Bill Condon (interview on page 33). I've seen the movie five times, now, and I can't possibly recommend it more highly. Run, do not walk, to whatever movie house in your area shows this extraordinary piece of cinema artistry. It's due to open some time in October, so keep your eyes out—but do remember to stick 'em back in when the movie begins!

In recent months, Scarlet Street has undergone a few changes with our distributors, and in some areas this unfortunately resulted in our temporarily being left out of stores in which we previously had been proudly displayed. The result: a ton of letters, E-mails, and phone calls from anxious Streeters wondering what had become of their fave mag.

Well, we're here to tell ya that we're still here to tell ya. Our temporary absence was just that: temporary! Scarlet Street should once again be at your fingerprints—pardon; fingertips—in your neighborhood comic-book specialty shop, and in such stores as Barnes and Noble, Tower Records, Hastings, B. Dalton, Waldenbooks, and Virgin Megastores.

But if we're not in sight, you, our faithful readers, can help. Just ask for the Mag Person and repeat these magic words: "Where the heck is Scarlet Street?" Then, if you're not directed to the nearest red-light district, you're likely to get a copy of this very magazine!

Seriously, gang, if we're missing from your local shelves, you can help bring Scarlet Street to the world. Ask for us, and keep asking till you get us. You'll be glad you did....



Hammer


It Home On Scarlet Street Video

es, Fright Fans, you can get all those titilating Hammer Horror Hits from Scarlet Street Video, and that's not all! We're also your bloody source for....

Universal Horror Classics Sherlock Holmes Alfred Hitchcock

Roger Corman/Edgar Allan Poe

For a complete catalogue, send \$1 to Scarlet Street Video, PO Box 604, Glen Rock, NJ 07452



SCARLET LETTERS

Continued from page 8

DON was the earliest of the Chan series in circulation. It was as if, among the 007 films, the earliest available were DIAMONDS ARE FOREVER or LIVE AND LET DIE. (Imagine!)

A few odd points. You didn't mention THE HOUSE WITHOUT A KEY, the 1926 serial that starred George Kuwa as Chan- the earliest film appearance I've read about. I'm surprised you say that CHARLIE CHAN CARRIES ON is the only Oland Chan film to have never been available for TV. I've never seen the other four listed! Just how do movies "become lost" after having been available for TV in the first place? And while, to my knowledge, CHARLIE CHAN IN EGYPT wasn't one of the series that turned up recently on AMC, I have a copy of it off my local Philly Channel 17, which 20 years ago used to run the "entire" series on a regular basis, in sequence, at the rate of one a week. Oh, if only AMC would do thatand not just with the Chans, but with all those Tarzan films they've been showing this year!

Not to knock Roger Moore's THE SAINT—his early episodes were mostly based, some closely, on the original Leslie Charteris stories—but to my mind, the only actor who really captured the attitude and character of Simon Templar, as established in the books, was Louis Hayward. THE SAINT IN NEW

YORK is my favorite film—and I only wish it had had a decent budget! By the way, I do believe Robert S. Baker was also responsible for the excellent RETURN OF THE SAINT, with lan Ogilvy, My goodness—was there ever a more handsome, dashing hero on TV?

Wonderful to read about the Ian Richardson Sherlock Holmes films. For all the Rathbone "purists" out there, I still recall thinking Christopher Plummer was the first actor to equal him—and Richardson the first to surpass him! (And imagine my delight when, not long after, Jeremy Brett surpassed Richardson!) "What a great time for Holmes fans," was the thought I had those 15 years back.

I'm glad someone pointed out the "Americanization" of those two films. I once viewed six different versions of The Hound of The Baskervilles in the course of one week, While the Brett version was the most "accurate," and the Tom Baker a close second, the Richardson stands to date as the most lavish. And funny enough—when I took notes while watching, to compare differences in characters and details, I found an interesting thing. The Richardson film deviates from the novel in the same places that the Basil Rathbone film does! So in effect, Sy Weintraub wasn't doing a new version of the book—he was doing a remake of the Rathbone film!

Henry R. Kujawa Camden, NJ THE BLACK CAMEL (1931) is not lost, Henry, but there is still no trace of CHARLIE CHAN'S CHANCE (1932), CHARLIE CHAN'S GREATEST CASE (1933), and CHARLIE CHAN'S COURAGE (1934). The terrific CHARLIE CHAN IN EGYPT (1935) is part of the current AMC package, however.

Please feature Lex Barker, the handsomest star with magnificent body, in one of your issue. Plenty, I really mean it, plenty of photos of this greatest Tarzan of all time. Even though Lex went to heaven 25 years ago but as fans we have not forgotten him.

Max Wein San Francisco, CA

I enjoy reading your magazine and have been a fan for many years now. I have a problem that has nothing to do with the fine writing or the interviews you print. I would like to find an old movie that was on television several years ago. I believe the movie was called SPECTER. I believe Robert Culp and Gig Young starred in it. About a year ago, my mother saw the end on the Sci-Fi Channel through the local cable company.

We are limited here in Albuquerque as far as finding rare videos. The local video stores have had no luck finding this movie or even telling us if this movie can be found. We would appreciate your advice on how to obtain SPEC-

SCIENCE FICTION CONTINUUM Your Video Movie Source!

Widescreen, Re-mastered Movies \$14.99 each

BARON BLOOD THE CRAZIES DAUGHTERS OF DARKNESS DAWN OF THE DEAD DEAD KINGERS DESTROY ALL MONSTERS DEVIL RIDES OUT DRACULA PRINCE OF DARKNESS EVIL DEAD EVIL DEAD 2 EXTERMINATOR FRANKENSTEIN CREATED WOMAN GOD TOLD ME TO HELLRAISER: director out HELLBOUND: special edition HIDDEN - director cut HORROR HOTEL-remastered IMPULSE INVADERS FROM MARS '86 KISS ME MONSTER LEGEND OF 7 GOLDEN VAMPIRES LISA & THE DEVIL remastered MANIAC - director's cut



MANIAC COP MARK OF THE DEVIL MARTIN MARK OF THE DEVIL MUMMY'S SHROUD NIGHT STRANGLER PLAGLE OF THE ZOMBIES RASPUTIN THE REPTILE RETURN OF THE BLIND DEAD QUATERMASS EXPERIMENT SATANIC RTES OF DRACULA SEASON OF THE WITCH SHATTER SHIVERS SP DER BABY STEPFORD WIVES STRAW DOGS (uncut!) SUCCUBUS TEXAS CHAINSAW MASSACRE 2 THE WITCHES TOMB OF THE BLIND DEAD Q THE WINGED SERPENT





DIGITALLY RE-MASTERED ROGER CORMAN CLASSICS \$14.99 each



BIG BAD MAMA
BIG BAD MAMA II
BIG BIRD CAGE
BIG DOLL HOUSE
CAGED HEAT
CANDY STRIPE NURSES
DEATH RACE 2000
DEATHSPORT
EAT MY DUST
GRAND THEFT AUTO
JACKSON COUNTY JAIL
THE ARENA
WOMEN IN CAGES

HUMANOIDS FROM THE DEEP ROCK N ROLL HIGH SCHOOL



GREAT MOVIES - \$19.99 ea

ATTACK OF THE CRAB MONSTERS BIRD WITH CRYSTAL PLUMMAGE:LBX DEMETIA 13 - wiodescreen DEVIL'S RAIN - widescreen EXECUTIONERS (MYeoh) GAMERA: GUARDIAN OF THE UNIVERSE. GORGO - widescreen HEADLESS GHOST - widescreen HEROIC TRIO (M. Yeoh) HEART OF THE DRAGÓN (J Chan) HIGHLANDER directors cut IN THE MOUTH OF MADNESS LEGACY OF RAGE (B Lee) MOMMY - widescreen MOMMY'S DAY -widescreen TARGET EARTH - widescreen SATAN'S CHEERLEADERS SEVEN directors cut WINNERSAND SINNERS (J Chan)



STOP BY OUR NEW STORE!

1701 East Second St Scotch Plains, NJ 07076 Phone 908-322-2010 for directions and info! Bring this ad with you for a free gift* with any purchase before 10/29/98 Download our catalogue and get directions to our store from our website: http://www.sfcontinuum.com/sjvideo Call Toll Free To Order 1-(800)232-6002

VHS only except where other formats are specifically noted. We accept Mastercard, Visa, & American Express. No COD's! Continental USA 1 tape-\$4.50, 2 tapes-\$6, \$1.50 each add 1 tape. AK, HI,PR, and Canada call for slup charge. NJ residents must add 6% sales tax. Outside of USA & Canada call (908) 322-0.00. We can ship to APO's and FPO's. Three to six weeks for delivery. *Only one gift per ad, ad will be marked when you receive your gift Send \$1 for a catalogue. Mail to \$& J Productions PO Box 154 Colonia, N.J. 07067

JEREMY BRETT!

Now you can own the entire Granada series of Sherlock Holmes mysteries on video, complete and uncut!

A Scandal in Bohemia The Dancing Men The Naval Treaty The Solitary Cyclist The Crooked Man The Speckled Band The Blue Carbuncle The Copper Beeches The Greek Interpreter The Norwood Builder The Resident Patient The Red Headed League The Final Problem Wisteria Lodge Lady Frances Carfax The Illustrious Client Shoscombe Old Place

The Creeping Man

The Red Circle

The Dying Detective

The Golden Pince-Nez

The Last Vampyre (Two Hours)
The Empty House
The Abbey Grange
The Musgrave Ritual
The Second Stain
The Man With the Twisted Lip

The Priory School The Six Napoleons The Devil's Foot Silver Blaze

The Sign of Four (Two Hours)
The Bruce-Partington Plans
The Problem of Thor Bridge
The Boscombe Valley Mystery

The Master Blackmailer (Two Hours) The Hound of the Baskervilles

(Two Hours)

The Eligible Bachelor (Two Hours)

The Three Gables
The Mazarin Stone
The Cardboard Box

Only \$19.95 each!

Send check or money order to Scarlet Street Video, PO Box 604, Glen Rock, NJ 07452 VHS only. Shipping in continental U.S.A.—\$4 first tape, \$2 each additional tape. Canada \$8 first tape, \$4 each additional tape. Foreign insured surface (for airmall, double rates) \$11 first tape, \$5 each additional tape. Scarlet Street ships via UPS and orders must have street address. NJ residents add 6% sales tax.

IER and another old TV movie called THE NORLISS TAPES. If you have any information about these movies, it would be very much appreciated.

Again, thank you for your many years of publishing your magazine. I have found your magazine not only entertaining, but very useful in the information it provides on upcoming movies and "not known" facts about previous movies.

Leslie J. Turner Albuquerque, NM

SPECTER was a TV pilot produced by STAR TREK creator Gene Roddenberry THE NORLISS TAPES was another pilot, this one from the production offices of Dan Curtis. It was meant to replace the series of NIGHT STALKER films when Darren McGavin refused to ever work with Curtis again. Unfortunately, neither film is legally available on video

Ever since Scarlet Street #26 I've been meaning to write. Being the terrible procrastinator that I am, I kept putting it off However, when I picked up SS #27 last week, I knew I'd better get typing.

That said, I just wanted to let you

That said, I just wanted to let you know how wonderful I thought both issues were They're two of the finest (if not the finest) you've done. The David Manners interview is a classic Rick Mc-Kay's ability to make a wonderful, insightful interview with little discussion of the films we hold so dear, and still

grab us, is nothing short of genius.

I love Gary Don Rhodes' article on the Universal revival of the late thirties Whoever says there's nothing new to be mined from the history of the genre need only read this While the subject has been mentioned in books and articles in the past, it's usually nothing more than an oversized footnote. Excellent work, Gary!

Thanks also for your excellent article and interview with Stephen Geoffreys. Being a close friend of Steve's Uncle David for many years, I've followed his career closely. While things have been a bit rocky for him lately, he's an incredable talent and hopefully he'll be back doing great things again soon.

Joe Busam Cincinnati, OH

We second your hopes for Stephen Geoffreys, Joe. Meanwhile, we ll have more on David Manners in our next issue. Don't miss it!

While I was reading Drew Sullivan's thoroughly engaging review of VAM-PIRE CIRCUS (\$5 #28) and understanding his lament that the male beauty of John Moulder-Brown and Anthony Corlan (ater Higgins) was not fully appreciated, I began to think back on their careers and discovered some reassuring news for Mr. Sullivan.

In John Moulder-Brown's second picture with Jerzy Skolimowski, KING,

QUEEN, KNAVE (1973, based on Vladimir Nabokov's book), he became the object of Gina Lollobrigida's desire—a desire that often drove her into a frenzy that eventually risked her plush life with David Niven. Young, virginal Moulder-Brown floated through the picture with the insolicance of young men who just aren't in sync with their sexual allure

In Anthony Corlan's film with Harold Pr.ce, SOMETHING FOR EVERYONE (1970, scripted by Hugh Wheeler), he became the love object of a bisexual opportunist played by Michael York, who, when confronted with his infidelity with the question, "You can sleep with anyone, can't you?", replied softly, "Yes, but I do have my preferences."

So, Mr. Sullivan, a year after VAM-PIRE CIRCUS, John Moulder-Brown and, two years before VAMPIRE CIR-CUS, Anthony Corlan came into their own—as breathtaking young men, whose sexual magnetism could stand a film on its head

Raymond Banackı Brooklyn, NY

John Moulder-Brown fans will find a revealing two-part interview with their fave in Scar.et Streets #7 and #8

I've always enjoyed the laserdisc reviews in Scartet Street, but since the format has all but been eclipsed by DVD, I think it is time you started covering that

format as well. Don't get me wrong, I love laserdisc, but DVDs are better, less expensive, and more convenient. In addiftion, some really obscure genre films are being released complete with audio commentaries, outtakes, etc., and I for one would love to hear what your staff has to say about the new incarnations. It is surprising that titles like VAMPYROS LESBOS and GANJA and HESS have appeared on the relatively new format already. In addition, Warner Home Video has announced a whole new line of classic DVD titles that will be priced as low as \$14.98 retail

Steve Phillips PhillipsS@ally.com

Rest assured, Scarlet Street will be reviewing DVDs, perhaps as soon as next is sue, but we're not quite as sold on them as you, Steve. (Nor are we happy that stores have relegated lasers to dark, tiny corners of their inventory in an effort to force feed consumers DVDs) Many DVDs are priced as highly as lasers, have fewer extras, and more than a few have been found lacking in that much-publicized superior picture qual ity. And with such titles as those you'll find reviewed in this issue still being released on laser, we're not as ready and eager as some to pronounce a death sentence on the format

I go ape over Lex (Tarzan) Barker. Hope you would feature him in a long article with all those large-size photos

I am a Tarzan fan Of all the actors who played the Jungle Lord, Lex Barker is my most favorite. It's time to have a tribute of this gorgeous Sexy Lexy, the real Tarzan of all

Kevin Wood Tahiti Hmmmmm

I wanted to write and let you know how much I've enjoyed your magazine Scarlet Street over the years You write articles on obscure or forgotten stars who are never written about anymore, and I greatly enjoy it

I especially enjoyed your issue featuring Charlie Chan, Warner Oland, and Keye Lake. (SS #28) Great articles and pictures for my Charlie Chan collection

I would suggest that in the near future you do an in-depth article on my favorite Chan, Sidney Toler. I have never seen an article about him and his life in any publication. I think it is long overdue. He had a long stage and film career before doing the Chans, and 1 would like to know about all of it, if he had any children, has any living descendents, and if his last wife Viva Tatter sall, a sculptress, is still alive, and where he is buried.

Another wonderful but forgotten performer I would like to see covered is Gertrude Niesen, the singer/actress who was popular in the thirties, forties and fifties. As with Toler, I have never

weeks for delivery

seen an article on her in any publica-

Sharon D. Lindy Oak Part, lL

Fear not, Faithful Sharon, we'll be get ting around to all the Charlie Chans in due time, not to mention his numbered progeny Stay tuned

Hey, there . . . Scarlet Streeters! Get out those poison pens and write today to Scarlet Letters P.O. Box 604 Glen Rock, NJ 07452

or E-Mail us at America Online reditor@aol.com



Gosh, fellas, there's only one thing worries me when I get Lou's brain, will I still be smart enough to read Scarlet Street?

es, kids, it's the Scarlet Street Slightly I Mangled Special. We have in our vaults some issues with minor defects: price tags glued on the covers, a folded page, a gypsy curse scrawled on the classifieds . . . nothing too grim, but enough to render them unsuitable for sale at the usual rate.

So, gang . . . now's your chance to get the Scarlet Street you've been missing! Just fill out the handy coupon stage left and we'll send you copies that, in the words of Ygor, are "broken, crippled, and distorted"—just a teensy bit.

	ney Order Payable to 04, Dept ST, Glen Rock, NJ 07452
Address:	_
City:	State: Zip
Copies sold as is. For issue contents, see the ad on page 7.	ONLY
Issue 8 Section 14 Section 15 Sec	sue 19
Issue 11	EACH!

copy Canada, \$4 00 per copy (1st class). Foreign, \$6.00 per copy (air mail). Please allow 6 to 8

the HOUND

Welcome back, Scarlet Streeters, to your Canine Correspondent's corner of the Street, where you'll always find the latest lowdown on upcoming media mayhem....

Theatrical Thrills

Your local movie theater becomes a stake house when John Carpenter's long delayed action-horror flick VAMPIRES finally hits the screens this fall (or so claims Columbia Pictures). James Woods and TWIN PEAKS' Sheryl Lee costar.

In September, filmgoers in New York City and Los Angeles can catch a big screen 40th anniversary reissue of Orson Welles' terrific noir thriller TOUCH OF EVIL (1958) in a restored director's cut.

The new version has been re-edited according to recently discovered notes by Welles. The director him self stars as an obese bordertown police chief who makes things muy malo for Mexican-American cop Chuck Heston and his beautiful blonde wife, Janet Leigh (who at one point is menaced in a cheap motel while clad in a fifties bullet bra sound familiar, Mr. Hitchcock?). A video and laser release of the newly retouched TOUCH will surely follow.

Creepy tales start coming to life for a bunch of predictably attractive co.lege students in the Sony release URBAN LEGEND, starring Jared Leto and Rebecca Gayheart. It's due in theaters in October, along with THE 13TH FLOOR, a virtual-reality sci-fi thriller produced by GODZILLA boy Roland Emmerich; ROCKET BOYS (Universal), a fifties-set tale from director Joe Johnston (JUMANJI) about teenagers who build their own sp (ce ship; and PRACTICAL MAG-IC (Warner Bros.), a mystical comedy starring witchy women Sandra Bullock, Nicole Kidman, Stockard Channing, and Vanessa Redgrave.

In November, Brad Pitt and Anthony Hopkins star in MEET JOE BLACK, Un.versal's remake of the 1934 Fredric March fantasy DEATH TAKES A HOLIDAY. Other November 1950 of the Proposition of

vember releases include JACK FROST (Warner Bros.) starring Michael Keaton as a snowman (trading up from a Batman?) and the Columbia sequel I STILL KNOW WHAT YOU DID LAST SUMMER starring many dead tenagers.

MER starring many dead teenagers.
Universal's universally-dreaded remake of PSYCHO is scheduled to slice its way into theaters in December (to her everlasting credit, plucky Janet Leigh refused a cameo, opting instead to appear

in her psychomobile in HALLOWEEN H2O), together with even more remakes and sequels: MIGHTY JOE YOUNG (Disney), STAR TREK 9 (Paramount), FROM DUSK TILL DAWN: TEXAS BLOOD MONEY (Dimension), plus a Christmas rerelease of THE WIZARD OF OZ

Future Creature Features

In Universal's END OF DAYS, Satan hits The Big Apple on millennium eve and starts spreadin' the news that he's in search of a bride (If he can make it there, he can make it anywhere) But along comes ex-cop Arnold Schwarzenegger to ruin Old Scratch's nookie plans! Andrew Marlowe of AIR FORCE

Janet Leigh cringes in terror as Charlton Heston Tourist in the BLACK DARLEIA, direction tries to explain that motels don't kill people; people kill people. A public service and dential author James Ellroy, nouncement from TOUCH OF EVIL (1958).

ONE wrote the script, so expect things to crash and blow up.

Things are getting hairy for young Jennifer Love Hewitt, star of TV's PAR TY OF FIVE and the aforementioned scare sequel I STILL KNOW WHAT YOU DID LAST SUMMER Entertain ment Weekly reports that Hewitt will portray the daughter in a werewolf family who finds lycanthrope love with a (gasp) human boy in an MGM film ad

aptation of Annette Curtis Klause's young adult novel Blood and Chocoiate. (Two of The Hound's favorite things.)

GOOD WILL HUNTING'S Matt Damon hunts down a missing heir and murders him in the suspense drama THE TALENTED MR RJPLEY, now in production from Miramax. It's based on the bisexually chic-before-its-time novel by Patricia Highsmith, author of Strangers on a Train. Gwyneth Paltrow costars in the thriller, which Oscar-winner Anthony Mingella is directing from his own script. RIPLEY, believe it or not, has already been filmed quite nicely by French director. Rene. Clement as PURPLE NOON in 1960. Alain Delon starred.

Buffy Gets Blown Up (And Other Rumors)

Writer/producer Joss Whedon will do a Chris Carter next summer when he mounts a feature film version of his TV series BUFFY THE VAMPIRE SLAYER Stars Sarah Michelle Gellar and Anthony Stewart Head are rumored to have already signed on for the feature, with the rest of the Sunnydale High regulars hopefully following suit.

BATMAN 5 rumors are circulating about ARMAGEDDON's Ben Affleck donning the cape and cowl in a new film series based on the Batman' Year One comic book by Frank Miller. Only time will tell whether the Brothers Warner will swing their Bat in this radically retro direction

Demi Moore has won the role of Lara Croft in the upcoming film version of the video game TOMB RAIDER Moore reportedly beat out Elizabeth Hurley for the role of the Indy Jones style action heroine. Raven haired beauty Catherine Zeta Jones (of THE PHANTOM and THE MASK OF ZORRO) has landed the lead role of a doomed forties playgirl in THE BLACK DAHLIA, director David Fincher's upcoming film version of the novel by L. A. Confidential author lames Ellroy.

Couch Potato Cinema

Zoinks! Mike Myers has been hired to write the screenplay for a live action version of the Hanna-Barbera cartoon series SCOOBY-DOO, WHFRE ARF YOU? Myers may possibly headline the film as well, but whether he'll sign on to play Shaggy, Vehna, or Scooby himself is a puzzle worthy of the Mystery Machine gang

Continued on page 17

Kathleen Turner: You're not too smart, are you? I like that in a man.

William Hurt: What else do you like? Lazy, ugly, horny, I got 'em all

Kathleen Turner. You don't look lazy.

ODY HEAT (1981) is one of the finest examples of a "modern" film noir exercise Lawrence Kasdan's original screenplay certainly derives artistic inspiration from Billy Wilder's classic DOUBLE INDEMNITY (1944) William Hurt portrays a randy, sweat stained attorney who can't resist the enticement of Kathleen Turner's overheated femme fatale. The sun drenched Florida locale provides an oppressively steamy ambience which further fuels these characters.

William Hurt: I need tending. I need some one to take care of me, someone to rub my tired muscles, smooth out my sheets... Kathleen Turner: Get married.

Wultam Hurt: I just need it for tonight!

Though obviously inspired by the classic noirs of the past, BODY HEAT has more than a few cool tricks up its sleeves, including eccentric, show stopping turns by Ted Danson (in an approximation of DOUBLE INDEMNITY's Edward G Robinson role) and Mickey Rourke (as an ex-con before it seemed likely that he might become one in real life).

A major ingredient of the film's intoxicating effect is the John Barry score. The music contributes a hauntingly repetitive sense of melancholia, of passions unwisely spent and mistakes doomed to be repeated.

Surprisingly Barry's score has heretofore received only limited distribution. In 1983, Southern Cross Records released an LP containing roughly half an hour of its music. The album was a 12-.nch disc, but it was recorded at 45 rpm. It was briefly available via mail order from a few soundtrack specialists. Reportedly, the inclusion of John Williams' "Ladd Company Logo" may have prevented a wider release. The LP rapidly went out of print, subsequently receiving valuations above \$100 by record dealers.

In 1989, the recording was reissued by original producer John Lasher in the compact disc format, limited to 2,000 copies. The CD, pressed by Lasher's Soundtrack Collector's Special Editions label in Australia, appeared in selected retail stores, but it quickly sold out, and, as with the LP, became an in-demand collector's item.

Happily for soundtrack enthusiasts, Varese Sarabande determined to rectify the lack of availability by adding the title to their estimable series of recordings of classic film music conducted by Joel McNeely. McNeely, a composer in his own right, had previously achieved great success with the label's new renditions of scores written by Bernard Herrmann, among others. McNeely,



Hot stuff: Kathleen Turner made her movie debut opposite William Hurt in BODY HEAT (1981).


conducting the London Symphony Orchestra, performed Barry's score in the venerable Abbey Road Studios in April of 1998. The resulting CD made its debut in retail stores three months later. John Barry's neoteric noir stylings were finally accessible to the general public for the first time.

McNeely's execution boasts superlative attention to the material. The Varese edition deletes the unnecessary "Ladd Company Logo," substituting nearly four minutes of music not present in the Lasher releases. The expansion includes the cues "Ned," "Matty's Place," and "I'm Burning Up." Several of the other cues common to both Lasher's and Varese's pressings are presented in revised order on the new CD, without accompanying explanation. (Also, the volume level of the CD is lower than that of the preceding Lasher disc.)

This new release is cause for celebration, as BODY HEAT contains one of the finest film scores of the past two decades. McNeely's reading successfully distills the subtle nuances of Barry's musical character shadings.

The tempo of McNeely's conducting pleasurably takes its own sweet time to unfold. A couple of recent noir/music compilations included the theme from BODY HEAT, but those renditions needlessly jazzed it up. McNeely's performance of the score restores its "cooler" jazz impressions and deliberate pace. The new release offers a sensuous listening experience, even for those who haven't viewed the film. The music captivatingly smolders and swells. Although the Varese CD still seems a bit brief at approximately 36 minutes, the major thematic elements and character motivations of BODY HEAT have been preserved in gratifying fashion. Varese Sarabande is the soundtrack enthusiast's best friend.

-John F. Black



CREATURES IN YOUR CABLE BOX HALLOWEEN HORRORS ON AMC

This Halloween will be magnificently monstrous for movie fans who get American Movie Classics on their local cable TV system. AMC is presenting MONSTERFEST: HOUSE OF HORRORS, a creepy collection of fright films and specials, from Monday, October 26 through

Sunday, November 1.

Favorite fear flicks of the baby boomer generation are included in AMC's 25 film lineup, which spotlights several selections from Hammer Films and from producers Roger Corman and William Castle. Tim Burton, director of the modern macabre hits BEETLE JUICE (1988) and THE NIGHTMARE BEFORE CHRISTMAS (1993), will act as AMC's very own horror host, introducing many of the films "live" (hopefully) from some of America's most infamous haunted houses.

Starting Monday of Hallow-week, the festival presents one frightening feature every weekinght at 10 PM Eastern Time, leading up to a 43-hour marathon stretching from Fr.day night to Sunday afternoon. In addition to the 25 feature films, a newly produced one-hour special entitled HOLLYWOOD GHOST STORIES will premiere. MONSTERMANIA, the movie channel's original 1997

documentary, will also have encore showings.

Burton kicks off the creepshow on Monday night by introducing Bela Lugosi's 1943 fang-filled feature RETURN OF THE VAMPIRE. Tuesday, AMC's MONSTERMANIA special, hosted by former Dracula Jack Palance, celebrates our infatuation with monsters, from turn of the century Gothic literature to Famous Monsters of Filmland. Following the special, William Castle's 1960 haunted house gimmick-fest 13 GHOSTS will be shown.

Hammer's atmospheric 1964 Victorian-era chiller THE CURSE OF THE MUMMY'S TOMB shambles your way on Wednesday night, followed on Thursday by yet another curse—director Jacques Tourneur's 1958 occult classic CURSE OF THE DEMON, with Dana Andrews

getting a bad case of the runes.

Burton kicks off the weekend-long monstrous mara-

thon Friday night at 9 PM by introducing the premiere of AMC's original production HOLLYWOOD GHOST STORIES True-life tales of haunted happenings in Tinseltown are profiled in this hour-long production. THEM! (1954), surely the best ever big bug movie, follows at 10. Then you can cower into the wee hours with the Corman/Price/Lovecraft chiller THE HAUNTED PALACE (1963), the lumbering London-based gargantuas KONGA and GORGO (both 1961), and producer Val Lewton's signature shocker CAT PEOPLE (1942).

At 7 AM Halloween morning, horror's big day begins with small screams generated by the ultimate shrink, DR. CYCLOPS (1940), and THE INCREDIBLE SHRINKING MAN (1957). Later, AMC delivers "Uncle" Vinnie Price in THE OB-

LONG BOX (1969) and presents Hammer Films' lizard and loincloth epic WHEN DINOSAURS RULED THE EARTH (1970). At 6.15, Roger Corman's Edgar Allan Poe trilogy TALES OF TERROR (1962, pictured below) brings back Vincent Price, followed by Hammer's DRACULA PRINCE OF DARKNESS (1966), which was Christopher Lee's second stab at portraying The Count. Another Corman production, X—THE MAN WITH THE X-RAY EYES (1963), can be sighted at 10 PM, featuring Ray Milland in a scary tale that festival host Burton has his eyes on as a potential remake project

As Halloween's witching hour approaches, American International's Lovecraft adaptation DIE, MONSTER, DIE (1965), starring terror titan Boris Karloff, gets an 11:35 showing, followed by Mario Bava's bewitchingly scary BLACK SUNDAY (1961), featuring horror icon Barbara Steele's most famous performance. THE HOUSE ON HAUNTED HILL (1958), William Castle's spooky fave of late-late shows past, materializes at 3 AM, with exotic Hammer monster tale THE REPTILE (1966) creeping along close behind. At 5.40, Mr. Castle plays it for ghoulish laughs with THE OLD DARK HOUSE (1963), a comedy remake owing more to Charles Addams than to

James Whale s 1932 classic.

At the crack of dawn Sunday, bloody big bugs terrorize Chicago in THE BEGINNING OF THE END (1957), with Peter Graves battling impossibly huge grasshoppers; the bug attack continues in THE BLACK SCOR PION (1957), with Kong's animation king Willis O'Brien overseeing the stop-motion effects. The marathon comes to a close Sunday afternoon with Hammer's mythological monster movie THE GORGON (1964), featuring petrified partners Peter Cushing and Christopher Lee playing good guys for a change.

So fire up the VCR, pull up the Lay-Z-Bones lounger and cook up a cauldron of popcorn as you shiver through

AMC's week long Halloween Monsterfest.

—John ∣. Mathews



Boris & Bela:



Horror stars Bela Lugosi and Boris Karloff were teamed for the first time in Universal's THE BLACK CAT (1934), directed by cult favorite Edgar G. Ulmer.

few old fiends will be stalking through the Big Apple this Halloween season, when New York City's Film Forum plays host to the Frankenstein Monster and his blushing Bride, Count Dracula, Im-ho-tep the Mummy the Wolf Man, the Phantom of the Opera, and a ghastly gaggle of other well known ghouls. The program of Universal Horrors, which runs from October 30 through November 12, is almost entirely made up of brand new 35mm prints, and promises that every last scar, fang, and electrode will be seen more clearly than they have been in decades—except on the Invisible Man, who won't be seen more clearly than he has been in decades!

Scheduled to be included in the program is THE OLD DARK HOUSE (1932), which, due to previous difficulties in obtaining the best available source material, has often been dubbed "the old extremely dark house." Paired with THE INVISIBLE MAN (1933), it is one of two double bills of films directed by James Whale and plays from November 6 through 8 TITANIC star Gloria Stuart is the frightened ingenue in both flicks, and she has good reason to be scared, with Boris Karloff, Ernest Thesiger, and Charles Laughton inhabiting the HOUSE and Claude Rains hiding beneath the transparent one's facial bandages

Also raising a few screams: Whale's FRANKEN-STEIN (1931) and BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN (1935), THE PHANTOM OF THE OPERA (1925), DRACULA (1931), THE MUMMY (1932), THE BLACK CAT (1934), THE WOLF MAN (1941), FRANKENSTEIN MEETS THE WOLF MAN (1943), and ABBOTT AND COSTELLO MEET FRANKENSTÉIN (1948).

For a complete schedule, call the Film Forum at (212) 727 8110

Drew Sallivan

NEWS HOUND

Continued from Page 14

Universal plans to start shooting their feature film THE SIX BILLION DOLLAR MAN (inflation's a bitch) in the spring, for a possible summer 2000 release Actor Richard Anderson is coproducing and may appear as his Oscar Goldman character from the 1973 ABC TV series. No word yet if The Bionic Woman, The Bionic Dog, or Bigfoot will brighten the proceedings.

<u>Déjà Vu All Over Again</u> Break out the Chianti and fava beans- Hannibal Lecter will return in an adaptation of author Thomas Harris soon-to-be-published novel The Morbidity of the Soul. A screenplay will be ready in early 1999. Jodie Foster will be back as Special Agent Clarice Starling, and Anthony Hopkins will reprise his role as the peckish serial killer Lecter, who's forever in search of a fast food joint that de-livers

As if Scooby Doo weren't challenge enough, Mike Myers starts shooting his new shagadelic spy spoof, AUSTIN POWERS 2: THE WRATH OF KHAN (New Line), in November. The randy spy will reportedly be paired with a groovy new assistant, Felicity Shagwell Liz Hurley, Robert Wagner, and Seth Green are rumored to return as well.

Two new LIVING DEAD flicks are being planned—one from zombie monarch George Romero, and another from John Russo, Romero's partner on the 1968 original. Romero is also reportedly planning a NIGHT OF THE LIVING DEAD television series!

Boob Tube Tidings

Now that the vivacious Terry Farrell has departed STAR TREK- DEEP SPACE NINE, the reasons to stay tuned to the syndicated TV series are getting fewer and fewer Farrell's character Jadzia Dax perished rather unceremoniously in last season's finale. In the upcoming (and evidently final) season, the Dax symbiont slug will slither into a new host named Ezri, portrayed by actress Nicole de Boer, late of Sci Fi Channel's MIS SION GENESIS series

Dan Curtis may be resurrecting THE NIGHT STALKER as a weekly TV series. But in all likelihood the new version won't feature Darren McGavin or the Carl Kolchak character. Frankly, Dan, it sounds like it'll bite

Johnathon Schaech, one of the faux-Beatles of THAT THING YOU DO, stars in the upcoming TNT cable biopic BE

LIEVE: THE HOUDINI STORY. Pen Densham, producer of Showtime's OUT-ER LIMITS revival series, will produce and direct. Mr. Densham will have to work some magic to top the charming 1976 telefilm THE GREAT HOUDINIS.

The Sci-Fi Channel has renewed MYS-TERY SCIENCE THEATER 3000 for a 10th season. Mike Nelson and the 'bots will return to the Sate.lite of Love in 13 new episodes starting in the Fall. Also back on Sci-Fi is Lynda Carter as WON-DER WOMAN in reruns of both the CBS and ABC series of the seventies. Watch Carter (whose spangled bustier helped many a young male and female-through puberty) strut her stuff weekdays at 3:00pm Eastern Time.

The Home Video Vault

Don your catsuit, rev up the Lotus, and head to your local video store. A&E Home Video has released episodes of the kinky cult U.K. television series THE AVENGERS— digitally remastered from the original film negatives. (Rescued from the Vaults at Mithering, no doubt.) Patrick Macnee and Diana Rigg star as John Steed and Emma Peel, who battle diabolical masterminds in a selection of shows from the series' 1967 season the first season broadcast in color. (The



Louis Feuillade's LES VAMPIRES (1915), the classic 10-part, seven hour sitent serial about a gang of brilliant and bloodthirsty thieves led by the indomitable Irma Vep (an anagram of vampire), has just been released on video by Water Bearer Films.

Hound hopes that shows from the superior '65 black and-white season will soon follow.) Six tapes are available—two episodes per tape—at \$12.95 each, two boxed sets of three tapes each can be purchased for \$29.95 per set.

The Hound likes nothing better than a heartwarming family flick, and Water Bearer Films has come up with a fine, fang filled example in DRACULA: FATHER AND SON, starring who else but that Vamp for All Seasons, Christopher Lee. The plot has the Count battling for son (Bernard Menez) for the love of a beautiful, tasty female morsel, played by LAST TANGO IN PARIS Catherine Breillat. DRACULA: FATHER AND SON was directed by Edouard Molmaro of LA CAGE AUX FOLLES fame

Feral femmes highlight four new releases in Universa's Class c Horror Collection. Evelyn Ankers and Acquanetta star in JUNGLE WOMAN (1944), reprising their roles from the 1943 jungle meller CAPTIVE WILD WOMAN. Vicky Lane takes over the chimp chick role in the last entry of the hairy trilogy, JUNGLE CAPTIVE (1945). Lass.e's future mom June Lockhart is dogged by a furry family curse in SHE-WOLF OF LONDON (1946). Rounding out the

quartet is the 1941 comedy/mystery THE BLACK CAT, starring Basil Rath bone, Bela Lugosi, Hugh Herbert, Broderick Crawford, Gale "Spider Woman" Sondergaard, Gladys Cooper, and, in an early ro.e, Alan Ladd All four fright films are brand new to video and will set you back \$14.98 each.

Raymond Chandler's classic gumshoe Phillip Marlowe found a perfect latterday incarnation in Robert Mitchum Mitchum's two Chandler adaptations from the 1970s -FAREWELL MY LOVELY and THE BIG SLEEP have been reissued by Live Home Entertainment at \$14.98 each . . . Universal has repriced four of its horror/sci fi titles FIRESTARTER, THE LEGACY, ARMY OF DARKNESS, and MYSTERY SCI-ENCE THEATER 3000-at \$9 98 each for a limited time. Also on sale is Spielberg's THE LOST WORLD at \$14 98 for the standard edition and \$19.98 for the letterboxed THX version.

Fox Home Video premieres two drivein faves: THE LOST WORLD (1960) and THE ALLIGATOR PEOPLE (1959). Fox has also reissued sci-fi classics THE FLY (1958) and THE DAY THE EARTH STOOD STILL (1951). They're available at \$14 98 each... Recent releases on the rental racks include the thrillers DEEP RISING (Hol.ywood), HUSH (Columbia/TriStar), and NIGHTWATCH (Dimension)... Now available on laserdisc are letterboxed editions of THE ILI USTRATED MAN (Warner), JOURNEY TO THE CENTER OF THE EARTH (Fox, 1959), the unrated version of Romero's DAY OF THE DEAD (Elite), and a special edition of John Carpenter's THE THING, which includes commentary from Carpenter and the film's star, Kurt Russell, plus a new 80-minute behind-the-scenes documentary.

Fearsome Flotsam

"May I take your order . . . or your head?" That's what you may hear from the waitstaff at the Classic Monsters Cafe, now open for business at Universal Studios in Orlando, Florida. This spoof of chains like the Hard Rock Cafe is a real restaurant, with the menu and decor themed to Dracula, Frankenstein's Monster, The Creature from the Black Lagoon, and the like Posters and props from classic horror f Ims adorn the horrific halls of the eatery. Connecticut artist and makeup expert Cortlandt Hull grand-nephew of WEREWOLF OF LON-DON's Henry Hull -has created a sixfoot replica of Lon Chaney Jr. as Wolf Man Larry Ta bot for the establishment Bone appent!

Fans of film-music demigod Jerry Go dsmith (THE OMEN, PLANET OF THE APES, etc.) will have the rare opportunity to see the maestro conduct a program of his classic scores at New York's Camegie Hall. The concert is tentatively scheduled for Sunday afternoon, October 4. Call 212-247-78 10 for information and ticket purchase. But please don't ask them how to get to Carnegie

Gone, but never to be forgotten director/choreographer Jerome Robbins; TV hosts Shari Lewis, Bob McAllister, and Buffalo Bob Smith, writer/director Gene Fowler Jr.; screenwriter Wolf Manko witz; and actors E G Marshall, Robert Young, Kay Thompson, Binnle Barnes, Thomas D'Andrea, Douglas Fowley, Eva Bartok, Dorothy Stickney, John Derek Hugh Reilly, Josephine Hlitchinson, Roy Rogers, and—forever Tarzan's sweet Jane—Maureen O'Sullivan

Send The Hound your questions, comments and (naturally) compliments at TheNewsHound@yahoo com

Coming Up Soon in Scarlet Street:

Coleen Gray, NiGHTMARE ALLEY, NIAGARA, David Manners, Hurd Hatfield, THE PICTURE OF DORIAN GRAY, Marie Windsor, Shane Briant, Alfred Hitchcock, DEATH TAKES A HOLIDAY, Film Noir Part Two, Roger Corman, and much more!

Trimson Thronicles by Forrest J Ackerman

ead Letter Day. It is with great sor row that I report that Prince Sirki (Death Incarnate in the classic genre film DEATH TAKES A HOLIDAY)—Prince Sirki has taken not one but three of our favorite heroines

Tarzan Johnny Weissmuller's Jane

LN-18, the numeral named young sweetheart of 50 years in the future in IUSI IMAGINE.

And Lorraine Lavend, daughter of living puppets-maker Lionel Barry more in the cinemadaptation of A Merritt's famous novel Burn Witch Burn!, DEVIL DOLL

Maureen O'Sullivan gave up the ghost at 87. (Wow! I'll be that age in five years. But I'm not ready for Prince Sirki yet!)

Before she passed away, in her middle age Maureen made a sci-fi space alien fi.m, STRANDED, and a horror picture, TOO SCARED TO SCREAM

She participated in costar Johnny Weissmuller's 70th birthday (his pal Buster-Flash Gordon / Buck Rogers Crabbe was also at the banquet) and I went to her table and intro duced myself and the next thing I knew I was singing the theme song of JUST IMAGINE to her I also sing it if it's included in the final cutin a forthcoming two-hour Cinemax special called MY HOLLYWOOD THE FORREST ACKFRMAN STORY. In a newspaper obit about the legendary Irish lass, it was reported that she contemplated playing Jane for the seventh time with Johnny but demurred because her son said he would be embarrassed to see his mother in a jungle with an ape! Bah! Humbug! Kid, you gypped jill ions of us!

The Ackermansion is really turning into a museum: Rockstar Ogre (Skin ny Puppy) and his wife Jessika and my pal Joe Moe have gone to work and converted one room into a Lon Chaney Memorial Room, dominated by loads of posters, lobby cards, and fotos of the Man of a Thousand Faces, and his beaver hat and ghoulish teeth

from lost LONDON AFTER MIDNIGHT, and a framed newspaper account of his death. Then they went to work and converted a Guest Room into a joint Karloff and Lugosi Memorial Room with a framed foto of Boris as Im-ho tep with a piece of the gauze that wrapped him, a fabulous Frankenstein head by Cine Art, a Lugosi still from MURDERS IN THE

Maureen O'Sullivan-Forever Jane

RUE MORGUE signed Dr. Mirakle, a life mask of Bela, and a display bust of him

wearing a white tuxedo tie he owned with a speck of blood on it!!! I don't know whether it's his or mine as I wore it myself several times after shaving. If they ever use the DNA to make a clone, maybe they'll get an Ackerlugosi!

Fifty years ago I cocreated the first Westercon. A couple months ago, I was a Guest of Honor at its 50th anniversary.

Fantasy folks were in evidence, such as Eric Caidin, actress Raven (watch for her in the cinemadaptation of Ri chard Matheson's book What Dreams May Come), author Larry Niven, and GOH Catherine Kurtz all the way from the Emerald Isle. Next year Spokanites in Washington will see me at their Westercon and in 2000 Ill be getting ler'ed in the Conolulu of Hawaii In the meantime, I'm off to Dragoncon in Sept. in Atlanta, Reznhed Fair in Chicago (Sept. 11-14), ConCept in Montreal (Oct. 2-4), a vampire affair at the University of Virginia (third week in Oct.), and at Halloween at Chillercon in the territory of the New Jersey Devil. Around Halloween I'll be in a Turner TV special for what Ray Bradbury has characterized as "the wild, the eerie month.

If you're in my neck of the woods, give me a call at 323-MOON FAN and I'll try to give you a tour of the Ackermansion. Forty thousand fans have visited me so far since I opened the doors in 1951. Most recent visitors have been from Belfast Ireland, London, Pittsburgh, the Azores, and Iransylvania (two sisters).

During the smash Monster Bash I did my 54th movie cameo, for MONSTER INVASION. I'll soon be seen in OPFN SEASON. Ion Hobana, Mr Science Fiction of Romania, reports that he saw me get my head blown off in CEREMONY in Bucharest' I haven't even seen myself here in Horrorwood!

Flash! Her original publisher, James Warren, tells me he's bringing back Vampirella under his own aegis! Ack has reserved a roundtrip

ticket to Drakulon. Meanwhile, look for me on Scarlet Street¹

READ UNCLE FORRY IN EVERY ISSUE OF SCARLET STREET!



Scarlet Street's Laser Review

GORGO The Roan Group Two Sides CLV \$49.95

Every time I rewatch GORGO I'm surprised that it's in color. I don't know why I always remember the film as being in black and white. Maybe it's that much of it takes place at night. Maybe it's that it is fairly unusual for a film of this genre and period to have been made in color. Maybe it's for the same reason that I can never remember my sister's b.rthday

GORGO is a good old fashioned, guy in-a-rubber-suit, rampaging monster movie. This time the monster in question gets to rampage through London rather than Tokyo. Gorgo himself is a silly-looking 65-feet-tall bipedal dinosaur who is awakened by an undersea volcano and, cranky, terrorizes an Irish fishing village. He is captured by the usual money-hungry treasure seekers and sold to the usual money-hungry promoter for a London circus, while the usual long-suffering scientists warn everyone that they shouldn't do that

The giant monster, with his flapping, hinged jaw and red light-bulb eyes, is only slightly less convincing than Godzilla. What makes GORGO special is the clever plot twist, which I will now reveal, so cover your ears and say "la la loo" while you continue to read. once Gorgo is penned and put on display, and we're all waiting for him to break out and rampage like Kong as per genre tradition... it doesn't happen. Instead, his 250-foot-tall mommy comes after him! And is she ever pissed!

The film gets sillier after Mom shows up; I could never figure out why the Royal Navy suddenly charges out and starts shooting at her Granted, she is a giant monster, so I suppose that's the

right thing to do. But aside from stomping on the rather annoying fishing village from the opening of the film, she really hadn't done anything yet except swim. Hardly the kind of thing to warrant having every piece of mismatched stock combat footage they could find hurled at her.

Arriving in London, she walks slowly up the middle of the Thames, not bothering anybody, really, and the only reason she demolishes the Tower Bridge is because the damn soldiers standing on t start shooting at her for no reason. Or maybe they're customs agents. With tanks. From that point on, Mom decides to renovate sections of London and,

okay, now it's time to panic. It's then, toward the end, that the primary building-stomping finally occurs

.

\$39 95 each

The Roan Group laserdisc is presented in a not-very-widescreen ratio of 1 66·1. The jacket notes explain that the disk was mastered from a 35mm Technicolor print and great pains were taken to produce the best possible transfer, including digital scratch removal. This is somewhat surprising, considering the merely average quality, with visible dirt and an occasional brief blizzard of severely scratched frames.

-John E. Payne

A NIGHTMARE ON ELM STREET A NIGHTMARE ON ELM STREET 2: FREDDY'S REVENGE A NIGHTMARE ON ELM STREET 3: DREAM WARRIORS Flite Entertainment Vol. One: Four Sides CLV/CAV Vol. Two and Three: Two Sides CLV

In 1984, the brief but profitable era of the "mad slasher" film genre was already in its dying days. While a few notable exceptions surfaced (such as MANIAC and MOTHER'S DAY), most of these films were simply derivative, dull excuses to paint the screen with cheap splatter effects and partially-nude female bodies.

One of the sevenhes' predecessors of the slasher era, Wes Craven, had garnered more than enough hatred for such sadistic excursions as LASI HOUSE ON IHE LEFT (1972) and THE HILLS HAVE EYES (1978). Little did the bile-spewing critics realize that, within the span of a decade, Craven would give the world one of the most memorable icons in the history of horror: Mr. Freddy Krueger In a move that catapulted him to fame,



Craven made A NIGHTMARE ON ELM STREET, one of the most chilling and original horror films of the eighties

For those of you who may have been vacationing on Jupiter for the last 14 years, the story concerns Freddy Krueger (Robert Englund), a child molester burned to death by a vigilante mob of angry parents. Many years later, Krueger (in ethercal form) has returned to wreak his vengeance on the Elm Street children. The most chilling aspect of his persona is his modus operandi, from which there is virtually no protection: he attacks the children in their dreams. Nancy Thompson (Heather Langenkamp) tries to figure out what's happening as, one by one, her friends (including teen hunk Johnny Depp, in his screen debut) are consumed by Freddy's ven geance. She learns the horrible truth about Freddy from her divorced mother and father (Ronee Blakley and John Saxon). Resolving to take matters into her own hands, Nancy prepares to confront Krueger on the dream-plane and destroy him once and for all.



Even considering the weak acting and predictable ending, Craven's film is an essay in intelligent horror making. The plot is quite epic and heightened in its scope (the notion of children paying for the crimes of their parents harks back to the ancient dramas of Sophocles and Aeschylus). Craven strikes an overt Freudian nerve with imagery and narrative, as well Krueger's crimes are of a grossly sexual nature aimed at children, they are committed with knives extending from the fingers of a specially made glove (using the phallus as an instrument of death, such as Kubrick ingeniously did in 1971's A CLOCKWORK ORANGE), and the violence he perpetrates occurs during the dream state (in one memorable example, during the sleep following teenage sex). Add to this mixture the surrealist dream substance that fueled the fires of Luis Bunuel and Salvador Dali, and the result is nothing short of amazing Simply put, the film holds its own incredibly well, even after 14 years

The Elite Collector's Edition laserdisc exceeds all expectations, proving why this company has yet to be bested in the care and value that goes into its product. The digital transfer of the film

.

is letterboxed at 1 85-1, offering a clarity never before seen. The sound has been enhanced using digitally remastered stereo surround, which makes the presentation even more powerful.

The double-disc set features an audio commentary on analog track one by Craven, Langenkamp, John Saxon, and director of photography Jacques Haitkin Craven keeps the conversation moving along, dropping enough anecdotes along the way to satisfy even the most relentless fan. Of particular interest is his fascinating recounting of the newspaper stories that inspired his idea for the film. The original mono soundtrack is included on analog track two. The first disc is presented in CLV format and concludes with the original trailers for the other installments in the series

Disc two is the payoff for true collectors. Presented in CAV, it contains deleted scenes, alternate endings, memorabilia, storyboards, production stills, effects shots, even Robert Englund's audio tests for developing Freddy's voice! Craven's battle with the MPAA over the film's violence is also chronicled. For any fan of this first installment, the price is very small indeed for what they

will get

It came as no surprise that the popularity of Craven's film would demand a sequel. But no one expected the atrocity known as A NIGHTMARE ON ELM SÍREET TWO: FREDDY'S REVENGE (1985), Director Jack Sholder merely recycles old material until his end prod uct is achieved, an amateurish piece of work. Here, Freddy is drawn to a teenage boy named Jesse (Mark Patton), whose family has moved into the old Thompson house on Elm Street, Through Jesse, Freddy hopes to slaughter even more kids than he did in the original. Thus, the bare skeleton of the first film becomes the sustenance of this second effort-Freddy kills kids in gory ways That's it. Wes Craven had absolutely nothing to do with this film, a fact painfully evident from the opening credit sequence involving school kids on a bus driven by . . . guess who?

The only origina, aspect of the film is one that many fans refuse to even acknowledge The relationship between Jesse and Freddy is framed with intriguing tones of homosexuality (from Krueger himself and also from Jesse's gym coach, who shows a preference for leather) Compare this to the relationship between Jerry Dandridge and Evil Ed (Chris Sarandon and Stephen Geoffreys, respectively) in FRIGHT NIGHT (1985). The implications are not only there, but brought to a physical conclusion when Freddy is reborn (literally) after he enters Jesse. While Freddy's physical contact with his victims in the first film was relegated to violence, the presence he invokes during his first scene with Jesse is menacing in a very sexual way. While it's not my contention that Sholder

was attempting to make Freddy Krueger

a gay horror icon, this subtext lends at least some degree of depth to an otherwise worthless film. And in the grand scheme, maybe it's just a coincidence that the name "Freddy Krueger" also contains Freud's name half hidden as

an anagram

Elite's disc is letterboxed proportionately at 1.85:1 and looks fairly symmetrical. The colors are well balanced, bringing out the vibrant details in the garish mid-eighties teen fashions. According to the jacket, the sound is digitally sweetened mono, which makes it very muffled in scenes containing a lot of low end. The high end sound sources (Jesse's scream when Freddy reveals his brain, the clinking and grating of the trademark knife blades) are greatly ac-centuated, but they don't run any risk of exceeding the listener's pain threshold. The solo CLV disc ends with the theatrical trailer for the film, presented full-frame

Two years after the sequel, Craven moved back to Elm Street to produce and cowrite A NIGHTMARE ON ELM STREET 3: DREAM WARRIORS (1987) The creative and artistic success of the first film has never been so closely emulated as in this third installment, directed by Chuck Russell. The remaining Elm Street Children, all haunted by Freddy in their dreams, have been systematically imprisoned in a mental hospital, where a kindly doctor (Craig Wasson) hopes to save them. Heather Lang enkamp reprises her role as Nancy now fully grown and a dream researcher by trade. With her guidance, the Elm Street children battle Freddy in some of the most original and surreal sequences ever shot for the series. Krueger's persona slightly alters yet again. Like a hellish Will.e Wonka, he uses the disabilities



of the Elm Street Children (one is crippled, one is deaf and mute, one is a drug addict) to torment them Robert Englund was given a well-written role for this one, and he plays Freddy Krueger to sa distic perfection.

The letterboxing here is also 1.85:1, but the real charmer is the image itself. The color balance is practically perfect, adding a plethora of aesthetic to the blue-white-black scheme used in many of the dream sequences. The digitally mastered stereo sound is clear as a bell and the theatrical trailer closes the presentation.

.

Unlike his lesser efforts, including the dreadful DEADLY FRIEND (1986). SHOCKER (1989), A VAMPIRE IN BROOKLYN (1995), and the overrated and boring SCREAM (1996), Wes Craven proved in the first and third installments of ELM STREET that his creative genius for telling horror stories is deadly when focused. It's a shame he can't be more consistent from one project to the next Nonetheless, his talents deserve praise for the originality of ideas, themes, and narrative evidenced in these films (and also fleshed out in 1994's WES CRA-VEN'S NEW NIGHTMARE). Regardless of the numerous, derivative seque s that have followed in the wake of the original, A NIGHTMARE ON ELM STREET truly challenges the faction that claims the horror genre is incapable of any ori ginal creation

Brooke Perry

FROM BEYOND THE GRAVE Warner Bros/Image Two Sides CLV \$39.95

Amicus was a Br.tish studio that produced films in the Gothic Hammer tradition, often employing many of the same actors and crew members. The company unexpectedly struck gold with its initial anthology offering, DR TERROR'S HOUSE OF HORRORS (1965) Over the next nine years, Amicus would continue their series of omnibus films, including TORTURE GARDEN (1967), THE HOUSE THAT DRIPPED BLOOD (1970), TALES FROM THE CRYPT (1972), ASYLJM (1972), THE VAULI OF HORROR (1973), and TALES THAT WITNESS MADNESS (1973).

FROM BEYOND THE GRAVE (1973) was another of their horror exercises. It was adapted from the novel The Unbulden and other short stories by R. Chetwynd-Hayes (whose work also provided the basis for THE MONSTER CLUB of 1980). Previous Amicus anthologies had depended on a smorgasbord of Robert Bloch yarns and William Gaines' E.C.

Comics.

Peter Cushing undertakes the linking role of the crusty Scottish proprietor of a

back-alley antique shop far removed from the glitz of Carnaby Street. When ever a transaction requires completion, Cushing disappears into another room to prepare the receipt. Depending on such an honor system, he's often victimized by fraud. Various patrons indulge the opportunity for petry cash theft, shop-lifting, or price-tag switching. Of course, the avaricious offenders justly receive their comcuppances

The first story finds David Warner buying a 400-year-old mirror haunted by an evil spirit that influences Warner to murder a series of victums. At one point, the demon intones "Feeeeeeed Me" as though auditioning for a road company production of LITTLE SHOP OF HORRORS. The "surprise" ending, in which Warner takes the place of the spirit in the mirror, is so hackneyed that it inspires

guffaws rather than chills

The second tale begins more promis ingly Ian Bannen dispenses Christian charity to a street peddler (Donald Pleasence), perhaps to salve his own conscience for having defrauded Cushing Bannen is unhappy with his shrewish wife (Diana Dors) and their smart aleck son (John O'Farrell), so he eases into a class-spanning relationship with the pedd.er and his equally balmy-looking daughter (Angela Pleasence) Faster than you can say "Cruddeney Buns!," Bannen finds himself available to marry the daughter, her expertise with a voodoo doll having freed him from his marital obligations. But the nuptials prove equally fatal to him, courtesy of a twist ending that appears to have been shoehorned from yet another story.

The next segment, "The Elementals," picks up the pace considerably. A chance train encounter between two strangers, an upper-class British twit (Ian Carmichael) and a loopy clairvoyant (Margaret Leighton), reveals that an invisible entity has taken up residence on the gentleman's shoulder. Leighton pays a professional house call to Carmichael and his wife (Nyree Dawn Porter, remembered from the PBS series THE FORSYTE SAGA). Leighton liberates Carmichael while simultaneously de

molishing his parlor in the process. However, the medium hasn't entirely succeeded in evicting the pest. The elemental in question has sim ply relocated to the nearest warm host. Although we never actually catch sight of the creature, we can always feel its physical presence, thanks to the fastidious ingenuity of the acting performances. Their craftsmanship yields the most effective portion of the entire film

The concluding story involves an antique door

that permits the demonic ghost of King Charles 2nd to walk the earth (and unfortunately conjures up the notion that this episode is essentially similar to the antique mirror tale). The royal spectre threatens the lives of purchasers Ian Ogilvy and Lesley-Anne Down Regrettably, the visible mad monarch is much ess credible than the imaginary elemental from the preceding segment King Charles is also less triumphant in his vil lainy than the titular pest, thanks to an uncustomarily benevolent denouement regarding Ogilvy's spending habits.

FROM BEYOND THE GRAVE survives as a mediocre installment in Amicus' succession of horror anthologies Its occasional flashes of humor and shock are too often weighed down by genre cliches. The endings of the first two stories may represent the most inept "surprises" since David L. Hewitt's impoverished, derivatively entitled DR. TERROR'S GALLERY OF HORRORS (1967). The second two tales, considered together, are better but perhaps not potent enough to eliminate the aftertaste of the first two. Still, the film does offer the occasional thrill, and plenty of yockssome intentional

The Image/Warner laserdisc show-cases FROM BEYOND THE GRAVE in the best light possible. The print is free of speckles and artifacts. Color values are consistent with recollections of the film's early seventies cycle through drive-ins and smaller urban hardtops. The letterboxing at 1.85-1 displays the modest ambience of the set decorations. The disc has been pressed in CLV, spreading the entertainment across two sides. The well-chosen side break occurs between the second and third stories, preserving the integrity of the respective narratives.

-John F Black

UNITED ARTISTS HORROR CLASSICS VOLUME 1 MGM/UA Six Sides CLV \$89.95

Image Entertainment's UNITED ARI-ISTS HORROR CLASSICS VOL. I is a tasty jambalaya concocted with late fifties low-budget genre ingredients. Mat ters commence with I BURY THE LIV-ING (1958), a suitably macabre drama about a department store president (Richard Boone) who hesitating, y assumes managerial duties of a local cemetery He is transitionally assisted by the old caretaker (Theodore Bikel, heavily made up), who's about to be retired following 40 years of service.

Boone soon discovers that people mysteriously perish whenever he sticks a black pin into their designated plots, dep.cted on the office's wall map of the burial grounds. The corpses p.le up as he misguidedly attempts to disprove his own hypothesis. Expressionistically, the cemetery map appears to enlarge in tandem with Boone's encroaching be





lief in his own lethal supernatural

power.

Although economically mounted and primarily achieved through conversational means, I BURY THE LIVING furnishes genuine chills. This is principally due to the underplaying by Richard Boone. Normally an abrasive actor, Boone portrays the cemetery manager as a pensive, even introspective man who reluctantly pursues his responsibilities. He is utterly credible as an Everyman who's had graveness thrust upon him Regrettably, the film eventually jettisons its well crafted, harpsichord-tink.ed mood by settling for a predictable "surprise" ending.

The box set's established atmosphere

The box set's established atmosphere of tasteful spookery is maintained by the next offering, THE RETURN OF DRAC-ULA (1958). The Count (Francis Lederer) assumes the identity of one of his victims, a Transylvanian artist journeying to live with distant American relatives. He arrives in the small town of Carleton, California The film's title has telegraphed that the corruption of vampir-

ism will follow in his wake.

Dracula deftly employs his European charm to insinuate himself into the family's good graces. To the teenaged daughter (Norma Eberhardt), he represents Old World sophistication. Her admiration of his apparent gentility causes her to disregard his quirkier personality traits, such as dusk to-dawn strolls and a distaste for mirrors. Soon enough, the community suspects that an ill wind has blown into town

THE RETURN OF DRACULA is reminiscent of Alfred Hitchcock's SHADOW OF A DOUBT (1943), in which a young woman idolized her visiting (marderous) uncle. Lederer's Count is as suave as Joseph Cotten's portrayal of Uncle Charlie in the Hitchcock film Dracula's verbal threats are always cloaked by superficial pleasantries. The vampire even manages to denounce the conformity of "normal" people, a trait shared with the supercitious uncle

.

In the inevitable comparison with Christopher Lee, Francis Lederer doesn't offer Lee's tigerish ferocity. His Dracula is more of a philosophical and urbane creature. While Lee is customarily a seldom-seen menace, Lederer's Count contrastingly enjoys toying with the town's citizens for his own amusement His victimization of his "cousin" does make him seem more treacherous than Lee, however, and Eberhardt's enactment of a three dimensional character who fears the loss of her own humanity renders her a more sympathetic victim than is usually found in these pictures.

The penultimate entry, THE FOUR SKULLS OF JONATHAN DRAKE (1959), is probably the weakest in the set. The story centers on the Drake family and one of its antecedents, a man who commanded the massacre of a tribe of Jivaro Indians in Ecuador, Thanks to the natives' 180 year-old curse, the skulls of Drake descendants mystically appear in

the family vault.

Veteran character actor Henry Daniell portrays an ostensibly Swiss anthropologist whose fascination with shrunken heads betrays another nationality. In fact, the "doctor" has personal experience with the aged skeleton in the Drake family closet. Daniell gives his trade mark "haughty aristocrat" portrayal, but appears less energized than usual, befit ting the film itself

Though the subject matter is fertile for terror director Edward L. Cahn's treatment remains pedestrian. The actors stand around on cramped sets, muttering absurdities Valerie French's heroine rarely rises above the level of monotone. A couple of times, she resorts to eye-fluttering to convey the emotion lacking in her performance She's much less animated than the floating skulls that disturb Jonathan Drake's dreams

Numerous other incongruities are displayed. The curse sequence, in which a livaro Indian rhythmically beats a drum suggests the ambience of a period beatnik java joint. During the climactic confrontation, the villain's knife wobbles furiously, as though made of rubber. One scene inside the Drake house shifts, Ed Wood style, from a light background to a significantly darker one. (Wood often evidenced such confusion but at least his gaffs occurred in outdoor shots.)

Still, THE FOUR SKULLS OF JON ATHAN DRAKE provides occasional entertainment. The funereal organ sound-track adds a sense of old-fashioned melodrama, and the periodic floating craniums are suitably creepy. But as defrom these insufficient assets, the picture plays like a minor programmer for numericalls.

numskulls The set's final piece, THE MANSTER

(1959/62), suggests that two skulls provide more fun than four. Peter Dyneley stars as a foreign correspondent/muck-raker stationed in Japan. A Japanese mad scientist (Satoshi Nakamura), reeling from disastrous exper ments performed

1111111111

on his wife and brother, discovers this new healthy specimen when the vulgar, uncouth journalist interviews him.

Resembling a bargain basement version of Lon Chaney Jr., Dyneley is just what the doctor ordered. Nakamura's "courtship" of him treats Dyneley to such quaint provincial customs as geisha parties and coed mineral springs bathing But amid the festive frivolity, the doctor influences the reporter to imbibe plenty of liquid serum camouflaged as whiskey. Dyneley soon observes a Cyclopean eye sprouting on his shoulder! Eventually, the eye grows into another head to be supported by his heman bone structure.

The distress causes the tortured journalist to murder several victims. Finally, he splits into two separate beings, himself and a hairy, apelike monster. Dyneley vanquishes his former parasite by knocking it into an active volcano. A peripheral character seizes the opportunity to ponderously pontificate about the good and evil trapped in a man's soul.

THE MANSTER was one of the few American/Japanese coproductions of its era. While never plausible the narrative crams numerous exploitation elements into its comparatively brief running time. As such, it's an unadulterated romp from beginning to end, perfect for aficionados of camp.

The four titles comprising the UN ITED ARTISTS HORROR CLASSICS VOL. 1 box set have been afforded a consistently effective transfer to laserdisc. The largely unblemished prints display



satisfactory black and white contrasts, though the last two evidence occasional grain. (THE RETURN OF DRACULA preserves its one color sequence.) The 133 1 aspect ratio doesn't sacrifice any significant imagery from the films. The four titles have been spread over six sides in the CLV format. The side breaks are acceptable, other than a mild chuckle provided when Theodore Bikel admonishes "I can't answer for what happens after sundown." The immediate dissolve to darkness, necessitated by the break, attaches an exclamation point to Bikel's pronouncement. The set's one bonus is a desirable supplement: all four films' music and effects tracks have been isolated on the analog channels

John F. Black

THE SPY WHO LOVED ME MGM/UA

Sides One and Two CLV, Side Two CAV \$39.95

Most people pick THE SPY WHO LOVED ME (1977) as their favorite Roger Moore James Bond film, and I sure can't see any reason to argue. Moore's third outing as Her Majesty's top secret agent borrows a few elements from other of the series' films and mixes a healthy dose of originality, a visually pleasing bit of travelogue, and more than the usual amount of spectacular effects.

When we check in with 007 we find him in imminent danger of having sex with a woman in a ski lodge in Austria, a situation from which he is saved by her producing a small gun (from God knows where). A thrilling ski chase in the Alps follows, and is climaxed with an applause-inducing tongue-in-cheek escape

by our resourceful hero.

Bond is assigned to team up with a Russian secret agent to discover how and why two nuclear missile submarines, one British and one Russian, have disappeared from the face of the sea. (Of the original Ian Fleming story there is no sign, and for once that was Fleming's choice. He so disliked that particular short story that he would only sell the rights to the title to producer Broccoli.) The Russian spy, Major Anya Amasova, is played by Barbara Bach in a performance that can best be described as visually dazzling, but then no one goes to a Bond film for deep character performances. At first their relationship is icy and competitive. They distrust one another and continually vie for first grab at clues. But they grow to trust each other eventually and, since they are secret agents, land in bed together as soon as it seems viable. Their investigations lead them through scenic foreign countries, including a marvelous sequence among Egyptian ruins. They are pursued by one of the series' more bizarre bad guys (and that's saying quite a bit), a seven-foot, steel-toothed indestructible Lurchold named Jaws (Richard Kiel), who works for the chief suspect, shipping magnate Karl Stromberg (Curt Jurgens, always a perfect villain) Along the way they reprise the train compartment fight scene from FROM RUSSIA WITH LOVE (1963) with gusto, if not originality. Also on Stromberg's payroll is the most luscious hit-person ever, horror genre favorite Carolyn Munro, who gives Bond a heartstopping wink before trying to run his car off a cliff with her helicopter.

Bond and Amasova go to sea aboard a U.S. Navy attack sub and, sure enough, they get kidnapped, too Seems Stromberg owns the world's largest oil supertanker (nicely tying the film in with current events-we were involved in one of the Mideast oil crises at the time), and it can swallow subs whole. This gimmick is lifted directly from the space-capsule kidnappings in YOU ONLY LIVE TWICE (1967), as is the basic plot of a megalo-



ends. But, again, what is lacking in origi nality is made up for in speciacle,

From here on in it's pure action, as Bond and three boatloads of guys in sailor suits break out and have a rousing battle in the cavernous bow section of the tanker (largely finished in polished stainless steel and chrome. Bond vil lains are sharp decorators), culminating

in a satisfying barrage of explosions.

THE SPY WHO LOVED ME features one of the series' best theme songs, "Nobody Does It Better," performed by Carly Simon, and a standout score by, believe it or not, Marvin Hamlisch. For the stunning "hangar" scenes of three count 'em, three nuclear subs docked inside Stromberg's supertanker, the world's largest soundstage was constructed for a then-astronomical one miliion bucks. (The same stage would later be transformed into the planet Dagobah for 1980's THE EMPIRE STRIKES BACK.)

There are some trivia items in the script for the fans, since the Navy helps out in this adventure, Bond spends much of the film in Royal Navy uniform, one of the rare times his military standing as a naval commander is evoked (while, happily. Bach spends as much time in slinky revealing dresses). And this may be the only film in which Q (Desmond Llewelyn) is referred to by name-Amasova greets him as Major Boothroyd

The THX laser disk is presented in Dolby digital surround sound and immaculately transferred in 2.35:1 letterbox format. Though there is a theatrical trailer appended to the movie, there are no otner special features. Unusually, while most films over two hours recorded in extended play format are made with the final side in CAV, this set gives us side two of the three sides

maniac trying to start a war for his own in CAV mode. Most of the action being on side three, it seems an odd choice.

-John E. Payne

MOONRAKER MGM/UA

Sides One and Two CLV, Side Three CAV \$39.95

Arguably the worst of the Roger Moore James Bond films, and almost certainly the silliest, MOONRAKER (1979) capitalized heavily on the post-STAR WARS feeding frenzy for effectsladen eye candy. The followup to THE SPY WHO LOVED ME was originally intended to be FOR YOUR EYES ONLY (1981), but Cubby Broccoli knew a bandwagon when he saw one. While Bond has often indulged in sci-fi themes, and once before ventured into orbit (well, not personally), this film pulled out all the stops, Unfortunately, they should have left some of them in . .

The pre-title sequence includes one of the series' most spectacular action scenes. Pushed out of an airplane without a parachute, Bond "chases" the bad guy who jumped out ahead of him and steals his parachute. Then dentally-enhanced nenchman Jaws (Richard Kiel reprising his role from SPY) "chases" after Bond The scene was filmed without special effects by skydiving stuntmen wearing special undersized parachutes hidden beneath their wardrobe. It's a breathtaking stunt, but by the end of it the film's overriding manity becomes apparent: Jaws, having lost his chute, starts flapping his arms like an idiot, and lands unharmed on a circus tent

The standard plot involves the usual megalomaniac, one Hugo Drax (Michael Lonsdale), builder of the fleet of Moon raker space shuttles and possibly the single most lifeless villain in the whole series. (Sadly, the role was turned down by James Mason, whose presence would have improved the whole film) One of Drax's shuttles is stolen in a flamedrenched (and technically impossible) midair heist off the back of its 747 carrier plane, and Bond's mission is to find out by whom and for what.

Drax, naturally, has a sinister plot, which Bond uncovers as he works his way through a series of attempts on his life and a string of waifish women con siderably younger than himself (Lois Chiles, Corinne Clery, et al). Each time Jaws appears the movie turns into a Road Runner cartoon, with Jaws ending up in some sort of impact oriented incident that would kill anyone but him or Wile E. Coyote. Suspension of disbelief for this film would require extensive

drug therapy.

Drax intends to wipe out mankind with an engineered plague, then repopulate the Earth with his own chosen beautiful people—and himself, of course. His modern ark is a private space station, taking the record for the most expensive and implausible bad guy's hideout of the series. That he man ages to keep this huge orbital redoubt undetected when we all know the Air Force is tracking every nut and bolt the astronauts ever lost is covered by the throwaway line, "Hmm. He must have a radar jammer." Indeed. And I must have an embolism, please excuse me

Bond calls in the Marines, and a shuttle full of space-suited soldiers with laser rifles arrives. As Drax's troops move out to engage them, a space battle erupts and the movie goes totally for broke with laser beams flashing and chunks of space station blowing up and space-marines dying left and right It's the typical climactic fight-in-thebad-guy's lair scene that caps such better Bond films as YOU ONLY LIVE TWICE and THE SPY WHO LOVED ME, but it looks more like BATTLESTAR GALACTICA than Bond. Happily, and perhaps by way of apology, the next Bond film out of the gate was FOR YOUR EYES ONLY, arguably the best of Roger Moore's contributions to the Bond series.

Even a bad Bond looks spectacular on laser disk, though, and this presentation is no exception. Letterboxed in 2 35 1 and recorded in Dolby digital surround sound, Sides One and Two are in extended p.ay while the effects-heavy Side Three is in CAV

John E. Payne

MIGHTY JOE YOUNG lmage Entertainment 2 S.des CLV \$29.95

Ernest B. Schoedsack's 1949 MIGHTY JOE YOUNG combines a forties American perception of Africa with a large ape, cowboys, and Hollywood glitz We open on an African plantation, where a young Jill Young (Lora Lee Michel) buys a baby gorilla from passing natives Years go by and we shift to the Hollywood office of feisty Max O'Hara (Robert Armstrong), who is planning a trip to Africa to supply wild animals for his new nightclub's motif Enter Cowboy Gregg (Ben Johnson), a man with a powerful yearning to visit Africa. In typical showman style, O'Hara "hits" on an idea, cowboys in Africa!

In Africa, in a scene that may have been reverse engineered into THE LOST WORLD: JURASSIC PARK (1997), O'Ha ra's crew of ridin' and ropin' cowboys have assembled a collection of caged jungle beasts Mighty Joe Young arrives, checking out the scene. An attempt is made to lasso the big ape, with almost disastrous results to the lassoees. Enter a now barely grown Jil. Young (Terry Moore), who demonstrates a remarkable control over Mighty Joe. A meeting is soon arranged and O'Hara talks Jill into signing a contract that will make her and Joe the star attract ons in his new nightelub.

Back in Hollywood Joe (billed on the marquee as Mr. Joseph Young) proves a sensation. For weeks, he and Jill are subject to O'Hara's vision of sensational acts. Joe in a tug of war against a troop of well known strong men; Joe rising from beneath the stage floor on an el evated platform, holding a piano-play ing Jill over his head . . , all in a jungle setting featuring glass walls housing wild animals. As the weeks pass, Joe becomes sullen, living in a basement cage while J.ll's vision of Hollywood glamour has been diluted by a strong

dose of reality. Finally, after an organ grinder act featuring Joe as a capwearing monkey, a trio of drunks headed by Nestor Paiva (1954's CREATURE FROM THE BLACK LA GOON and a host of others) find Joe in his basement cage, get him drunk, and burn his hand with a cigar. Joe finally has had enough He breaks through the cage and, in one of the film's greatest sequences, runs amok in the nightclub, scattering patrons and jungle beasts alike Jill and Gregg, with O'Hara providing the distraction, elude the police and spirit Toe away in a truck

Often compared to the incompa rable KING KÔNG (1933), MIGHTY ĴOE YOUNG shares some superficial simi larities in its use of a gigantic ape, a nightclub act, and Robert Armstrong Armstrong reprises his Carl Denham character in the guise of Max O'Hara, but his performance seems tired and forced and his character sufters for it Only in the final scenes does O'Hara seem to have the old energy and charm that made Carl Denham such an appeal

ing character. There the resemblance ends, MIGHTY JOE YOUNG is a very simple story, with none of the psychological and social underpinnings that made KING KONG such an astonishing work The ape itself, on the other hand, is a truly amazing piece of work. Mod eled after a real gorilla skeleton, the stop-motion ape created by Willis O'Bri en (supervisory) and Ray Harryhausen is amazingly realistic Pay special attention to the early African scene in which Joe tries to extract a lion from its cage.

The disc, with 26 chapter stops, offers crisp, clean video and audio (mono) The fire sequence is recreated to reflect the original two-negative tinting/toning process of the original film

-Michael Spampinato

FOUR SIDFD TRIANGLE The Roan Group Two Sides CLV \$49.98

The Roan Group has released a laserdisc transfer of Hammer Films' FOUR SIDED TRIANGLE (1953). The film marked the studio's first foray into science fiction. SPACEWAYS (also 1953) would follow it into the cinemas a few months later. Arguably, it was also the company's in tral flirtation with the Frankenstein legend,

The laserdisc commences with the British Board of Film Censors Certificate A card Shortly thereafter, we witness flashbacks that delineate the relationship between three children Two young boys are both enamored of a blonde female playmate. Several years later, the grown-



up young woman (Barbara Payton) returns to the village and discovers that Bill (Stephen Murray) and Robin (John Van Eyssen, who would later portray Jonathan Harker in 1958's HORROR OF DRACULA) have pooled their resources into scientific experiments. The two young men are constructing a mach.ne that can duplicate any object, But Payton's reappearance rekindles their boyhood rivalry, forming the titular tri angle. Payton and Van Eyssen soon wed, leaving Murray pining for an identical



wife. As a result, he resolves to up the ante and test the limits of the duplication machine. With Payton's accord Murray creates a replication of her—an exact

replica.

FOUR SIDED TRIANGLE is neither horrific nor suspenseful, but entertains well enough as an offbeat character study. It was directed by Terence Fisher, who additionally coauthored the screenplay With Fisher at the helm, the technical gobbledegook is soft pedaled. As the scenarist, he's more intrigued by the ramifications of science on the characters' sensibilities. Their uneasy triangle is further complicated by the creation of Payton's twin Symbolically, the twin provides the fourth side to their interrelationship. She's an exact duplicate, down to her emotional makeup To fa cilitate a dash of climactic mystery, Payton wears identical clothing in her dual role There's really no other reason for the two twins to dress exactly alike.

American actress Barbara Payton (whose countenance presages Kim Novak's arched eyebrows and Mamie Van Doren's perky platinum waves) enacts the film's love interest(s). She may well have been imported due to her own notorious real-life "triangle." Actors Franchot Tone and Tom (DETOUR) Neal had physically clashed for her attentions, eliciting unseemly tabloid headlines. Payton would later discuss the activities of this "unholy three" in her autobiography I Am Not Ashamed (1963), She never commented about the Hammer film in her book, but did describe BRIDE OF THE GORILLA (1951) as her "last big picture break." That's unfortunate, because FOUR SIDED TRIANGLE is an in telligently conceived production. Her dual role certainly has more depth than the white temptress from the jungle pot boiler. Though not a great actress, Payton contributes some poignancy when the manufactured twin knowingly consents to having her memory erased. She comprehends that she'll necessarily forget the man she loves

Previously, FOUR SIDED TRIANGLE was only available in mediocre quality on VHS. The Roan Group has utilized a 35mm fine grain print, courtesy of the

British Film Institute. Given the age of the materials, the laserdisc's image is splendid. The picture is crisp, offering pleasing black and white contrasts Few blemishes of any kind are apparent. The focus and overall resolu tion are of superior quality. The disc's side break does awkwardly interrupt a resuscitation se quence. The second side follows in the CAV format, but, since the film spotlights few special effects, it might have been preferable to remain in CLV and avoid interrupting the laboratory events. The 1:33-1 aspect ratio displays Fisher's compositions without hint of cropping.

Roan's package is an attractive item for Hammer completists. The jacket cover reproduces the original pulpy poster art, including the arresting subtitles "Unbelievable," "Amazing," "Gripping." In keeping with the film's theme, an exact duplication of the origi-

nal pressbook is included.

John F. Black

THE LEGEND OF THE 7 GOLDEN VAMPIRES The Roan Group 3 Sides CLV \$69 95

In 1804, Kah (Chan Shen), a Chinese priest, seeks an audience with Count Dracula (John Forbes-Robertson). Tracking the count to his Transylvanian castle, the priest solicits Dracula's aid in reviving seven Szechwan vampires, creatures the priest used to control his village, but who are now lying dormant Rather than lending his assistance, Dracula replaces the priest's consciousness with his own, travels to the Szechwan village, and begins a reign of terror.

Cut to 1904 Chunking, and Professor Van Helsing (Peter Cushing) recounting the legend of these same seven vamps to a classroom of professors. Ha.fway through, the professors leave in disdain. such tales are not relevant in this modern age Discouraged at his reception, Van Helsing plans to return home but is approached by Hsu Tien (David Chiang), whose ancestor was killed seeking to rid his village of the marauding

vampires

Funded by Vanessa Buren (Julie Ege), an expedition to the village is arranged Hsu Tien and his six brothers (and one sister), all martial arts experts, accompany Van Helsing, his son Leyland (Robin Stewart), and Buren as they trek from Chungking to the Szechuan province. On the way the team is attacked by three of the vampires and several zombies, all controlled by Dracula. The brothers (and one sister) best them in a rather good martial arts battle featuring long sword, short sword, axe, mace, arrow, and fist

Arriving at the village, Van Helsing and his troop prepare for battle. The re-

maining vampires and a horde of zombies descend on the village, resulting in a well-choreographed martial arts extravaganza and victory for Van Helsing's troop (but at heavy cost) leading to a final showdown between Van Helsing and Count Dracula

Often maligned, THE 7 GOLDEN VAMPIRES is a fascinating and surprisingly intelligent experiment in East meets West. A solid foundation in turnof-the century English culture, supplied by Van Helsing, gives us a point of reference lacking in most Hong Kong action films. (Pay special attention to the campfire conversation between Van Helsing and Hsu Tien concerning the differences between Chinese and Transylvanian vampires and vampire lore.)

Though filmed in Hong Kong, this Hammer/Shaw Brothers coproduction retains the lush look and tone that is the Hammer hallmark. Peter Cushing, while definitely aging, looks right at home and retains all the vigor and charisma of his

earlier Van Helsing roles

The 90-minute film is presented in its original 2.35:1 aspect ratio, with mono sound and excellent color and sharpness. A special treat resides on the analog audio tracks: a reading by Cushing of *The Legend of the 7 Golden Vampures*, written by Don Houghton with music composed by James Bernard. The discialso offers THE 7 BROTHERS MEET DRACULA, the 75-mmute re-edit of the original film. Consider it more a curtosity and focus on THE LEGEND OF THE 7 GOLDEN VAMPIRES

-Michael Spamp nato

M Voyager Two Sides CLV \$49.95

An entire city shudders in terror while a clever serial killer preys upon the young and innocent. The police work overtime searching the crime scenes for even the timest of clues.

Forensic experts utilize the latest tech nology to diligently analyze fingerprints while a psychologist deciphers a handwritten note to create a psychological profile of the killer.

Sounds like something straight out of SILENCE OF THE LAMBS, doesn't it? All of these elements are found in the Criterion Collection laserdisc release of Fritz Lang's 1931 psychological suspense drama M

This compelling film was Lang's first film utilizing sound (he'd previously made such silent classics as 1926's ME TROPOLIS and the Die Nibelungen epic consisting of 1924's SIEGFRIED and KRIEMHILDE'S REVENGE) and would later go on to create such minor masterpieces as THE WOMAN IN THE WIN-

DOW (1944) and SCARLET STREET (1945).

Peter Lorre gives a mesmerizing performance as the psychopath who turns the citizens of a city against each other then ultimately unites them in a desperate search to find the killer in their midst. Many film histories cite M as the first movie to examine a serial killer and it's easy to see the influence this classic film has made, even on present day paint-by-numbers slasher movies. If only today's contenders had even a fraction of the grace and skill exhibited in this landmark picture...

From the stark moments of silence to the eerie whistling of the serial killer stalking his prey, Lang's artistry is evident throughout. He employs overlapping dialogue with cutaway shots to



fully inform and engage the audience One brilliant example of this comes when the police are shown having a discussion nearly identical to one being had by the city's cr.minal leaders, perfectly illustrating the effect the killer has had on the lives of everyone in the city Lang also displays his genius for sustaining tension by closing in on the killer as he flicks open his knife and peels an apple while selecting his next victim. The deliberate use of shadow and light, silence and sound, makes for a masterful viewing experience. With all of the killings occurring off-camera but nearly every scene drenched in suspense, Lang clearly displays why he was so well respected by the master himself, Alfred Hitchcock

Criterion's transfer is sharp, despite the expected wear and tear on the print, and is in the original aspect ratio of 1 33·1 M is in German with English subtitles and clocks in at 110 minutes. Liner notes reveal this is a digital transfer taken from a new 35mm fine grain master and that the audio is from a newly restored digital audio master. Side Two of this CLV disc exhibits a transparent white line along the top border of the image While there are no supplementary materials, the cover is a fantastically stark red, black, and gray, with concise and informative liner notes. I strongly urge you to add the Criterion Collection's laserdisc release of M to your video library.

Michael D. Walker

SPIDER BABY Image Entertainment Two Sides CLV \$24.99 (Newly Reprices

\$24.99 (Newly Repriced)

The film SPIDER BABY was at one time among the rarest of sixties esoterica. It was completed in 1964, and even promoted in Famous Monsiers of Filmland under the title CANNIBAL ORGY But a lawsuit kept it on the shelf for four years. By the time David Hewitt finally released it, its then-passé black and white status prevented it from being considered a topline attraction. The film sank from sight after a couple of years, apparently lost to the ravages of time.

SFIDER BABY opens with a dapper Quinn Redeker comfortably reclining while garbed in a smoking jacket. He picks up his copy of Dictionary of Rare and Peculiar Diseases, automatically locating the entry on the Merrye Syndrome as though he has revisited this path many times. He begins to read aloud, conveying the aura of a fractured fairy tale.

We the audience are thus drawn into the story as outsiders. We identify with a messenger (Mantan Moreland) who trepidatiously enters the forbidding Merrye estate. And we are shocked when this gently humorous character is butchered by a bizarre young woman (Jill Banner)

Lon Chaney Jr. then appears as Bruno, the Merrye family guardian/chauffeur. His sincere devotion to the remaining family members gradually influences us to accept them on their own terms. By the time distant relatives have arrived to take possession of the estate, our sympathies have shifted to the Merrye progeny. Writer/director Jack Hill has managed to suspend disbelief by utilizing Chaney as a dedicated advocate who only seeks to prevent encroachment from the out side world of normalcy

Following a climatic night of horrors, the narrative returns to storyteller/survivor Quinn Redeker. He smugly expostulates that the Merrye curse was extinguished on that fateful night—but he's clearly not supervising his own daughter carefully enough!

The Image Inserdisc offers a deluxe presentation of SPIDER BABY. The image is matted in the director's preferred aspect ratio of 1:66-1. The source print itself is noticeably lighter than unauthorized videocassette versions. Many of the props, such as Mantan Moreland's

severed ear, are more clearly visible than ever before. Subtle lighting contrasts within the mansion are well displayed

The laserdisc also provides a secondaudio commentary track, isolated on the analog channels. Creator Jack Hill commences by tracing the film's roots to a couple of UCLA theater department men. They had earned some money in side.the real estate development and desired to invest the profits in a horror movie. They had rejected the scripts being submitted, until Hill's CANNIBAL ORGY OR, THE MADDEST STORY EVER TOLD landed on their desk

With a raconteur's delight, Hill serves up tasty anecdotes of helming such a low budget production. Among many issues, he discusses the advantage of knowing where to find a soundstage containing an actual pit, the risk he took in employing then-17-year-old Jill Banner as a minor without the requisite attendance of a tutor on the set, and his creation of body-piercing sound effects by forcefully ripping adhesive tape from film canisters.

H.ll entertains the listener with admissions of technical errors, but he also addresses the camaraderic he was able to inspire among his cast members. Though working for scale, they felt honored to be contributing to such a novel project.

Hill speaks movingly about the ailing Lon Chaney Jr.'s contribution to the proceedings. Although plagued by alcoholism, Chaney literally willed himself to remain sober during the week of filming (only allowing himself a daily glass of beer at three in the afternoon). That dedication resulted in a performance that runs the gamut from subtle humor to genuine pathos. Bruno's sincerity may be misguided, but Chaney's portrayal of him helps to "humanize" the oddball Merrye children.

Jack Hill's bemused reactions to the "cult classic" label applied to SPIDER BABY betray a humble and gentlemanly approach to his own career. His solo audio commentary is much more successful than his session for the Criterion edition of his SWITCHBLADE SISTERS (a session marred by Quentin Tarantino's nonstop barrage of manities). Hill is in command here, and we're better served

by that.

The laserdisc concludes with highlights of the film's 30th Anniversary Revival Premiere, held at Los Angeles' Nuart Theatre. Former Rhino Video procurer Johnny Legend hosts on-camera interviews with Hill; cast members Sid Haig, Beverly Washburn, and Mary Mitchell; cameraman Al Taylor; and longtime Hill associate John Prizer. For some of these participants, this SPIDER BABY screening represented their first-ever opportunity to see the finished product.

The Revival Premiere segment is followed by the inscription "Dedicated to Lon and Mantan." It would have been

Continued on page 74

Monster Malker Clive Balker

hum somehow have convinced to the three partial areas than a convinced to the convinced that the convinced to the convinced t

Stores (1995) and, arrest being 1 was more turn that with Scartes Stress Clive Barker was born in Live

tive Barker was born in Live to a smeading Liver poor Universifilms SALOME and THE FORBID has and acting

Late in the seventies result was three volume tation in the horror field lowed, and was tollowed the States as 1985's The

The eighties saw the many landsplatens of his work. RAVIII AD REX not the form of the barrier hated both which spacked his declision to enter the lief of the continuous lands of the many lands on his novella The Helphanian was the immunister model. The Helphanian has the immunister model to the half over the pooks, model the form of the half over the plant the kitchen sink.

1987) and The Great and Se such fright to a such from Barker's store which first unit

It was Condon when tive producer, chose and MONSTERS, the film very characters while Father of the all three film with this tascinal Barker, Bill Condon ter) the late James It.

SCHOLFT STREET

interviewed by

Kevin G Shimiik Scarlet Street. What has been the reaction to your coming out publicly as gay?

Clive Barker: It's been a stunning, silent thing, actually. Total indifference. (Laughs) No, there's really been excellent response from my readers, in the sense that anybody who is familiar with my work has probably made this discovery for themselves, anyway. I've done signings in gay bookstores for the last several years. I've done interviews in The Advocate. It's never really been an issue. It's not like I've ever said anything about it other than, "Sure!" Anybody'd ask me the question, I'd give them the answer. What happened last year was that I did

an Advocate interview and a genre interview all at once, and I think the co.ective impression was more noteworthy than just doing these pieces bit by bit-to the extent that it's become of interest to my readers. They say, "Cool." Gay readers are very pleased. It reinforces the sense that somebody in the mainstream of fiction and filmmaking is comfortable with saying, "Yes, sure, I'm gay."

SS: That you're comfortable with who

CB: Yes, exactly. And comfortable with putting gay characters, male and female, in the center of my narratives. My recent novel, Sacrament, has a gay hero

SS. Is it easier being an opening gay writer than it would be being an openly

CB: Oh, for sure! I m not pro-outing; I don't think you should be prescribing for other people's lives. I just don't think that's a legitimate or honorable position. I think if you're an actor who makes his money-or, indeed, her money-and pays the mortgage by presenting a certain kind of image to an increasingly conservative audience, then it's certainly the case that the audience is

lems believing that actor as a hetero-sexual lover if they know that he and his boyfriend were together at the Academy

Awards.

SS: Isn't educating the public important? CB: Oh, yes, I do, but I think we're a long way off from breaking that problem down-and I think in the meantime you can't spoil people's careers. It's just not what you should be up to, you know? SS: Do you find the current political climate

frightening?

CB: Well, sure, but I think you have to compare it with the Thatcher years in England and the Reagan years here. I don't think the last 20 years have been particularly encouraging.

SS: In addition to writing, you're also a filmmaker. In fact, you're a gay director who has executive-produced a film about James Whale, who was a gay director. How did you first become aware of Christopher Bram's book, Father of Frankenstein?

CB: It was sent to me, I think by his editor. I read it and was quoted on it and we decided to make the movie Bill Condon, who directed CANDYMAN: FAREWELL TO THE FLESH, wrote a really stylish, intelligent adaptation of Chris' book.

SS: What was it that attracted you to the subject matter?

CB: Well, of course, it's the story of a gay Brit who makes horror movies. (Laughs) So there's that!

5S: You identified with Whale

CB: Yes, to some extent. Here was a man who reinvented himself, in a way. Here was a man with a working-class history who turned himself, for a period of time, into Hollywood nobility. He was there at the heart of Hollywood during one of its most glamorous periods and seems to



going to have more problems with you being gay. I don't think there's any question about this The audience is going to have more problems with the popular HELLRAISER films (and the character of Pinhead) have made Clive Barker a house-hold name

have been, as far as we can understand, pretty out about his life-though I think he ended up paying a price for that. SS: You're an admirer of Whale's films?

CB: Yes, of course. BRIDE OF FRANK-ENSIEIN is, for me, the greatest horror movie ever made. He imported a lot of European technique into American filmmaking and made it work in a way which remains extraordinary. BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN is still an extraordinary movie. And OLD DARK HOUSE, SHÓW BOAT this was a talented man Say SHOW BOAT and most people don't think James Whale, but he made it

SS: It would be much the same if you did SHOW BOAT

CB: Well, Hitchcock had that wonderful line: he said ne'd always wanted to do a musical, but he knew the entire audience would be waiting to see which of the chorus girls dropped dead

SS: Are you hemmed in by the horror label? CB: No, not really. In books, absolutely not. In film, certainly my history of making films in a particular genre, films that have made money, means that if I were to go in and say I'd really like to do—well, a musical—yes, I think that would be more problematical. But in books-in the last 10 years I've written fantasies and children's books, mystical fiction, and all kinds of other stuff. Actually, those books outsell the horror books three or four to one, so that's nev er really been a problem.

SS: How closely does the film GODS AND MONSTERS adhere to Christopher Bram's

Father of Frankenstein?

CB: Closely enough. Bill went off in his own direction, but it's quite respectful of Chris' work.

SS. Was it very difficult getting a project about a gay Hollywood director off

the ground?

CB: It has not been the easy journey. Unfortunately, the failure of ED WOOD commercially did us a lot of harm. It was a fun movie, but it didn't do its business. So over and over again we went to people with this project and they'd say, "Oh, it's ED WOOD!" And we would say, "No, no, no, it isn't ED WOOD ED WOOD is wonderful, but this is not ED WOOD." That was definitely a problem.

SS: How did you get Sir Ian McKellen

to play Whale?

CB: Well, we had a few meetings with Ian and he was simply invited

to join the party.

SS: What other actors were considered? CB: Well, I think Peter O'Toole has a Whale in him. (Laughs) Of course, there are other interesting characters, such as the producer David Lewis, who was Whale's lover for a number of years, George Cukor, Princess Margaret—the usual suspects from that period. The interesting challenge, and it's one that was faced by and dealt admirably with by Tim Burton when he did ED WOOD, was not getting into impersonations, but actually trying to recreate the flavor of a period or

the flavor of a person. Doing it creatively without getting locked into an impersonation. Plainly, Johnny Depp was a good deal more attractive than Ed Wood

ever was in real life . .

SS: Certainly a hard part to cast in GODS AND MONŠTERS was Boris Karloff.

CB: That's perfectly right. That was a tough one

SS: How about the problem of showing these people in both the thirties and fifties? Did you use different actors?

CB: No, we went for makeup; I think that was the best thing to do. You become misleading otherwise, two sets of actors impersonating the same character risks becoming confusing

SS: One of the book's highlights is an ex tended flashback to the set of BRIDE OF

FRANKENSTEIN

CB: We re-created that. Bill was very keen on doing that. We had to go to Uni versal for that, obviously. Bill was as detailed and as respectful of the period as possible.





LEFT: Director/screenwriter Clive Barker sets up a scene with star Scott Bakula for LORD OF ILLUSIONS (1995). RIGHT: Barker behind the camera.

SS Bill Condon was such an excellent choice for director

CB: He s wonderful, quite wonderful SS: James Whale was a master of aubtle hor ror, whereas you're a master of modern, graphic horror. Do you think modern horror

sometimes goes too far?

CB: No I think Whale was pushing very hard at the limits of what he could get away with even back in the thirties. I don't know what "too far" is, really There are places where it makes great sense to push the limits of taste and decency, and there are other places where it's good to be reserved. I've certainly done a little bit of both in my career, both on the page and on the screen. I think it's really a question of, "Well what are you trying to do with the scene?" Are you trying to shock people? Disturb people? Unnerve people? Or are you trying to goose people? What are you attempting? Each scene, each moment in the drama will require something different in the way of technique SS: What recent horror films appeal to you? CB: I haven t seen a lot of horror movies I've liked recently. I admired what Bill did in the CANDÝMAN sequel a lot, as I said. I admired FROM DUSK TO DAWN for its sense of fun and its sheer verve, but it wasn't a particularly scary movie MUTE WITNESS, I thought, was rather fine. There haven't been a lot of horror movies lately

SS. Again, it seems to be the climate. People are blaming real-life violence on films.

CB: Well, as far as England is concerned, we're talking about a country that still bans THE EXORCIST

SS: Here in America, we now have the NC-17 rating, but people are afraid of advertising it

CB: Well, it's not that they're <u>afraid</u> of advertising. It's that there's a system that simply <u>refuses</u> to advertise. You're looking at a country where certain newspapers and radio stations simply won't carry any ads for NC-17 films. There's a religious thing going on, obviously. The Christian Right is vocal and

getting more vocal. It's an issue here. That isn't to say that we should be scared off by these people. I'm not about to say that screen violence is great, rah rah! I'm simply saying that, in certain contexts, violence has its place in the telling of a story

SS: Did you feel that you were compromising by coming out with an "R" rating on LORD OF ILLUSIONS?

CB: No, because I knew that I could have my unrated version later.

SS: You actually began your career in the tneater, as a writer and director. What were

your early influences?

CB: Well, that was England in the seventies. The Royal Court was at its height. There was a certain kind of aggressive, in-your-face kind of theater that was very influentia, at that time. These were very literary plays; Edward Bond, particularly, was doing very literary plays, but there was a great deal of violence in them, there was a great deal of unfettered sexual.ty in them, and there was a strong political agenda. Those plays were important to me. They were a powerful influence. What else? Oh, Jacobean plays Punch and Judy. Pantomime. A whole host of things, many of which seemed almost contradictory. There was a sort of sense that I was trying to marry up opposites. There's a play I'm doing right now called CRAZY FACE, subtitled A COMEDY WITH LIONS, which I'm editing. It's a play that dates from 1982 and it's about clowns and lions and pantomime horses and violent death It's very much a play which brings together apparently contradictory elements and watches them clash onstage

SS: Working with virtually no budget, did you feel you had to go for more shocking ef fects to get an audience's attention?

CB: More shocking effects were tough to achieve on no budget! Actually, I think it made the plays more literary, because words are cheap. An actor speaking is just about the cheapest effect you can get (Laughs) So my plays tend to be quite verbally dense, with the exception

of something like FRANKENSTEIN IN LOVE. That was anomalous in a sense, we had a bit more money for it and it definitely plunged into the Grand Guignol tradition of tricks and onstage special effects. But THE HISTORY OF THE DEVIL, COLOSSUS, CRAZY FACE, SUBTLE BODIFS, and PARADISE STREET are relatively—well, actually, they're almost entirely free of that kind of expensive trickery

SS: At the same time you were doing this, you began making experimental films.

CB: I made two films which went on to be put on video: SALOME, which I did when I was 18, and THE FORBIDDEN, which I did when I was 19. Both short, dark pieces. SALOME is seven minutes long and shot on 8mm; THE FORBIDDEN was shot on 16mm, but we printed it in negative because we didn't have the money to print it in positive. We designed the whole thing to be shot on negative. I was quite surprised to see how many people got something out of them on video. I mean, they're 25 years old. God help us—25!

SS: There seems to be a very strong Kenneth

Anger influence.

CB: You're right! You're exactly right! I saw Anger's movies at a very active lit tle film society in Liverpool in the six ties. Liverpool was quite a place to be in the sixties. Ginsberg had come over and called Liverpool the Haight Ashbury of England. It was a place where poets and, obviously, musicians-the Beatles and al, the many bands that followed in their wake-were active. So I saw all the Warhols and the Angers and the usual suspects at that time. One of the things it made plain was that all you really needed was a camera These were not technically very proficient pictures. There was something rather homemade about them, and that was very important to me. At a certain point in your life, you think, "Oh, now, wait a second! I can do this " And it worked. The images that stick with me, specifically from FORBID-DEN, is the skin-pealing scene—all done















You've read about 'em in Now you can get 'em from

They're the films you buy Scarlet Street to read about, now available on video from Scarlet Street!

The Magazine of Mystery and Horror

DRACULA! THE MUMMY! BLACK CAT! Scarlet Street has the

very best Manners . . .

UNIVERSAL HORRORS (Only \$14.98 each)

Abbott and Costello Meet Frank- Leech Woman, The

enstein Black Cat. The

Black Friday

Bride of Frankenstein

Calling Dr. Death/Strange Con-

fession

Climax, The

Creature From the Black Lagoon

Creature Walks Among Us, The Dead Man's Eyes/Pillow of

Death

Dracula

Dracula (Spanish version)

Dracula's Daughter

Frankenstein

Frankenstein Meets the Wolf

Man

Ghost of Frankenstein

House of Dracula

House of Frankenstein

House of Horrors

Invisible Agent

Invisible Man, The

Invisible Man Returns, The

Invisible Man's Revenge, The

It Came From Outer Space Land Unknown, The

Mad Ghoul, The

Man Made Monster

Mole People, The

Monster and the Girl, The

Monster on the Campus

Mummy, The

Mummy's Curse, The

Mummy's Ghost, The

Mummy's Hand, The Mummy's Tomb, The

Murders in the Rue Morgue

Murders in the Zoo

Mystery of Edwin Drood, The

Night Monster

Phantom of the Opera, The

Raven, The

Revenge of the Creature

Son of Dracula

Son of Frankenstein

Strange Door, The

Tarantula

Weird Woman/The Frozen

Ghost

WereWolf of London

Wolf Man, The



Send check or money order to Scarlet Street Video P.O. Box 604 Glen Rock, NJ 07452

VHS only Shipping in continental U.S.A. \$4 first tape. \$2 each additional tape. Canada \$8 tirst tape. \$4 each additional tape. Foreign insured surface (for airwall, double rates) \$11 first tape. \$5 each additional tape. Scarlet Street ships via UPS and orders must have street address.

Complete Catalogue: \$2.

NJ residents add 6% sales tax. Allow 6 to 8 weeks for delivery. with things you can find around your house right now. (Laughs) Torn T-shirts and pins and paints and baby oil, and a whole lot of patience from a whole lot of friends, many of whom have gone on to other things. Better and brighter things Pete Atkins, who is the body that's being skinned in that particular scene . . .

SS. He's still talking to you?

CB: Isn't that amazing? He wrote HFI L RAISER 2, 3 and 4 Doun Bradley, who's in it with his now wife, is the man be hind the pins in HELLRAISER. It was a very creative time, a time when anything seemed possible. It was wonderful to have those models. Anger is a prime example of a filmmaker who just went and told his own mythology

SS: At this time, were you a horror fan?

CB: Yes, I was a horror fan, but I've always been much more of an "imagination" fan. That is to say, I like horror movies, but I like fantasy movies and children's movies and science fiction movies. In other words, I like movies that stimulate my imagination. If somebody has asked me what I liked most I prob ably wouldn't have said horror movies; I'd have said fantasies. I saw FANTASIA when it came back in one of its many reissues in the six ties; I saw it seven times in one week. I saw the double bill of ONE MILLION YEARS B C and SHE that came around when I was 14, and I saw that six or seven times. There are entire sections of SHE that I can recite when drunk. (Laughs) I do Bernard Cribbins, I do a very good Peter Cusning as Holly-it was the most expensive movie that Hammer had made up until that time, and I think it's rather fine. It's got a wonderful score, and a lot of the poetry of Haggard's work is intact. SS. So many modern films lack that sense of poetry.

CB: I think poetry has to come almost accidentally. You can't seek it too hard. It's the quest beast—the more you seek it, the more it's going to elude you. The trick is to say, "Well, can I put myself in a position where, if it comes to me, I won't re-

ject it?" I think there's a strange, perhaps perverse poetry in some of my movies Some of the images in the first HELL-RAISER movie, in Pinhead, in Candyman, in the swords dropping on Swan in LORD OF ILLUSIONS there's a kind of image which is not "on the nose" there to scare you, to make you jump, but has something else going on in it. Certainly the image of Pinhead falls into that category. Of course, to a huge extent this is in the eye of the beholder. What I find poetic, you might not-though it's likely we re going to have more things in common than Pat Buchanan and I. (Laughs) But there's certainly going to be some images which touch off something in ourselves, some point of reference which will go back to our own histories, something we've read or seen when we were kids. You'll find something and I ll find something else. I couldn't, if I was

watching SHE now, defend it as great cinema, but that's really not the point. If it moves you it moves you.

SS: The works that established you were The Books of Blood

CB: There were six of them in England They were ideas which I just needed to express. They followed my playwriting, so there's an interesting theatricality in the writing, and I think an interesting indifference to what the genre normally does—which came as much as anything out of ignorance.

SS: The imagery sticks with the reader. It s very much like Ray Bradbury in that regard CB: Ray's a master of that. When I first picked up Something Wicked This Way Comes, when I was about nine, a door opened into another space, a space that was not only an imaginative space, but

GOGS and monsters

I ROW PORTER AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

a linguistic space I hadn't read fiction that used words like that before I was enchanted. And nothing in my life compares with picking up a book that enchants me and enraptures me. I love movies, I love looking at paintings, but to be seized by a book, to be folded into the words and captured is quite without parallel as an experience.

SS: How do you prepare to write a story?
CB: Structure and analysis and notes—obsessive notes—and just really trying to prepare myself as much as possible, so that once I get into the flow nothing interrupts me. The trick for me is to prepare the ground as fully as possible, so that I'm not in a situation where I'm two, three months into writing a book and I suddenly hit a place where I don't know where the narrative's supposed to go I can't do what I know a lot of au thors do—Steve falls into this category,

Stephen King—which is literally sit down at page one and start. I can't do that. I need to structure the thing, I need to analyze what I'm doing thematically, I need to agonize over who the characters are going to be their names... all of that might take me six weeks, and I'll have hundreds and hundreds of pages with notes and names and little pieces of research. Then and only then do I let rip and start

SS: Do your fans think of you primarily as a writer or a firmmaker?

CB: Well, we have a website called The Web of Lost Souls, and I would say the bulk of the people who visit think of me as a writer. But if you were to go into the malls of America and ask "Who's Clive Barker," they'd say, "Oh, he made the fellow with the pins in his face!"

SS: Even though you do other things, you are in a sense typecast as a horror writer. Does that help you to get other

projects off the boards?

CB: Yes. I've created franchises— Pinhead and Candyman—and people in this town say, "Well, Barker makes modestly-scaled movies that make money."

SS: But do you think writing sequels is sometimes a way to avoid having to

come up with fresh ideas?

CB: The truth of the matter is that the movie will be made with or without me, so I may as well be enboard as not. I think there's an element of truth in what you say, but there is also a long and honorable tradition in horror and tantasy movies that they do result in sequels. We were talking about BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN. Val Lewton's CAT PEOPLE movies are wonderful HOUSE OF FRANKENSTEIN and SON OF FRANKENSTEIN are very watchable. Throughout its history, the horror genre, whether it's the Universal cycle, the Hammer movies, Roger Corman's Poe se ries-which, even though they're not strictly sequels, bring back the same sort of characters and the same sort of situations, and when it comes to the burning house the same shots—the horror genre has a long

tradition of having sequels. The FRIDAY THE 13TH films, HELLRAISER, CAN DYMAN—these are movies in which the audience definitely takes pleasure in the reappearance of the villain. When we first showed HELLRAISER 2, Pinhead came on the screen and the audience

went bananas

SS: One last question, if you will: In the early eightles, two of your stories were optioned for films.

CB: Well, one was a story and one was an original screenplay

SS: RAWHEAD REX and TRANSMUTA-IIONS. What did you think of them?

CB: Oh, I hated them with a passion I haven't seen them for many a long year and hope never to see them again. But they were the films that made me go and make HELLRAISER. It seemed to me,











Monster Maker Sold Condon

interviewed by Richard Valley

Inushed voices of its two occupants—of a man white-haited and trail, the other young trigged taked—echang through the direct bit rooms Outside, a storm rages, an occasional brief lash of blue-volute lightning brightening the house's shadowy interior. The old man rumbles in the kilosom two creations are species of the creations, when suddenly a low, strangely from liangrows draws his attention and a vivid thur to boly reveals the said questioning face of the franken stein Monster.

Not just a Frankenstein Monster, mind you
The Frankenstein Monster The Monster of
FRANKENSTEIN (1931), BRIDE OF FRANKEN
STEIN (1935), and their six sequels. The Monster
who made a star of Boris Karloff. The Monster
immortalized the man who first gave him life on
the silver screen, the same white haired ald man





PREVIOUS PAGE: An alien removes his outer human disguise in STRANGE INVADERS (1983), Brendan Fraser gets under Sir Ian McKellen's skin in GODS AND MONSTERS (1998), and Bill Condon presides over all. LEFT: James Lecesne played makeup master Jack Pierce in a scene cut from GODS AND MONSTERS. Lecesne wrote the award-winning short film, TREVOR (1998). RIGHT: Clay Boone (Brendan Fraser) and Hannah (Lynn Redgrave) discuss their troubled employer, James Whale.

who meets him one last time in a sumptuous Hollywood estate in the late spring of 1957 . . .

James Whale

The Hollywood director, seemingly a forgotten man in the decades immediately following his death on May 29, 1957, has proven himself immortal in recent years, with a biography by James Curtis in 1982 (James Whale, Scarecrow Press), another by Mark Gatiss in 1995 (James Whale A Biography or The Would be Gentleman, Cassell), a second book by Curtis in 1998 (James Whale: A New World of Gods and Monsters, Faber and Faber)—and, in 1995, Father of Frankenstein (Dutton), a haunting, fictional rumination on Whale's final

days by Christopher Bram.

"When the legend becomes the fact, print the legend," says a character in John Ford's THE MAN WHO SHOT LIB-ERTY VALANCE (1962). The same holds true of filming the legend. It is Bram's book not the biographies-that has found its way onto the screen as GODS AND MONSTERS, a Lions Gate Films release directed by Bill Condon, and it is this film that contains Whale's imaginary kitchen encounter with his most famous fiend. Condon has a long string of genre credits, beginning with his work as a screenwriter on STRANGE BEHAVIOR (1981) and its follow-up, STRANGE INVADERS (1983). He made his directorial debut with SIS TER, SISTER (1987), then wrote and directed MURDER 101 (1991), for which he won an Edgar Award from the Mystery Writers of America. His later credits include DEAD IN THE WATER (1991) and CANDYMAN, FAREWELL TO THE FLESH (1995), which first brought him into contact with horror great Clive Barker, executive producer on GODS AND MONSTERS.

"I got involved with this project simply by reading the novel by Christopher Bram," Bill Condon recalled in a recent talk with Scarlet Street, "which I was drawn to because it was about James Whale, whose movies I've loved for so long. When I read it, I saw that Chris had been able to take Whale's life and spin this story around it, a story that was so complicated dramatically. I thought it was one of those rare novels that should be a movie. We'd be able to do things in a movie that maybe Chris was unable to do in the novel, so that a movie could stand up next to the novel as its equal, while also being something very different. For example, there's a single line in the novel about how Whale, suffering from a stroke, sometimes wished that he could have his brain washed. In the movie, that turned into a whole sequence where Whale imagines himself as the Monster on the slab, with Clay Boone [the rugged young man mentioned above] as his Dr. Frankenstein, pulling out the old brain and putting in a new one,"

If literal brain washing is something of a scientific conundrum, then getting the rights to use the legendary (and legendarily copyrighted) Jack Pierce Monster makeup in a non-Universal picture is no less out of the ordinary. Luck ily, Condon had an ace up his sleeve.

"I have a friend who works at Universal. I can't say who it is and I hope Universal never figures it out, but he just sort of amazingly got us in under the radar. He was able to sign off on the makeup. If I weren't for him, I don't know what we would have done, because it's such an important part of the movie. And it was also important to be able to show the clips. I really, really sweated that one out."

The clips under discussion were all lifted lock, stock, and cosmic diffuser from BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN. In GODS AND MONSTERS, James Whale (brilliantly p.ayed by Sir Ian McKellen in an Oscar-worthy performance) and his maid, Hannah (a wonderfully comic Lynn Redgrave), watch the director's seriocomic masterpiece on late-night television, prompting a flashback to the actual making of the film and appearances by Elsa Lanchester (Rosalind Ayres), Ernest Thesiger (Arthur Dignam), and Colin Clive (Matt McKenzie). In reality, it would have been quite impossible for Whale to view his classic FRANKENSTEIN sequel on TV, since it did not make its debut until well over a year after his death (as part of the "Son of Shock" horror movie package) but allowances must be made for dra matic license. Certainly, for Condon, the BRIDE sequence was a never to be-forgotten experience and well worth a slight rewriting of reality.

"God, it was just so thrilling! I got chills; it felt like the first time I stepped onto a movie set. First of all, to build the set again to the original specs... I did something that I saw a lot of people do during those few days of filming; I scampered up and down those steps the way the characters in the original film did. It's an incredibly magic, religious feeling. You reach the top of that staircase and there's just a little piece of wood that stops you from going over into nothingness. And that's what they all faced when they made BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN. We used the original specs, but we couldn't go quite as high, because we didn't

have a soundstage that went as high."

Something else that the GODS AND MONSTERS company didn't have was Ken Strickfaden's original laboratory equipment, last seen in Mel Brooks' YOUNG FRANK-ENSTEIN (1974). It's all the more impressive, then, that the machinery in GODS AND MONSTERS is so perfectly in character.

"Richard Sherman and his design team did a truly wonderful job," enthused Condon, "They didn t have a lot





LEFT: At a party at the home of George Cukor, Boris Karloff (Jack Betts), James Whale (Ian McKellen), and Elsa Lanchester (Rosalind Ayres) pose for obsessed fright fan Edmund Kay (Jack Plotnick) and his cameraman (David Fabrizio). Kay has secreted arranged a reunion of Whale and his "monsters." RIGHT: In a flashback to the Universal Studios in 1935, Whale and Lanchester prepare to film the unveiling of the Monster's Mate for his classic BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN.

of money, either. They just seemed to pull it out of a hat. I know there are places that have the original equipment, but we tried to find them and couldn't. So it was all rebuilt from scratch—beautifully!

"It was also such fun to see the Whale character in his prime. That helped to make it such an exhilarating scene to shoot, that sense of being there at the creation of the Bride—and not just the creation of the Bride, but the creation of that particular movie."

As given new life in GODS AND MONSTERS, we see the filming of the scene in which Clive and Thesiger (as Henry Frankenstein and Dr. Pretorius) unveil their horrid handiwork. A short, earlier sequence featuring Boris Karloff (Amir Aboulela), his face half-obscured by fake hair and latex as the Monster is fashioned by Jack Pierce (James Lecesne), was cut from the final print

"We actually shot a scene in which Karloff is sitting in the makeup chair, and Whale comes in and unveils the Bride for the first time. Whale is so excited that he's added shots of her to the schedule and tells Karloff that he won't be working today. It was a shame not to have that sort of half-Monster half-Karloff in the film, but we didn't use it because Rosalind Ayres, who played Elsa Lanchester in both 1935 and 1957, was actually closer in age to the older Elsa. The camera was in too close. It ruined the illusion a bit. In the scene that remains in the movie, Rosalind's far enough away that she conjures up the Elsa from BRIDE, which is obviously the indelible one.

"Amir Aboulela, the actor playing Karloff in that bit, is still in the film, though. He also plays him in that short moment in Whale's kitchen, when the storm reveals the Frankenstein Monster. The makeup looks like a mask, unfortunately, but it's really a full makeup. It actually took six hours to apply, and it came out looking to my mind like something you'd buy on Hollywood Boulevard—which is why the concept is an flection."

why the moment is so fleeting."

GODS AND MONSTERS, like Father of Frankenstein before it, follows James Whale through his sad, final days Severely debilitated by a stroke that not only has robbed him of his creative powers, but repeatedly conjures up bittersweet memories of his troubled past, the director tries to commit a unique form of suicide by directing one last, real-life scenario. The plot: to throw Clayton Boone, his muscular gardener and part-time model, into such a mindless rage that the young man will kill him. The catalyst for Boone's rage: Whale's homosexuality


"I hoped to capture as much of the novel as I could," said Condon, "because I thought it was so rich in incident and detail. There were so many different themes, about

being an artist, about an artist losing his powers, about being gay, about how gay people and straight people communicate with each other, about Whale's influences from his childhood and the war and how they informed the movies that he made—I just wanted to get as much of that into the movie as I could. The big challenge, obviously, is to get the essential parts, the best parts, the most dramatic parts. The structure of the book is extremely sound dramatically; Chris Bram had already done that, but the relationship between Whale and Clay had to be externalized. In the movie, they share more and have more of an obvious effect on each other.

"One of the scenes I added was an angry, emotional outburst for Brendan Fraser, who plays C.ay Boone, in which he rails against Whale's sexuality. That's an exchange that isn't in the novel. I thought we needed it, because dramatically it shows how much Clay's involvement with Whale is starting to mean to him, in that he can later overcome his prejudices and come back. We shot all the encounters between Ian and Brendan in sequence, actually, so that when we got to the climax it was really the last scene between them. It was such a huge thing to build to that it just seemed the right way to do it."

Ironically, though the book's main plot sprang entirely from Bram's fertile imagination, one of the facts that inspired him to write the story—Whale's gender preference is just the sort of detail traditionally shunned by most biopics, whether they concern show biz personalities (Cole Porter in 1946's NIGHT AND DAY and Lorenz Hart in 1948's WORDS AND MUSIC) or what Mae West referred to as "hysterical characters" (Michelangelo in 1965's THE AG-ONY AND THE ECSTACY). Complicating the chances of getting Father of Frankenstein's sex-charged story before the cameras intact was the fact that most Hollywood big guns, even in the wake of such box-office hits as THE CRYING GAME (1992), THE BIRDCAGE (1996), and IN AND OUT (1997), are still leery of gay-themed films, especially when the films are based on fact and set in their own back yards. Condon readily conceded that the stubborn closet mentality still governing much of the movie capital sometimes made filming an uphill climb.

"There is still extent in Hollywood a generation that is ... well, discreet might be a nice word to describe it. I think Roddy McDowall might symbolize that. I remember being with Curtis Harrington at SWAN LAKE and meeting Roddy McDowall backstage Curtis introduced me as the person who was going to make this movie about James Whale, and McDowall made a face and said, 'Oh, nobody ever liked him!' McDowall was in the George Cukor camp,





The artist at work. LEFT: James Whale takes a brush to Boris Karloff on the set of BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN, the better to muss him up tor an upcoming scene—and the better to get his own picture in the papers. RIGHT: In GODS AND MONSTERS, Whale (Ian McKellen) tries to paint after suffering a stroke, but his creative powers have failed him. BELOW: An actual painting by Whale, used as background in GODS AND MONSTERS.

the discreet, let's only do it behind closed doors camp—and it was funny to see that there are still people holding onto that and still taking the same position, even though it's 40 years down the line "

Even after the film had been completed, the subject matter almost kept it from seeing, if not the light of day, then the dark of a movie theater. "We had a terrific reception at the Sundance Festival. We didn't have a distributor then, and all the big companies sent people to see us. Well, usually there's a gay person very close to the top in Hollywood, and they were very supportive of the film. Women, too. Then we would reach the absolute top person and they'd decide not to pick us up. I just can't help but think that it had to do with the homosexuality. There's a certain discomfort. With somebody like Harvey Weinstein at Miramax, that was definitely the case."

Amazingly, even Universal, the studio at which James Whale had his greatest triumphs, was loath to touch GODS AND MONSTERS. "It's bizarre, isn't it? I don't have any idea why that happened. But we finally hooked up with Li ons Gate and they've been wonderful They totally believe in the movie and they put up a lot of money, so I think it all worked out for the best"

The reluctance on Hollywood's part to pick up GODS AND MONSTERS is even more disconcerting when the film's rave reviews and awards are taken into consideration. (Among the awards: the Golden Space Needle Award for Best Director at the Seattle International Film Festival, and a special award from the Academy of Science Fiction, Horror and Fantasy Films.) The accolades have been very gratifying to Condon, who viewed the film as the fulfillment of a career-long ambition.

"I've been a horror fan from the beginning—and a Whale fan, too. In fact, in STRANGE BEHAVIOR there's a little bit of shadow play that was inspired by OLD DARK HOUSE. So I have a long-standing interest, specifically in the kind of movie that, like Whale's, is a mix of horror and truly off the wall wit. The ripeness of Whale's movies, too, that wonderful sense of design—it's something I've always aspired to, at least. And since film was the medium that he worked in, the idea with GODS AND MONSTERS was to make a James Whale film about James Whale "

In order to create the proper Whalean atmosphere, Condon made a number of alterations to Bram's characters, including a change of nationality for the maid played by Lynn Redgrave. "In the book she's Mexican, but I changed that. First of all, Whale himself had a cook and a housekeeper named Anna and Johanna after people had met them once, he'd quiz

them on which was which. At that period in the fifties, you had a black maid or a European maid or an English maid—but not Mexican, as it is in the book. That happened in the sixties. So I thought having Lynn Redgrave play a German maid just connected another dot for me. There's such in credible irony in Whale's career; for example, World War One is the thing that got him out of his home town, Dudley, and also created a spot for him in London, in the arts, that might not otherwise have been available. Then JOURNEY'S END, the play and movie about the war brings him to Hollywood, and then the movie that does him in is THE ROAD BACK, another war picture. So to have that European presence in the form of Hannah, I thought, would be interesting. Also, along with Jack Plotnick, who plays an obsessed horror fan, Lynn's character and performance is the big-



Curtis Harrington Remembers James Whale

An interview by Ken Hanke

and as the defencive portrose of James Whate calest in a wholly increased sory), the question naturally arises: How does the thin and the permut duck of your wiewed by someone who actually knew Whate Carlain without is no one better qualified to often in apparent than Carlain without is not one better qualified to often in apparent than Carlain without is often in apparent than 1 farring the number of the without has the added advantage of being both an assumit festied laboratory (1-63 statistics). The MATTER WITH HELES (1-3) statistics in his o

Scarlet Street, So what diarfor Si GODS AND MONSTERS!

Scarlet Street So what display GODS AND MONSTERS.
Curtis Harrington: Well; I throw was very good. I did not read the nove of I first heard about it and the flow so I cannot comment on the nove What harst heard about it and the themedidn't like the idea of it at all I field now to be what lames while out have done or now he would have to have the hard the interest of the street of the same and the feelings about the first another to create some sting out of whole cloth. But in the end, because it has the heart was very nicely of he tribute to James Whale as those thought it was very nicely of he tribute to James Whale.

Ss: The film is gentler than the presents a more human points of Whale. The book is somehow rougher and did fashor, Christoper Brand presents a more human pretty.

hor, Christopher Bram, ple Whale. That's a very do, but anyway he however—I think i

young men who comes I didn't see the point o I didn't see the point of that SS: Oh, the film student plus Plotnick—the one with the au CH: He was just outstanding lent and I didn't see the post at all. That's my personal of the post of the pos

ra quite transcruft farlish then to the least very that was completely of very completely of the actor that least very crown the party crown the party crown the party crown the party crown as any manera to something who had now what the case outsite looked like, which are the case outsite.

revealing stories about Karloff, it was when they made FRANKENSTEIN.

As I said, the key thing about Whale was his humor, his humor informed his films, his humor informed his life and his relationship—and he oriented me—tou know when we made the original FRANKENSTEIN, we lad a lot of laughs on the set. We found everything terribly amusing—and Karloff did, too. But the moment he



came successful and overnight turned into a star, he began to take himself terribly seriously." When Karloff did THE MUMMY, he sent a messenger boy, saying, "Mr Whale, Mr. Karloff would like to see you in the makeup department." So he led Jimmy with great ceremony into the makeup department, where Karloff was sitting covered in the chair, and they did a kind of unveiling to show off the makeup that had been created for THE MLMMY. And James Whale said to me, "It looked like they'd thrown every thing on his face but the kitchen sink!" Karloff said, "I think this will be the most marvelous thing ever seen on the silver sheet!" Jimmy thought that was hugely funny, but Karloff was, as I say, taking himself very seriously by then The humor that was shared on FRAN KENSTEIN had vanished and Jimmy obviously didn't appreciate that, especially since he'd picked Karloff out of the commissary to play the part and he was no one when he picked him out.

SS: That's always been the problem with Karloff's story about demanding that the drowning scene be out from FRANKEN-STEIN. Did he have such power?

CH: I'm sure he didn't have any pow-

SS: What is your favorite James Whale film? CH: Oh, THE OLD DARK HOUSE! I don't know if you know the story about

how I saved THE OLD DARK HOUSE? You see, I was aware that the film no longer had any commercial value for Universal, because the rights to the story had been resold to Columbia for that very bad remake. That meant that the film itself had no further commercial value, so I knew that they would not make any effort to preserve it When I went to Universal under contract to make my film GAMES, with Simone Sig noret, I made OLD DARK HOUSE one of my personal quests. I became friendly with the head of the editorial department and I said, "You've got to find the negative for me. It's moldering somewhere in one of your vaults." He made some inquiries and said, "Oh, they say they can't find it." I said, "Well, try again. It's there, It's got to be there. Please, please—it's so important!" Finally, after quite a long while, he called me and sa.d "Well, I finally had word from New York. They've found the original negative and the protect on lavender on THE OLD DARK HOUSE "We.l, the next step, knowing that Universal would not pay to have anything saved, was to contact Eastman House, the Museum of Modern Art film library, and the Ameri can Film Institute in Washington. James Card, who was at that time the head of Eastman House, telephoned me immediately and said, "We Il put up all the costs

to have this saved." Then it was just a matter of going through all the motions. They examined the negative and the first reel had already begun to turn to slush. They had to make a dupe negative from the protection lavender of the first reel, but they were able to print the rest of the film from the original negative. It's a wonderful film. It's my personal favorite of all of James Whale's films- and I think it's the most personal of all his films. The humor is pure Whale from beginning to end

SS: REMEMBER LAST NIGHT? is a for gotten gem.

CH: Oh, yes! One day, when I was talk ing to James—in those days you couldn't see his films that easily—one day I said, "Are there any of your films that you particularly like, or would particularly recommend to me?" And he said, "Well, there s REMEMBER LAST NIGHT?" That was the film that he particularly recommended to me—and, of course, I didn't see it until many years later

SS: What's your personal take on the two James Whale biographies?

CH: Well, I only know the James Curtis. SS: You don't know the Mark Gatiss one from England?

CH: No, I don't know a thing about it. I haven't seen it. I know James Curtis. I

Continued on Page 73

Can you identify the bogus horror film director? It isn't Curtis Harrington on the left: he directed NIGHT TIDE (1963), WHAT'S THE MATTER WITH HELEN? (1971), and THE KILLING KIND (1973), among others. It isn't Bill Condon in the center he directed STRANGE INVADERS (1983) and CANDYMAN: FAREWFLL TO THE FIESH (1995). No, it's Ian McKellen on the right, pretending to be James Whale director of FRANKENSTEIN (1931), THE OLD DARK HOUSE (1932), THE INVISIBLE MAN (1933), and BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN (1935).





It takes brains to put together as complicated a motion picture as GODS AND MONSTERS, so executive producer Clive Barker and director Bill Condon kept a fresh supply on hand just in case they had to pop a spare into the open noggin of their star, Sir Ian McKellen.

BILL CONDON

Continued from page 36

Hannah and Whale are watching Una O'Connor on television together, and in fact there's one imaginary suicide scene in the film—which we shot a second way, but ultimately didn't use—in which Hannah bursts into Whale's room wearing all those ribbons in her hair, like O'Connor in BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN."

Redgrave's is just one memorable performance in a film filled with them. First and foremost is Sir Ian Mc-Kellen, who brings James Whale to life in such a way that even those who knew the director personally are pleased (See Curtis Harrington Remembers James Whale on page 37.) In this instance, the gay storyline proved a help, not a hindrance.

"Certainly the subject matter helped us get Ian, who came out publicly 10 years ago. He considers celebrated actors and celebrates who remain in the closet to be . . . well, toxic. He holds them responsible for teenage suicides. He so strongly believes in being out that he was very excited about playing this part. And he's an artist, too; I don't think he'd ever be interested in making just a simple piece of propaganda. He was also attracted to the idea that this was not just a gay man, but a man in decline. It's a wonderful performance. He's always been wonderful, but there's something about this part; he lets you see into his soul.

"Once we had Ian, he acted as sort of a magnet for other actors. I was so happy that Brendan Fraser agreed to play Clay Boone This is a character who has to be tall enough to be a physical threat to Whale. Ian's a great actor, and he can certainly suggest frailty, but he's six foot one, so we had to have a Clay Boone with the demeanor and appearance of the Monster and again, like Karloff, he had to be a Monster with a soul. He had to have a poetry to him When you think about it, there are not too many actors who fill the bill. The character of Clay is an unformed, inarticulate man with everything raging on the inside, and that's the hardest thing to play I just marveled at what Brendan was able to do, just at the way he listened. He

brought such variety and imagination to that. Also, Brendan has an amazingly natural comic flare, and any chance that we had to find something humorous or eccentric in the character he found it."

Condon's script originally called for full-frontal exposure for Fraser in the climactic confrontation between Whale and Boone, but as the day approached to film the scene Fraser, fresh from his severely underdressed turn in GEORGE OF THE JUNGLE (1997), balked at the additional exposure. Put on the spot, Condon maintained, with only the slightest hesitancy, that it all turned out for the best

"Well, probably, the way it is in the movie is the right way. It's the critical moment when he finally does take his clothes off for Whale, and if we had shown actual genitalia at that moment the audience would have focused on that. To take anything anyway from the drama of the moment probably wouldn't have worked."

Still, for those who welcome the occasional distraction of genitalia, GODS AND MONSTERS delivers in its evocation of one of Whale's notorious all-male, nude swimming parties. This scene created problems of its own, strangely enough, and they stemmed from the gay community.

"We had no restrictions whatsoever over what we could show in the film, but in the beginning we were get ting these politically correct responses: 'Why don't we show more positive images?' It was understandable. For so long, gay people in movies have mainly been presented as psychopaths or suicides, and since Whale was a suicide we were putting something out there with elements that made people uncomfortable, that made gay boosters uncomfortable. Hopefully we're moving into that phase where we can portray a more complicated personality, and not have to worry about it being 'correct."

Happily, "correct" was the watchword when it came to casting actors to play such colorful individuals as Ernest Thesiger, Elsa Lanchester, Colin Clive, and Boris Karloff. In the case of Thesiger, Condon turned to an old friend.

Continued on page 72

is face was rarer than one in a million and unce seen never forgatted lit was described as a living gar anyle, an Emplish eccen iric and I bothn gro esque. The tongue and the lose were both deemed in in sharp, the over beady did penetrating, the hierab tipless and on the võrge of a sareastir litter He was a famous stage actor in his day, an avid contains a close triend of Queen Marii and was in dufible in any film he appeared in usually in small and ultramundane roles.

Ernosi Thesiger (1879 1961 made his speen bow in 1908 and law appeared reloc the ameras for the 196 filming of Tennessee Williams THE KOMON SPRING OF MILE STONE har ne re best reasumberest for two harror film in which he are arreared by James Whate THE DIT DARK HOUSE (1932), in which he played Horace Femus and BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN (1935) in which for came class to stealing the show with Septimus Probation

The Importance of Being Est Thesiger

hy Boze Hadleigh





Ernest Thesiger continued the association begun with Boris Karloff in THE OLD DARK HOUSE (1932) in the British THE GHOUL (1933) As a family servant, Thesiger coveted his dying employer's ring and met a gruesome fate when Karloff (as usual) proved not quite as dead as originally and so beautifully planned.

Thesiger's characters were inevitably underscored by waspish humor, malice, and cunning. His entrance in OLD DARK HOUSE was precious and dramatic, his sepulchral figure advancing on his guests and declaring with upturned nose, "My name is Femm—Horace Femm." A "doddery old stick," said one critic; "a grasshopper with an English accent," said another.

The waspishness came naturally: Thesiger was a total snob. His grandfather was the first Lord Chelmsford, and Thesiger himself was drawn by Sargent, caricatured by Max Beerbohm, and painted on fans by Charles Condor—sometimes as Death, with his skullish face wreathed in

scarlet poppies!

Though he was thoroughly spoiled, in 1915 Thesiger signed up for the Great War (World War I), and took his needlework with him—this was a lifelong hobby, and when he died he was lavishly obituarized in the Journal of the Embroiderers' Guild Reputedly, he also took along some pearls, for Ernest was a pearl fancier and firmly believed that pearls would "die" unless kept next to warm, human skin Indeed, Thesiger was quite the narcissist. He was a founding member of the Men's Dress Reform Society and wore pale moleskin shorts to show off his legs, of which he was quite proud.

Ernest Thesiger happened to be gay, though he exploded the needle-stitching, pearl-loving stereotype of homosexuals by fighting valiantly during the war (as have gay soldiers before and since Alexander the Great). There was also the fact that he was married for 44 years to the same woman—though marriages in which at least one of the partners is gay are, especially in theatrical circles, some-

thing of a stereotype themselves.

Following his war service, Thesiger, who was a cousin of a viceroy of India, forewent the civil service for acting school, where he fell in love with Willie Ranken; they remained nearly inseparable lifelong companions. But in 1917, Ernest wed Willie's sister Janette. (Willie, when he heard of the union, was so upset that he shaved off his hair!) The marriage was most likely due to his ambitions as an actor. (To rise to the top of the profession in those days, one couldn't be openly homosexual, so a marriage of convenience often proved convenient.) Thesiger was a favorite of Shaw, which now seems strange, since we view Thesiger exclusively as an eccentric, yet he was an admired stage actor.

Janette may have been homosexual herself, for she was part of the intimate circle of lesbian writer Ivy Compton-Burnett Specifically, Janette had been the lover or bosom buddy of scholar Margaret Jourdain, who later became the life partner of the famous novelist. The three women socialized often, and it was Ivy's conviction that there was no sexual "sin"—for anyone, of any sexuality—if there was no penetration. Janette had briefly tried the stage, but in those

days it wasn't considered a ladylike profession.

Thesiger played a variety of stage roles, including some we might expect of him. He enacted Piers Gaveston, the lover of EDWARD II in the play by Christopher Marlowe By 1915, he had dedicated himself to the stage, and declared, "There is no role, not anything I can name, which I will not consider, if they will allow me to undertake it." Doubtless he was a memorable Captain Hook in J. M. Barrie's PETER PAN He was Bagoas the Eunuch in JUDITH He was a witch in John Gielgud's 1942 production of MACBETH. Years later, the gay twosome—Gielgud hasn't denied rumors of a 1920s affair—were in another play in London. Sir John recalled.

"He was tiring fast, and I used to feel sad as I passed his open dressing room door to see him lying on the sofa half asleep between his scenes. He was an extraordinary and rather touching character, an actor of unique imagination, with a most beautiful perfection of speech and period

style "

But a leading man —that, he wasn't often. The siger once complained to W. Somerset Maugham a famous playwright before he was a famous novelist—that he never sent him any roles. Maugham replied, in his famous stutter, "B-but I am always writing p-parts for you, Ernest. The trouble is that somebody called Gladys Cooper will insist

on playing them."

Now and again, Thesiger did some professional female impersonation. (One rumor had it that he sometimes wore green toenail polish beneath his socks!) In 1925, he appeared in a popular review, doing a Noel Coward number in drag with Douglas Byng, who was a star drag artiste, billed as "Bawdy But British." (Byng is best remembered as Monsieur Martin in the 1966 farcical gem, HOTEL PARA-DISO—out of drag, and with four daughters.) It was widely remarked that, as he aged, Thesiger, in his posture, his pearls, his hauteur, and even his hair and pointed features, increasingly resembled Queen Mary.

"He was amusing on the screen," allowed David Lewis,

"He was amusing on the screen," allowed David Lewis, producer of such classic pictures as CAMILLE (1937), DARK VICTORY (1939), and RAINTREE COUNTY (1957) "In person, he was far from amusing." Lewis was in a position to know, for he was the life partner—for about a quarter century—of director James Whale, who had met Thesiger in England in 1919. "Jimmy said he'd first been attracted to Thesiger's campiness. Thesiger wasn't afraid





LEFT: It's no Holiday Inn, but THE OLD DARK HOUSE (1932) belonging to Horace and Rebecca Femm (Ernest Thesiger and Eva Moore) has its full compliment of guests, including Sir William Porterhouse (Charles Laughton) and Gladys DuCane (Lillian Bond). Servant Morgan (Boris Karloff) takes the coats. RIGHT: Thesiger had a small but memorable role in THE MAN IN THE WHITE SUIT (1951), starring Alec Guinness.

to shock. At one party in the 1920s, he shocked everybody into silence while they were serving sherry. 'Anyone fancy a spot of buggery?' Jimmy found that uproarious. English

sense of humor, you know.

"Jimmy was always platonic friends with Thesiger, of course. I lived with Jimmy, and Thesiger would come to visit, always impressed with our success, but he looked down on Americans. He was cold and arrogant. He'd talk with Jimmy and do his needlework. Usually I'd leave the room." Why did Whale put up with him? Lewis felt, "Well, he liked the society of fellow Englishmen, and Jimmy was in his way a social-climber, so he was impressed unduly, I think, by Thesiger's family connections. I know he admired Thesiger's diction, and in England the way you speak can set you up for life or ruin you."

Self-described "former Englishman" Quentin Crisp offered the following opinion of Ernest Thesiger: "I saw Mr. Thesiger as the Dauphin in Shaw's SAINT JOAN with Sybil Thorndike. A never-to-be forgotten production... I recall that in certain circles Ernest Thesiger and Esme Percy were assumed to be 'that way' from their very names alone... Somewhere I read that Mr. Thesiger had first set out to be a painter, but gave it up because he kept painting over his canvases, out of sheer perfectionism. By 1909 or 1910 he had taken to the stage, then some time later he was lost to Hollywood "

To most movie buffs, it is as BRIDE OF FRANKEN-STEIN's Dr. Pretorius that Thesiger is most famous. In the 1985 remake-of-sorts, THE BRIDE, Quentin Crisp more or less played his part, but the filmmakers abbreviated the role from the outset. "It was small to begin with, and most of that wound up on the proverbial cutting room floor," offered Crisp, who acted to greater effect in ORLANDO (1993). "The original character can nowadays most definitely be read as homosexual but I'm sure that in the 1930s and for a long time thereafter, people did not perceive the truth of it."

Until recently, THE OLD DARK HOUSE was hardly ever seen, but video and laserdisc releases have proven it to be one ".ost classic" that actually deserves the accolade. Boris Karloff is top billed, and the cast includes Charles Laughton, Raymond Massey, Gloria Stuart, and Melvyn Douglas, but Thesiger all but walks away with this most stylish (and aptly named) of old dark house stories (Watchful viewers will note an in-joke reference to Thes-

Continued on page 45

LEFT: Thesiger (pictured with Dean Stockwell and Wendy Hiller) bears a certain resemblance to his old friend James Whale in this still from SONS AND LOVERS (1960). RIGHT: The silk-stocking murderer of THEY DRIVE BY NIGHT (1938) was one of Thesiger's best roles, but the film is rarely shown.





Thesiger, died back in 1961, do you? Well, guess again. The sepulchral voice, the delicate gestures, the frizzed white hair rivaling the Bride of Frankenstein's—it was all in its proper place when Arthur Dignam answered the call to play Thesiger playing Dr. Septimus Pretorius in a brief flashback sequence in the film GODS AND MONSTERS. The call came over an electrical device (we call them telephones, now) and over a great distance: from Hollywood to Sydney, Australia.

"Well, I was sitting in my flat in Sydney one day last year and Hollywood cried out for me," remembered Dignam with an ingratiating laugh. "It was Bill Condon, asking me what I would be doing in a month's time and I said, 'Nothing in particular.' He said, 'Could you come over and play Ernest Thesiger'—who was someone I knew of, though he wasn't anyone I'd ever actually seen in a movie I'd never even seen BRIDE OF FRANKEN STEIN, which was the film they were going to recreate. So Bill did an imitation of Ernest Thesiger over the telephone, which was really—compelling—and we took it from there."

Dignam's acting credits include roles in the movies and TV shows GRENDEL GRENDEL GRENDEL (1981), STRANGE BEHAVIOR (1981, also known as DEAD KIDS), THE RETURN OF CAPTAIN INVINCIBLE (1983), THE STORYTELLER: PERSEUS AND THE GORGON (1990), THE NOSTRADAMUS KID (1993), and ESCAPE FROM JUPITER (1994), so the role of an actor playing a role in a fantasy film didn't prove to be much of a stretch.

GODS AND MONSTERS takes us back to 1935 and the BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN set on the Universal lot. Director James Whale (played by Sir lan McKellen) helps Elsa Lanchester (Rosalind Ayres, resplendent in the classic Bride makeup) onstage as she trades witticisms with Thesiger, and Whale frets over Colin Clive's level of sobriety. Whale has good reason to worry Clive (Matt McKenzie), reprising his FRANKENSTEIN (1931) role as the Maker of Monsters, is nearing the end of the road to alcoholism, a road that will kill him the following year But the show must go on, and it isn't long before Thesiger, Lanchester, and Clive are creating magic on camera.

Though Dignam was unfamiliar with Thesiger's acting, he was not entirely unacquainted with the family. ("I knew a lot about Ernest Thesiger's brother, in fact. I'd read a lot of Wilfred Thesiger's books. He was a famous English explorer of the Sahara Desert and the Arabian quarters.") And it wasn't long before he viewed Ernest's most famous role first hand.

"Before I left for America, I saw BRIDE OF FRANK-ENSTEIN, which was the only one of his films we could run back in Sydney In fact, it was the only Thesiger film I could find! Seeing him in BRIDE made me even more terrified at the prospect of playing him, because he's so extraordinary."

Dignam didn't have much time to worry about his portrayal, though, not on a film with only a 24-day shooting schedule. "I was in the States for 10 days and we shot the scene in one morning, about five o'clock one morning after a very long day in the studio. So I was there 10 days and I spent one hour shooting! It was really all done in one shot!"

Happily, the actor is very pleased with the results. "I've seen a tape of it, which isn't the ideal way of looking at it, but it's just a wonderful movie Absolutely wonderful. You can tell that this was a film that meant

RIGHT: Arthur Dignam practices one of Ernest Thesiger's best-loved pastimes—embroidery—in a shot for GODS AND MONSTERS that unfortunately wound up on the cutting-room floor.

Orthur

The Man Who Was

Thesiger

An Interview by Richard Valley

something really deeply to Bill, it's made with such love and care. It certainly shows."

The affection in Dignam's voice is obvious when he refers to Condon, whom he has known and worked with for many years. "I first worked with Bill ages ago on STRANGE BEHAVIOR and he seems to be exactly the same, now. He's a wonderful and delightful man, just as







LEFT: Last minute adjustments are made on the set as Arthur Dignam waits to bring Ernest Thesiger back to life ("That should be really interesting!") in GODS AND MONSTERS RIGHT. A schoolboy comes under the watchful gaze of Brother Francine (Dignam) in THE DEVIL'S PLAYGROUND (1976). BELOW: Dignam first met up with screenwriter and director-to-be Bill Condon during the making of STRANGE BEHAVIOR (1981).

he was then, and he seems not to have aged a split second. I don't quite know how me manages that, do you, but it isn't quite fair

"STRANGE BEHAVIOR was an enjoyable film to make, too, not least because of Bill. I played Dr Le Sangel in that. As a matter of fact, I think that was my first mad scientist. Of course, I've done a few since then I've often been cast as mad scientists and priests in the past, but I think I've broken out of those. I seem to be getting into the land of ind gent, elderly gentlemen hobos and things. My most famous priest was in THE DEVIL'S PLAYGROUND in 1976, for which my back wound up on the movie poster." (Dignam played the role of Brother Francine, a man with a penchant for ogling the schoolboys under his care while they shower.)

For GODS AND MONSTERS, Dignam spent more time in the makeup chair than he did before the camera. "It took six hours to turn me into Thesiger! It was amaz-.ng! I'd had a life mask of my face done in Sydney, which was sent over to America and the makeup was designed on that. So they were prepared for me when I got there. When we finally started filming, the shot actually started off close on Thesiger doing his embroidery, but that was lopped from the front of the scene.

Dignam has nothing but praise for his costars. "Oh, Ian McKellen was wonderful. He's terrifically easy to work with and he's very amusing. I spent some time with nim away from shooting. And Lynn Redgrave, too, was wonderful. I never got to do any scenes with her, but she was around. They re all terrific, aren't they? It's a great cast. And I felt as if I d been transported to another planet with all of them, working in sunshiny Los Angeles after cold, rainy Sydney.'

Much as he enjoyed himself on GODS AND MON-STERS, Dignam's favorite film role came about right in his native Australia. "I made a movie called WE OF THE NEVER NEVER, which was based on a true story that I'd read as a child. It was a famous story, and I got it in school and had to read it. I loved it. So I played this wonderful, romantic character that I'd read about as a child, and it was quite a wonderful change to play someone who was filled with affection instead of all these bent people. But I must say Ernest Thesiger is a pretty

nice role, too ' Yes, Thesiger is a pretty nice role, and so is the role he played in BRIDE OF FRANKEN-

STEIN. Dr. Septimus Pretorius, the skeletal gent with the homegrown brains and tiny bottle people, the man with but a single weakness—for gin. And cigars. Would Arthur Dignam want to be in the running for a chance to play Pretorius?

"Oh, yes, I certainly would, because it's such a stupendous role and such a wonderful movie. If they remade it, how could you not refuse to play that role? They're remaking everything these days, aren't they, so perhaps they'll get around to that. And after all," added slyly, "I've already rehearsed for it, haven t I?



ERNEST THESIGER

Continued from page 42

iger's famous throwaway flower gag in the new GODS AND MONSTERS, which has Sir Ian McKellen as James Whale enter his studio, remove some flowers from a vase,

and unceremoniously dump them in the trash.)
THE OLD DARK HOUSE may have finally seen the light of day, but still pretty much a fond memory is the 1938 British horror film THFY DRIVE BY NIGHT, about strangulation, with Thesiger as Hoover, a seemingly benign murder enthusiast who turns out to be a crazed killer. Said William K. Everson in More Classics of the Horror Film (Citadel, 1986): "Thesiger's ability to play for laughs one moment, and then summon up these demonic expressions of fury and blood lust, is quite remarkable '

Of course, the actor's medieval features suited him perfectly to period pictures such as CAESAR AND CLEO-PATRA (1946), JASSY (1947), and THE WINSLOW BOY (1948), "People accuse me of acting for the sake of acting," he explained in the forties. "But I do from time to time say

no to a role, if I am entranced with some other activity." Besides his embroidery and his high-flown socializing, he kept busy with a myriad of projects For instance, Queen Mary's Doll's House at Windsor Castle features the Aubusson carpet and dining-room fire screens made by Thesiger, with the still life over the sideboard by his mate Willie.

It was reportedly Willie who "nagged" (Thesiger's word) his companion into a film studio. Willie found the cinema quite romantic. Thesiger took part in such productions as THE GHOUL, a 1933 British attempt to cash in on THE MUMMY (1932); it was Karloff's first British film and boasts Ralph Richardson's screen bow as a fake vicar. Richardson recalled decades later, "The medium was entirely alien to me, and the personalities on the set were likewise

." Thesiger had a way of insinuating things that, at the time, deliberately had to be left unsaid. butterfly."

Alec Guinness, in whose clas-

sic THE MAN IN THE WHITE SUIT (1951) The siger appeared, opined, "I have always had less personality than the average actor . . . Ernest Thesiger has, if anything, too much personality for an actor. Both circumstances are considered unadvantageous, commercially speaking,"

In 1927, the personality-full Ernest Thesiger had already published his memours, gleefully stating that he had charged people 50 pounds sterling for a mention in the book-and 75 pounds to be left out! As he aged, however, he became less outrageous and more disapproving. Wrote author Hilary Spurling, he was "growing more and more like Queen Mary with his pursed lips and bolt upright bearing, his censorious dowager's air and crushing line in rega. retorts." (Thesiger would have been perfectly cast as Lady Bracknell in THE IMPORTANCE OF BEING EAR NEST-or Baroness Meinster in 1960's THE BRIDES OF DRACULA.) Still, there were occasional splashes of the old color. During the drab fifties, Thesiger would turn up for stage rehearsals in a pink linen smock! He would rhapsodize over the old days. One day, a younger actor informed him, "That sort of thing is considered definitely ham."

"I'm well aware of it," Thesiger replied, "but you may as well know that I consider the type of acting that you ad-

vocate as definitely Spam."

In 1959 he celebrated 50 years on the stage, and the following year was appointed C B E. Among other honors, his painting "Ruins of Old Chelsea Church" hangs in the Victoria and Albert Museum, and he is forever to be seen in the 1945 masterpiece HENRY V via director star Laur ence Olivier, who once mused, "Ernest Thesiger always dresses up any presentation " When Chelsea Church was restored in 1958, it included two embroidered kneelers by Thesiger, one of them commemorating Henry Patenson, Sir Thomas Moore's jester, "A Man of Special Wit," pictured in the costume of the time with cap and bells.

Sir Cecil Beaton, who photographed Thesiger, felt, "Most of Ernest's gainful employment was upon the stage or in motion pictures of historical or horrific aspect. He often said that he was born too late, that he'd rather have

> lived a century or two before." Yet as a gay man, he lived before the emergence of gay civil rights, and though he was in the closet so far as having a wife, he now seems to have been flamboyantly "out" in terms of his manner, his clothes, and many of his hobbies (one favorite getup was blue velvet shorts with a matching silk shirt and muffler).

Quentin Crisp explains, however: "A man could dress and behave as outrageously as he wished, within limits, so long as he had a sweetheart or a wife in the near background. Not that he need be passionately interested in her, but she was a necessary accessory to his allowing himself such startling self-expression" In other words, the outre Thesiger was definitely "in." Why the supposed marriage, if his physical type was so uncommercial, even on the stage?

Michael Ellman's The Pink Plaque Guide to London (GMP, than a single bud, in their buttonholes," then a shocking thing to

do. The book asserts that "The marriage [between Ernest and Janette] seems to have been founded upon a mutual adoration of Willie and was never consummated. Janette was in love with Margaret Jourdain, who dedicated her Poems (1911) to her." Possibly the alleged marriage was a way of staying ever close to W.Ilie without public scandal; clearly Thesiger sought to shock, but a scandal could topple even such a cultural VIP as Oscar Wilde-whose marriage failed to keep British homophobia at bay.

Ernest Thesiger was active up to the last. Janette was bedridden and became a chronic invalid (long-lived), but a few weeks before his sudden death, Thesiger had been on stage with Gielgud. The siger died in his sleep. Wrote Cecil Beaton, "Were acting a business less dedicated to archetypes and more given over to personality and individuality, Ernest Thesiger would have been a star . . . He was as individual, and as aesthetic, as a magnified snowflake.

But far less delicate. And infinitely thornier!



that cigar as if it were a dildo he think? Or do I flatter myself?" Dr Pretorius public walks in the park, wearing might just transmogrify into a (Ernest Thesiger) admires his Little Devil (Peter small bouquets of flowers, rather Shaw) in BRIDF OF FRANKENSTEIN (1935)

o the sound of scattered applause, Elsa Lanchester is led to the set of BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN in full makeup as the Monster's Mate for the first time. "My God!" cries Ernest Thesiger, seeing her. "Is the audience to presume that Colin and I have done her hair? I thought

we were mad scientists, not hair-

dressers "

"Only a man could have done this to a woman," complains Lanchester as director James Whale leads her to the standing board-operating table of her supposed creation. Whale calls for leading man Colin Clive, whispering to Thesiger, "How is he today." "Stiff as a board," mutters Thesiger matter of factly, before calling out to Clive himself, "Yes, Colin, come see what they've done to our Elsa! I gather we not only did her hair, but dressed her! What a couple of queens we are, Colin!"

"Yes, that's right —a couple of flaming queens," agrees Whale, explaining, "Pretorius is a little bit in love with Dr. Frankenstein, you

know? Hmm?"

A backstage moment from the filming of James Whale's 1935 horror film masterpiece, BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN? Not precisely. Rather, this is a scene from GODS AND MONSTERS, writer/director Bil. Condon's brilliant film adaptation of Christopher Bram's 1996 roman à clef about the last days of James Whale, Father of Frankenstein. Yet so perfectly is the scene realized—the casting, the

characters, the set, everything—that it might very well be real It is certainly the most on-the-money cinematic depiction of the creation of a scene from a well known film

anyone has ever attempted.

But more, it <u>feels</u> authentic. It seems as if it might have happened just this way, and if it didn't, then perhaps it should have, since Condon's scene so accurately reflects the amazing f.ood of undercurrents that are BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN and raise it completely out of the realm of a genre work to simply be one of the great films of all

time, period.

Four years separate BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN from Whale's original FRANKENSTEIN and it certainly wasn't the fault of Universal Pictures, who had wanted a sequel film even before the first one had been released. Whale was having none of it. So far as he was concerned, he had gotten all the good out of the idea in the first film Moreover, the scripting efforts at THE RETURN OF FRANKENSTEIN (as it was then called) had long been less than successful. With typical penny-pinching mindset, the Laemmles first farmed the idea out to a staff writer, rather than spend anything on a major screenwriter. This thankless task fell to Tim Reed, whose script actually was announced for production with Kurt Neumann (who had just successfully made Universal's minor 1933 thriller, SECRET OF THE BLUE ROOM) at the helm.

But Carl Laemmle Jr. wanted James Whale back for the sequel. Jumor wanted Whale and Jumor had something that Whale wanted—the power to say, "Yes," to Whale's project of filming John Galsworthy's novel, One More River. The price was, of course, that Whale, against his better judgment, would make the new Frankenstein picture. But at least, Whale took heart in that it would be a horror film on his terms. He quickly rejected Reed's script, before also giving thumbs down to efforts by L. G. Blochman and Philip MacDonald. Opting to change the title to BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN, his next move was to bring in genre specialist John L. Balderston, but even Balderston's efforts were not to Whale's liking Balderston was replaced by

William Hurlbut, whose work was then added to and subtracted from by Edmund Pearson. In the end, Hurlbut would receive sole screenplay credit and share the nebulous "adaptation by" credit with Balderston. Whale was reasonably satisfied. It was, he knew, a script with which he could create the film he wanted something that would meet the studio requirements (which were little more than a James Whale Frankenstein picture starring Boris Karloff that could be promoted as such) and yet afford him the opportunity to do something so completely different that it still seems to push the envelope 60odd years later While the result ing film is clearly meant to connect with FRANKENSTEIN, it is utterly different in tone from the onset. FRANKENSTEIN, despite its occasional forays into Whale's own peculiar sense of humor, had been an almost entirely grim, se-rious work. BRIDE OF FRANK-ENSTEIN was not. Whale wanted to create a complete fantasy world in excess of even his usual stylization, and then to place within that world the most astonishing b.ack comedy ever seen. At this, he was

remarkably successful, but how much of his creation he completely understood himself is open to question. (Whale would certainly be neither the first nor the last arrist to tell more about himself than he ever intended in his work.) Somewhere within that fabricated world and that black comic fantasy of his creation, he also created a work of powerful emotionalism, genuine thrills, further exploration of his own character, and quite possibly the best film

tion of his own character, and quite possibly the best film of his career. The first thing that emerges from a detailed study of BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN is that it almost certainly holds the distinction of being the best-loved, most respected and admired sloppy film of all time! For once, Whale's penchant for shooting in such a way that the film could only be cut together one way—his way—and his remarkable lack of concern over continuity caught up with him Characters appear to literally pop in and out of rooms and change positions from master shot to medium shot to close shot. Post-production cuts obscure some motivations and, in one case, leave a line of dialogue perplex ingly meaningless. Moreover, the late production work (when Whale relented and allowed his leading man to live after the climax had already been shot) provides the unique experience of seeing Henry Frankenstein flee the laboratory with wife Elizabeth (Valerie Hobson) just prior to its destruction, followed by shots of the laboratory exploding with Henry very much still in residence! And yet no one complains. No one even questions these gaffs. Why? Very simply, it is that the film is in no way diminished by any of this something that cannot be wholly explained. With die-hard genre enthusiasts it is almost certainly a case of winking at the errors with a sense of "Oh, that's just Jimmy," making it a kind of friendly plus. But in a broader sense, true personal works of unbridled,



by Ken Hanke






unfathered genius are so rare wi hin the confines of commercial filmmaking that it does not even require charity to overlook BR/DE's many flaws. Its ,-

OF FRANKFIST IN finctively realized that. to order not to lar too much with the original, it would be nec-

we was every as by the utilization of an "historical pro gu la u g story Shelley (Elsa Lanchester), Percy Its, the first transfer of the first transfe cease is b tterness and amusingly bombasin directorial credit, with his name flyanger. its fenderouss ling out from the packground accompanied by a triumphant and understanding-a. cylinbul crash!) that BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN was just these override any another horror picture, this prologue set that notion to rest at once. Since it was now a subjective representation of he story from Mary's point of view ("But that wasn't the end at all. Would you like to know what happened after that "), any sty infic discrepancies could be swept aside More important, it us ablishes the film as a welter concert I ven the presentation of scenes from the first film as being part of Mary's nove is something of an inside joke, since so little of that film has anything to do with her book sho at first is reluctant even to be reminded of her novel and ow a content decides she feels "like telling it tonight," neatly mirroring Whale's own decision to finally make a sequel And it . Is be way for Whale's ultimace conceit and the bes. joke of all presenting he Monster's Mate and Mary

That the prologue itself is a conceit is driven home by the fact that it is so oversized, so outrageously melodramatte in both concept and realization. The historical perand gos here are not even roughly designed to depict readits R ther they bre the Shellevs and Byron as + they had been created by James Whale and they behave accordingly (For that matter, the trio are something of the oke at the and of shaggy Whale story-a camera dollying in on a mysterious castle in the midst of a raging thunderstorm ters inside.) Whale, of course, would want them in a root clothing in samptanus troppings the large of lar tos as historical characters of some note. The sequence as originally shot was apparently longer and more detailed but to previous did several other incidents) to consider ations of time and the censor ethings Whale could have stated outright to 1931 count not even be hinted at in 1935. under the new production code and this seems to have particularly pertained to the living arrangements in the Shelley menagel

Once Whale dissolves from the stock footage of the mill burning to the newly matched footage of the mill burning. he loses no time establishing the film's symbolism. In this very first shot, tracking through the crowd and past the



charred remnants of the mill, he thrusts the film s deep and curiously despairing Christian symbolism directly at us in the burning timbers shoping like crosses, which topple to the deagnted cries of the mob. The Christian symbolism that had only been implied in FRANKENSTEIN has here become explicit. As one might expect, and as we shall so it is a quirky, extremely personal stripe of Christianity Even so, is becomes mescapably more and a conditional in its intensity and its fidelity is itself, a very a resonnt aspecio sicrificima manisa di o have held no special

religious convictions

It the delighted screams of the crowd at the fire's cansome his ps and the toppling crosses their made it perfectly clear that their mood and Whale's view of them have appreciably afterest since the biss on the firshing of dialogue leaves no room for doubt. Not surprisingly, it is ett ti. Una O'Connor as the Frankenstein maid Minnie a role failured to her unique comic talents, to be the representative of that shift in tone. Well must say she cries out to no one in particular. Since the cest tire lever saw n all me te" For Whale, the next interesting character is the Bargomaster (played with comic pomposity by E. E. Clive), whose only conteen in the matter scens to be ever cising his authority. He even goes so far with the Burgomaster as to have him become a foil for M nnie with whom he unwisely attempts to reason and establish his authority Minnie will have none of it. As soon as there is another burst of flame, she happily proclaims, "There it goes again" It ain't burned out at all! There's more yet," ultimately adding the helpful piece of scientific analysis, "It's his insides caught at last-insides is always the last to be consumed." The most te ing line of all, though is given to the Burgomaster, summing up Whale's attitude I make the crowd on the who e- "Move on. You've had enough exestement for one events. This a strassal of the crowd not only underlines Whale's approach to the drama as theatrical event, but guarantees that the film is on to the veragers respectivations behand their exercise many editions all a kind of grand entertainment. The villagers had been depicted as rather dull-witted, but heroic in the first film Now, the question arises as to whether they may not be the true villains. The attitude also says some bing about as asviewers, for are we not just more intedectualized versions of the vt. agers? Aren't we here in part for the excitement of the mayhem and destruction?

Whale's comic approach to the opening is more than a witty tuge. It is one of the greatest showman setups that mable. The film suddenly -and briefly -turns serious and the viewer is simply not prepared for it. With the introduction of the parents of Little Mana, whom the Monster madvertently drowned in the first film, Whate plays it straight

There's no denying that wives have a tough time of it in the village of Frankenstein PAGE 48 LEFT: A villager (Mary Gordon) thinks she's helping her husband Hans out of the charred remnants of the old mill, but instead finds herself in the hands of the Monster (Bons Karloff). PAGE 48 RIGHT: Elizabeth Frankenstein (Valerie Hobson) learns on her wedding night that her bridegroom is presumed dead, PAGE 49 LEFT, At least the Bride of Frankenstein herself Elsa Lanchester) has it easy, as she takes a tea break with costar Colin Clive and director

The father (Reginald Barlow), rather gruesomely intent on seeing the Menster's "b ackened bones," wanders into the debris only to fall through the burnt flooring into the castern below. As Pranz Waxman's score rises on the soundtrack the Monster ("Karloff" as the opening credits would have it), somewhat the worse for wear but lery much alive slowly appears from behind a post Feeling a to the antisocial due to the treatment he has received by Lutckly drowns the man. It is a shock and no mistake piece of horror largely for its own sake, but Whale's preseptation of it speaks volumes about his own attitude toward it. It must be remembered that Whale was expected







unfettered gen us are so rare within the confines of commercial ti mmaking that it Joes not even require charity to overlook BRIDE's many haws. Its poetry, its sense of the

ater, its bizarre humor, its performances lits visual beauty, its bitterness and anger, is tenderness and understanding all these override questions of technical slickness

OF FRANKINSTEIN, Whale seems to have instinct very realized that, in order not to ar too much with the original, it would be necessary to somehow distance the new picture from its was defuly accomplished by the utilization of an "historica, prologue" featuring Mary Shelley (E.sa Lanchester), Percy Shelley (Douglas Walton), and Lord Byron (Gavin Gordon) discussing Mary's novel on a stormy evening. If anyone had entertained notions (even after Whale's unique and amusingly bombastic directorial credit, with his name flying out from the background accompanied by a triumphant cymbal crash') that BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN was just another horror picture, this prologue set that not on to rest at once. Since it was now a subject ve representation of the story from Mary's point of view ("But that wasn't the end at all. Would you like to know what happened after .hat?"), any stylistic discrepancies could be swept aside More important, it establishes the firm as a witty concert Even the presentation of scenes from the first film as being part of Mary's novel's something of an inside joke since so little of that tilm has anything to do with her book A so, it istantishes Mary Shelley as an alter ego of sorts for James Whale in their respective creative capacities, in that she at first is reluctant even to be reminded of her novel and then decides she feels "like telling it tonight," neatly mir roring Whale's own decision to finally make a sequel. And t paves the way for Whale's ultimate conceit and the best oke of all presenting the Monster's Mate and Mary Shelley as one and the same!

That the prologue itself is a conceit is driven home by the fact that it is so oversized, so outrageously melodramatic in both concept and realization. The historical personages here are not even roughly designed to depict real ty. Rather they are the Shelleys and By on as it they had been created by James Whale and they behave accordingly For that matter, the trio are something of the loke at the end of a shaggy Whale story a camera dollying in on a mysterious castle in the midst of a raging thunderstorm only to reveal these very civilized and non-horrific characters inside) Whale of course, would want them in perfect clothing in sumptaous trappings surrounded by every luxury (with just a hint of decadence, of course) and behaving exactly as it they were already rully aware of their status as historica, characters of some note. The sequence as originally shot was apparently longer and more detailed but fell prey as did several other incidents) to considerations of time and the censor (things Whale could have stated outright in 1931 could not even be hinted at in 1935 under the new production code and this seems to have particularly pertained to the living arrangements in the

Shellev menage')

Once Whale dissolves from the stock footage of the milburning to the newly matched footage of the mill burning acluses no time establishing the film's symbolism. In this very first shot, tracking through the crowd and past the





charred remnants of the mill, he thrusts the film's deep and curiously despairing Christian symbolism directly at us in the burning timbers shaped like crosses, which topple to the delighted cries of the mob. The Christian symbolism that had only been implied in FRANKENSTEIN has here become explicit. As one might expect, and as we shall see, it is a quirky, extremely personal stripe of Christianity. Even so, it becomes mescapably moving and even devout in its intensity and its fidelity to itself—a very interesting aspect of a work from a man said to have held no special

religious convictions.

If the delighted screams of the crowd at the fire's occasional flare-ups and the toppling crosses haven't made it perfectly clear that their mood and Whale's view of them have appreciably altered since the first film, the first line of dialogue leaves no room for doubt. Not surprisingly, it is left to Una O'Connor as the Frankenstein maid Minnie, a role tailored to her unique comic talents, to be the representative of that shift in tone. "Well, I must say," she cries out to no one in particular, "that's the best fire I ever saw in all me life!" For Whale, the next interesting character is the Burgomaster (played with comic pomposity by E. E. Clive), whose only concern in the matter seems to be exercising his authority. He even goes so far with the Burgomaster as to have him become a foil for Minnie, with whom he unwisely attempts to reason and establish his authority. Minnie will have none of it. As soon as there is another burst of flame, she happily proclaims, "There it goes again! It ain't burned out at all! There's more yet," ultimately adding the helpful piece of scientific analysis, "It's his insides caught at last-insides is always the last to be consumed." The most telling line of all, though, is given to the Burgomaster, summing up Whale's attitude toward the crowd on the whole—"Move on. You've had enough excitement for one evening." This dismissal of the crowd not ortly underlines Whale's approach to the drama as theatrical event, but guarantees that the film is on to the villagers' real motivations behind their exercise of civic duty-it's all a kind of grand entertainment. The villagers had been depicted as rather duli-witted, but heroic in the first film. Now, the question arises as to whether they may not be the true villains. The attitude also says something about us as viewers, for are we not just more intellectualized versions of the villagers? Aren't we here in part for the excitement of the mayhem and destruction?

Whale's comic approach to the opening is more than a witty turn. It is one of the greatest showman setups imaginable. The film suddenly—and briefly—turns serious and the viewer is simply not prepared for it. With the introduction of the parents of Little Maria, whom the Monster inad vertently drowned in the first film, Whale plays it straight.

There's no denying that wives have a tough time of it in the village of Frankenstein. PAGE 48 LEFT. A villager (Mary Gordon) thinks she's helping her husband Hans out of the charred remnants of the old mill, but instead finds herself in the hands of the Monster (Boris Karloff). PAGE 48 RIGHT: Elizabeth Frankenstein (Valerie Hobson) learns on her wedding night that her bridegroom is presumed dead. PAGE 49 LEFT: At least the Bride of Frankenstein herself (Elsa Lanchester) has it easy, as she takes a tea break with costar Colin Clive and director James Whale.

The father (Reginald Barlow), rather gruesomely intent on seeing the Monster's "blackened bones," wanders into the debris only to fall through the burnt flooring into the cistern below. As Franz Waxman's score rises on the soundtrack, the Monster ("Karloff," as the opening credits would have it), somewhat the worse for wear but very much alive, slowly appears from behind a post. Feeling a trifle antisocial due to the treatment he has received, he quickly drowns the man. It is a shock and no mistake—a piece of horror largely for its own sake, but Whale's presentation of it speaks volumes about his own attitude toward it. It must be remembered that Whale was expected







LEFT: The Monster's unfortunate encounter with a frightened shepherdess (Anne Darling) presages his disastrous "wedding night." RIGHT: So perfect is BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN's sequence with the blind hermit (O. P. Heggie) that it easily weathered the affectionate ribbing it took in Mel Brooks' YOUNG FRANKENSTEIN (1974).

to deliver the goods in his horror film, and so he does, but not without registering his own silent boredom with and protest of the necessity of doing this before getting on with more important matters. Simply, Whale undermines the scene's horror by cutting away to the response of one very bored spectator, an owl who only barely opens his eyes at the ruckus. For Whale, this obligatory horror action is far less interesting than the very real horror of the mob at the opening. The Monster's actions, after all, are far less nasty than Minnie's sick satisfaction at his supposed destruction. At least what the Monster does is grounded in the logic of what has been done to him, Minnie is merely bloodthirsty and cruel

Again, Whale undercuts his next horror in the same way. When the murdered man's wife (Mary Gordon) helps the Monster from the cistern (thinking she has hold of her husband Hans' hand), she is pitched headlong down the steps for her error. Like the owl he again cuts to, Whale seems personally unaffected by the casual mayhem.

Whale immediately shifts back to the comic with the Monster's nonlethal encounter with the still loitering Minnie, before getting to one of the most beautiful sequences he ever filmed, Henry Frankenstein's "funeral" procession to the castle. The sequence begins with policemen on horseback galloping across the bridge to the castle (changed from the stately manor house of FRANKEN-STEIN to a genuine castle here) backed by the night sky.

Whale cuts to a high angle long shot as they enter the courtyard, and then, in the subsequent shot of Elizabeth watching from a balcony above, shows this shot to have been from her point of view. Next comes a reprise of the opening shot, as Henry's funeral bier is carried across the same bridge. The almost Wagnerian stateliness of this (aided immeasurably by Waxman's admittedly more Mah.erian than Wagnerian funeral march) is unsurpassed. Whale tracks his camera around and in on the policemen knocking at the castle door and then ringing the bell in desperation at the slowness of the response of the household—an action he will mirror once Henry is brought inside Almost perversely, Whale shatters the dignity of the whole thing by the introduction of Minnie, still screaming from her encounter with the Monster, entering in identical shots to those of the procession. The last vestiges of propriety are destroyed when she tries to explain that the Monster is still alive and her fear turns to righteous indig nation when she isn't believed. ("Nobody'll believe me! All right, I wash me hands of it. Let 'em all be murdered in their beds, for all of me!")

And back Whale shifts to the stately, cutting to the inside of the castle with the music rising on the soundtrack. Whale dollies his camera around in an arc as Henry is laid out on a table (which first has to be cleared of provisions for the interrupted wedding festivities), neatly creating a unique giddy quality in the fusion of styles and visual

LEFT BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN's religious symbolism reaches its apogee in the scenes between the Monster and the blind hermit. Here, to the strains of the "Ave Maria," Heggie prays, Karloff weeps, and the crucifix glows benignly. RIGHT: Two hunters (John Carradine and Robert Adair) destroy the Monster's only successful relationship.





symmetry with the shot at the door. That this is pure theatricalism is apparent in the use of the castle architecture as a series of proscenium arches framing the action Even Elizabeth's initial response to seeing her betrothed lying in state is purely theatrical—"I was foretold of this. I was told beware my wedding night"—an intriguing bit of self-dramatization that can be read any number of ways.

Whale has his reasons for presenting all this as he has done. He knows-and he knows we know-that Henry isn t dead as presumed and that all this is a mock funeral and not to be taken seriously. And as soon as Elizabeth is being led away, he has Henry return to life in an action that parallels the awakening of the Monster in the first film Henry's arm moves, provoking a scream from Minnie and the announcement (again paralleling FRANKEN-STEIN), "Oh, look, m'lady! He's alive!" Whale is almost certainly poking fun at Henry's more serious hysteria in the original film, but the point goes much deeper in equat ing him with his creation-for this time Henry is to be as

much the victim as the Monster The sequence climaxes on a singular note. Minnie, who was joy ously exuberant over the death and destruction at the film's opening, opts for a good cry at the revelation that Henry isn't dead at all. It's a strange world Whale

has created here

Just exactly what the living arrangements are at Castle Fran kenstein is not made very clear in the next scene, which finds Elizabeth readying herself for bed in the same room where Henry is convalescing. The sequence itself is largely developmental, but Whale is not one to toss something off or throw it away. Every moment is beautifully designed in a flow of motion and editing-the languorous tracking shot with Elizabeth to the bedside, the trademark Whalean composition backed by latticed windows and patterns of light, the unusually large closeups, etc. Elizabeth has changed very

little from the first film (except in appearance, Hobson having re-

away and forget all this horrible experience." Henry is rather more changed. If anything he is even more neurotic than before, though, to some degree this probably reflects the descent of Colin Clive into a world of his own persona. demons and alcoholism. His performance here is so edgy and over the top that the viewer is apt to be more worried about Clive than his character, but the film without Clive was unthinkable. Not only was he the essential Frankenstein, but his lot was so inextricably linked with Whale'sthe director had cast him in the first big success for both of them, the 1928 stage play JOURNEY'S END, had used him in the 1930 film of that play, in FRANKENSTEIN, and in ONE MORE RIVER (1934)—that Whale would never have had the heart to give the part to anyone else. As a character, Henry has altered a bit, too, being repentant (though possibly less so than he tries to convince himself) for his actions—"Forget! If only I could forget, but it's never out of my mind I've been cursed for delving into the mysteries of life. Perhaps death is sacred and I pro-

faned it. Oh, what a wonderful vision it was. I dreamed of being the first to create a man—and I did! I did it I created a man, and who knows, in time I could have trained him to do my will. I might even have found the secret of eternal life." It takes little to set off his megalomania and he's soon ready to be God again or at least get on even footing with Him, thinking that he was even possibly meant to do all this as part of the "Divine Plan." "No, no," Elizabeth warns, "it's the devil that prompts you. It's death not life that is in it all and at the end of it all!" Her speech neatly builds to hysteria as she prattles about "a figure like death" coming for Henry, culminating with her interruption by the arrival of a visitor who neatly doubles as just

that, as well as the devil that prompts Henry.

The visitor is indeed a "figure like death," and just possibly the devil himself Dr. Septimus Pretorius, a most fantasticated creature written expressly for Whale's impossibly snobbish, campy, and openly gay friend, Ernest Thesiger (whose hobby of needlepoint inspired him,

according to Whale biographer James Curtis, to call himself the "Stitchin' Bitch"). In some ways, the character is an outgrowth of Thesiger's Horace Femm in THE OLD DARK HOUSE (1932), but Pretorius is more complex, less wholly comic, and serves a somewhat different function

As already mentioned, the allegorical implications of BRIDE are more overt than those of its parent. The addition of Dr. Pretorius as the personification of evil brings this more into focus. In FRANKENSTEIN, the conflict between good and evil was largely within Henry himself (with a partial alter ego of evil in Fritz), and the conflict between Henry and the Monster was that of God and His Creation. Pretorius, on the other hand, is the darkest part of Henry. Henry has become God by creating life, making that darkest part the devil—and, like the devil in Christianity, this devil wants equality with God. This becomes abundantly clear very quickly (What doesn't become clear for some time is the extent to which Whale will take BRIDE as re.i

gious allegory.)



placed Mae Clarke) and has apparently learned nothing from her experiences. She still wants to settle things by ignoring them and running from them—"As soon as you're well, we'll go windswept night is men; The Man and his Monster (Colin Clive and Boris

The figure of Pretorius in the windswept night is menacing enough, but Whale links him with the coming of death by having him knock first and then ring the bell impatiently, exactly as the policeman bringing the news of Henry's "death" had done. Whale gives us our first good look at him as Minnie opens the door, slowly revealing his face in close shot as the light falls on it (with Waxman's Pretorius theme building on the soundtrack). The effective use of low angle lighting gives him a Satanic look, while his relative height affords him a position of power. His first instructions, "Tell him that Dr. Pretorius is here on a secret matter of grave importance, and must see him alone—tonight," are delivered in one masterful shot as he pushes his way into the castle in a low-angled, ever growing, ever more demonically lit close shot. If we compare his introduction to that of the Monster at the beginning (which was very nearly offhanded), it is obvious whom Whale considers the more frightening of the two. As elsewhere, though, Whale cannot keep a straight face for long-there's the obvious pun of "a secret matter of grave importance," which Minnie



translates into the equally punny "secret grave matter" And Minnie's fear of Pretorius never quite replaces her feeling of superiority over him in her position as a mem per of the Frankenstein household, slightly undercutting his menace

Pretorius' entrance into Henry's bedroom is a gem of pure theater, with an ominous swelling in the music and his shadow looming on the wall behind him. Emphasizing his satanic nature, Whale cuts to a medium close shot from a low angle of Pretorius backed by the shadows of the fireplace flames on the wall. His first words ("Baron Frankenstein now, I believe"), however, rather undercut the image, affording us a sense of false security. "I trust you will pardon this intrusion at so late an hour. I would not have ventured to come had I not a communication to make that I suspect may be of the utmost importance to yourself," he continues. This little speech is delivered during a tracking shot that ends with Pretorius flanked by a vase of funereal lilies on one side and the flickering flames on the other, and the movement plainly follows the path of the "figure like death" Elizabeth spoke of coming for Henry. Attempting to preserve convention, Henry introduces Pretorius to Elizabeth -"This is Professor Pretorius. He used to be doctor of philosophy at the university, but ... uh ... " Pretorius, completely uninterested in pleasantries and euphemistic glossing-over, finishes the introduction: "But was booted out-booted, my dear Baron, is the word-for knowing too much." (It would not be going too far to read this as a reference to the devil's fall from heaven.)

Continuing to expand on his social gracelessness, Pretorius dismisses Elizabeth (whom he never regards without sneering) by noting, "My business with you, Baron, is private" (Her parting aside, "I do hope he won't upset Henry," seems remarkably dim considering her own lack of concern during her recent outburst of hysterics!)

With Elizabeth out of the way, Henry, too, drops the social amenities and flat out asks, "What do you want?" What his former teacher wants, of course, is to reinterest Henry in his experiments—something that is not too diffi cult when one considers that, despite protestations to the contrary, Henry never really lost interest in them. Pretorius' first approach is blackmail: "You know, do you not, that is you really who are responsible for all those murders. There are penalties to pay for killing people, and with your creature still at large in the countryside . . . is never explained how the old devil knows that the Monster is still at large, or whether he in fact does know, and Henry never protests that his creation died in the burning mill.) But blackmail isn't yet necessary: Pretorius is really there to tempt Henry into going back to work. "I also have continued with my experiments. That is why I am here tonight. You must see my experiments!" en thuses Pretonus, bringing Henry's scientific curiosity to a point where it overcomes his never-too-strong reformation. Immediately the pair are off to Pretorius's Caligarnesque rooms, where Henry is shown the results of his teacher's efforts.

In what is doubtless the most peculiar sequence in the film, Pretorius, first playing at being host by offering refreshment ("Do you like gin? It's my only weakness") and toasting their venture ("To a new world of gods and monsters!"), then mystifyingly donning a yarmulke (a jab at Universal's Jewish owners? a peculiar implication that for

Pretorius his laboratory is the house of Cod?), trots out his singular creations are housed in a display case—a group of perfectly formed nomunculi (all perfectly costumed a consideration that suggests a sly in-joke about Thesiger's sewing skills) no more than 10 to 12 inches high housed in glass jars. There is a pleasing variety about my experiments," ic announces, showing off a prim queen, a lecher ous king, a disapproving archbishop, a mern aid ("an experiment with seaweed"), the devi. ("he bears a certain resemblance to me don't you think? Or do I flatter myself?') and a ballerina ("charming, but such a bore she won I dance to anything but Mendelssohn's 'Spring Song") More horr fied than intrigued by the flea circus, Henry objects, "But this isn', science, it's more like black mag.c!! This assessment does little to dissuade Pretonus who comes to the point. "Leave the charnel house and to low the ead of nature or God, if you like your Bible stories -male and female created he them. Be fruitful and multiply. Create a race a man-made race upon the face of the earth. Why not?" Over Henry's refusal to even consider this scoome, Pretorius offers the full plan, telling him, "Alone you have cleated a man. Now together we will create in smale! Henry is both fascinated and repelled by the notion superfluously asking, "You mean?" "Yes," contirms his would be partner, "a woman. That should be really interesting! The scene fades with the music we will come to know as the Bride's theme on the soundtrack

So used are we in this day and age to BRIDE OF FRANKENS (FIN that on the whole we fail to notice its unusual structure (Par of the curse and g ory of James Whale's work is that it is so visually fascinating and so permeated with his unique sense of humor that his larger contributions such as thematic consistency and daring structure are often completely overlooked) As a rule, horror films tend to move in a fairly straightforward line of story progression. Not so BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN Despite the appearance of the Monster in the film's first reel he has by the conclusion of the homunculi sequence, been offscreen for a considerable period of time the film has belonged to Henry and Pretorius. In truth, thas far the film has been in a developmental stage, albeit one so well constructed and so full of incident that it is not recognized as such. With the reintroot ction of the Monster at this point in the narrative, the surprise is that the film is still developmental In fact, BRIDT OF FRANKENSTEIN's nearly all development, leading up to the creation of the Monsier's Mate at the climax. Whale must have sensed that as fine as FRANKFNSTFIN was as a film, its most impressive and spectacular scene (the creation of the Monster) had, by necessity, occurred before the film's halfway mark. BR.LE was daring since we had already seen the creation of a Monster in the first film and Whale would have to top that, but in running this risk, Whale assured lumself one of the most memorable climaxes in the history of film

Having planted the idea of the creation of a mate for Henry's creation. Whale shifts gears to see how that first creation has fared. We pick up on the Monster in a tracking shot through a forest and follow him until he comes to a waterfal with a mountain pool from which he drinks When the Monster reacts in anger and se f-loathing on seeing his own visage reflected in the water (a sequence) repeated at greater length and to lesse, effect with a mirror in 1939's SON OF FRANKFNSTEIN) it is at patent I lative are a long way from the concerns of FRANKENSTEIN

Of course, having been away from the Monster we have also been out of the realm of traditional horror, so largely to appease the dictates or the studio and its idea of Whale's film, horrowice ters the proceedings here in an obligatory manner. The Monster spots a shepherdess standing at the top of the vil above the pool, with whom he it, advisedly tries to be friendly. His attempts only leighten her into losing her footing and falling into the

water where he saves her from drowning. For his pains, she screams in his tace, summoning a pair of hunters who shoot him in the arm and rush off to inform the Burgo. master. It is protty stock stuff on the surface. It takes a f linmaker of Whale's brilliance to make it otherwise. His at itude toward the content of the scene is onle again summed up by cutting away to the reactions of animals in this case sheep that produce a resounding "Bahi" at their mis tress' reaction to the Monster, Moreover, the scene is firmly wiver not only into this film (it presages the terrible wedding night of the Monster and his Mater but to the first film and the drowning of Little Maria. Here the Monster actually <u>saves</u> someone from drowning, but he is treated no better for it than it he had drowned her. Further, Whale, uses this segment to build to a scene of genuine horror not caused by, but perpetrated upon the Monster

The villagers who are summoned to track down the Monster bear little relation to those from the previous firm Despite the Burgamaster's order to "lock the women ndoors " which doesn't happen since a great many women including Minnie show up in the woods, there is Little indication that the townsfolk themselves are in any danger; This is not a group of mon with a mission to accomplish no matter now distasteful, this is, in essence, a lynch mob. Indeed, many of their actions are remarkably similar to those of the lynch mob in Fritz Lang's FURY,

made the following year Ine pursuit of the Monster is staged in a series of lateral tracking shots, again through a forest, but not the idyllic wood,and of his encounter with the shopherdess. Rather, this is a remarkably barren place, with trees that are but straight trunks, without leaves or branches, reacaing up beyond the frame of the film. The effect is that of a prison, which is exactly what the forest is for the Monster These woods do not even provide him the opportunity of hiding in their unit enally bareness (something even the mountain crags offered in FRANKENSILIN) What had been an heroic pursuit of a killer in the first film is here completely devoid of heroism. There is no personalized. horror for the stragers here. There are too many of them for that and they never get separated. The Menster is reduced to pathetically hiding behind a rock on a small hill a rock that is far to small to central him in any case Even when he pushes this boulder onto a pair of policemen, there is little sense of him having done wrong has merely real ted in the only fashion left open to him

Owing to their great number, the vil.agers have little tro thle subduing their quarry. The only townspeople given any individuality are the Burgomaster, Minn e and Dw ght Frye's Karl, who is introduced caning against a tree, indolently watching the spectacle. Whale shrewdly characterizes them to his own ends. Kail (w 10) turns out to be a particularly conscienceless murderer, nonchalantly points out the location of the Monster (buried under a pile of villagers) to the late-air, ang Burgomaster. Once the Burgomaster appears, his concern, as before, is entirely in vainly trying to establish his inthor ity "Bind nim securely I don't want anything slipshed T.e his feet first! His feet first! I get no cooperation none at all!" Minnie then arrives to add her particular blood thirsty interest to the proceedings, viciously crying out, in a terrifyingly maniacal close shot, "You want any nelp there, I'll bind him!"

It is at this point that Whale establishes his most dar ing symbolism -the Monster as Christ figure-as the VIlagers raise him in the air, trussed up on a pole crucitivion style, and cheer wildly as they pelt him with rocks. As they had done with the burning crucifix timbers at the beginning of the .ilm, the crowd cheers in approval as hey topple the Monster into the wagon to take him to prison.

Wha e is not being merely willful in his presentation here. After all, on one level it is perfectly logical that the Monster should be viewed in this light, considering the

"... I would like to drink to our partnership. Do you like gin? It is my only weakness. To a new world of gods and monsters"

godlike status of his creator, who has indeed 1 reason and More importantly, however, the villagers have no many a mockery of their own presumed Christian in me, if a

The Monster's stay in fail is remarkably brid! No sooner is he chained to a huge chair in the "old dung ... (miscreants must have been rather large in the days) the old dungeon) than he breaks loose and crashes out 1 (air in a fury, nicely demolishing several doors and everyone's superior attitude "Just an escaped lunatic Merely wanted someone to handle it, that's all—quite harmless," claims the Burgomaster just as the Monster barges into the street sending everyone-including the pompous Burgomasler-running in terror

The Monster's reign of terror in the vulage seems a little perfunctory (though this may partly be the result of postproduction tampering, since at least one subplot involving Karl murdering his uncle and pinning the crime on the hapless Monster is now completely missing). Again,

Whale evidences little real interest in this ob tgatory may hem, though he handles it with a good deal of panache and a nicely judged sense of the Monster being virtually ev-

SCARLET STREET

erywhere at once 3 ch dead and injured citizens arning up all over town Even so, the real sense of terror s deliberate.v downplayed. It is, after all, difficult to fee any great fear with Minnie standing about the streets goss ping and having a grand time as the casualty list grows.

Fleeing again to the woods, the Monster indulges in a minor amount of maybem at a gypsy camp before being lured to a solitary cabin by the sound of violar plasing inside This

is the famous

encounter

by make at my stem or control of the second of the second

From the system of the system

The think of the state of the abridge season with the state of the sta participations of revision and community of the What is a part of the digital of the

the spectra as to Rate Allen STEIN has see as the off here.

the a ter with the beautiful to continue irony. Once the Monster overcomes his unders andable. tear of any situation that calls for interacting with a human being, he crashes into the cabin in the only way he has come to understand -with a menacing growl. Instead of the hysteria he is so used to receiving, the only response he encounters is a very calm, "Who is it?" from the hermit. Since the Monster cannot speak, he makes a gesture of friendship with his hands-a gesture he has often made before to no avail Significantly the hermit the first person he has encountered who cannot see the gesture, is the first to respond to it! Whale's hand ing of our first full look at the hermit's sightless eyes is magnificently conveyed, as the old man steps into the light, saying, by way of apology to the Monster, "I cannot see you. I cannot seanything. You must please excuse me, but I'm blind Whale cuts from this to a close shot of the Monster issuing indecipherable sounds and then pans once again to the pleading hands. Without being able to see the gestures, the bermit nonetheless responds by inviting the Monster inside. He assures him, "No one will hurt you here," and unconsciously follows the action of Henry in the first

film by bidding him, "Sit down." Unlike Heary, the hermit asks a perfectly reasonable question that seems never to have occurred to the monster maker, "Who are you?" (The Monster's brain -criminal or not-must have retained some identity!) Of course, the Monster cannot speak, but the herout is undaunted to his efforts, wonder ng, "It's strange. Perhaps perhaps you are afflicted, too I cannot see and you cannot speak. Is that it?" He instructs his guest to put his hand on his shoulder if he can understand, and succeeds in doing what no one elsehas ever even tried to do -communicate with and understand the Monster (No one else, that is, except Whale, who understood what it meant to be different from his fellow man)

It would be a mistake to behave that there is that is particularly bitter and sad e as observes. This been a long time since an analysis



BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN's most memorable character is without doubt Dr. Septimus Pretorius (Ernest Thesiger) ABOVE. Pretorius and his murderous assistant, Karl (Dwight Frye), prepare for a spot of graverobbing, unaware that they are being observed by (ABOVI RIGHT) the Monster, RIGHT: After the hired help depart, Pretoraus tosses homself an underground pienze

man being came into this hut" a statement that not only reflects the artificially created being a lack of humanity. but also places the blind man, in his own eyes, in a lesthan human position because of society. (Le does not say "any other human being," but "any human being.") And there is an open ended hint about the fut lity of prayer n "We shall be friends. I have prayed many times for God to send me a friend," This seems particularly vicious in hight of the fact that it took a false God creating and then rejecting the Monster to accomplish this end unless, of course, we take the view that Flency's work mucht just be "part of the Divine Plan," a possible interpretation, but one which Whate prefers to leave ambiguous

the remain to the cene, regardless of Whale's ambrem content is as logle, is not approached humorcalled a stange Normater and putting him to bed n. h ... the ... tet a liprayer l'aking the Monare in a story a regular over he says, "Our Pather, I has the late to a more nou hast taken pity on my r t in mass id now ou the silence of the night t brough to be lonely children together and sent trained the rest to mine eyes and a comfort on m + n +1. V + he prayer the old man s overcome of me in the subbling on the Monster's chest the dash and the idea of the Monster-his Year han, take a hogay, by cutting close as a very and restrict and constructed of portra by the action of will





angs a slightly askew crucifix. The scene fades to darkness, but the image of the crucifix remains bright at the top of the acreen-then it, too, fades away

Contrived? It may seem that way in the telling, but othing of that comes across when viewing the film It has a simple, direct, horest emotionalism that is never covig As the fictionalized James Whale to Bill Condor s GODS AND MONSTERS notes remarking on the film's comic tone, "But the Monster never receives and or my

sbes. He's noble- noble and mislanderstood

The next scene with the Monster and the hermit has an altogether different tone, one which manages to be funny and charming at the same time Set considerably after their i ret counter we find the pair sitting down for a meal and the Monster's speech lesson. Mach progress has already been made and the Monster has no trouble at all coming to terms with the words he's taught-or the every day pleasures of wine and cigars to which he is introduced Dramatically at is central to the development of the Inm that the Monster is here exposed to the concepts of good and bad-without this, the film's climax will not hold water. This makes it doubly difficult to understand Kor-

"... I would like to drink to our partnership. Do you like gin? It is my only weakness. To a new world of gods and monsters "

godlike status of his creator, who has indeed forsaken him. More importantly, however, the villagers here are making a mockery of their own presumed Christian principles in

their actions.

The Monster's stay in jail is remarkably brief. No sooner is he chained to a huge chair in the "old dungeon" (miscreants must have been rather large in the days of the old dungeon) than he breaks loose and crashes out of jail in a fury, nicely demolishing several doors and everyone's superior attitude. "Just an escaped lunatic. Merely wanted someone to handle it, that's all -quite harmless," claims the Burgomaster just as the Monster barges into the street, sending everyone—including the pompous Burgomaster-running in terror.

The Monster's reign of terror in the village seems a little perfunctory (though this may partly be the result of postproduction tampering, since at least one subplot involving Karl murdering his uncle and pinning the crime on the hapless Monster is now completely missing). Again, Whale evidences little real interest in this obligatory may-

with the blind normit, and everything about this section of the film is different from the rest of the picture. It is more leisurely. There is little sense of guying himaterial on Whale's part. Further Whale here uses background naisi: far more sparingly, limiting it to the hermit's violin and an organ solo of Schubert's "Ave Ma ia" at the opening

and at the scene's emotiona, high point

For anyone who knows Whale only from his herror
films, this sequence comes as something of a surplise but a broader view of Whale reveals that the sequences in volving the Monster and the permit are by ac means isolated in their emotionalism. (One has may to see the sec tion of 1936's SHOW BOAT in which Julie's racially infixed parentage comes to light to grasp what entotions height. Whale was capable of scaling) What is unique here is its use in a horror tilm a gente not usually associated with this degree of emotional depth. (True, there is an emotional force at work in Whale's other horror outings, but the emotion is generally one of outrage.) BRIDE OF FRANKIN-STEIN may well be the only horror film that can bring a

tear to the eye.


The encounter with the hermit is built on a central irony. Once the Monster overcomes his understandable fear of any situation that calls for interacting with a human being, he crashes into the cabin in the only way he has come to understand—with a menacing grow! Instead of the hysteria he is so used to receiving, the only re sponse he encounters is a very calm, "Who is it?" from the hermit. Since the Monster cannot speak, he makes a gesture of friendship with his hands—a gesture he has often made before to no avail Significantly, the hermit, the first person he has encountered who cannot see the gesture, is the first to respond to it Whale's handling of our first full look at the hermit's sightless eyes is magnificently conveyed, as the old man steps into the light, saying, by way of apology to the Monster, "I cannot see you. I cannot see anything. You must please excuse me, but I'm blind." Whale cuts from this to a close shot of the Monster issuing indecipherable sounds and then pans once again to the pleading hands. Without being able to see the gestures, the hermit nonetheless responds by inviting the Monster inside. He assures him, "No one will hurt you here," and unconsciously follows the action of Henry in the first film by bidding him, "Sit down." Unlike Henry, the hermit asks a perfectly reasonable question

that seems never to have occurred to the monster maker, "Who are you?" (The Monster's brain-criminal or not-must have retained some identity!) Of course, the Mon-ster cannot speak, but the hermit is undaunted in his efforts, wondering, "It's strange. Perhaps ... perhaps you are at-flicted, too. I cannot see and you cannot speak. Is that it?" He instructs his guest to put his hand on his shoulder if he can understand, and succeeds in doing what no one else has ever even tried to do -communicate with and understand the Monster. (No one else, that is, except Whale, who understood what it meant to be different from his fellow man.)

It would be a mistake to believe that there is no humor in this encounter, but it is of a kind that is particularly bitter and sad. The hermit observes, "It's been a long time since any hu-







man being came into this hut" a statement that not only reflects the artificially created being's lack of humanity, but also places the blind man, in his own eyes, in a less than human position because of society. (He does not say "any other human being," but "any human being.") And there is an open-ended hint about the futility of prayer in, "We shall be friends. I have prayed many times for God to send me a friend." This seems particularly vicious in light of the fact that if took a false God creating and then rejecting the Monster to accomplish this end—unless, of course we take the view that Henry's work might just be "part of the Divine Plan," a possible interpretation, but one which Whale prefers to leave ambiguous.

The remainder of the scene, regardless of Whale's ambiguity on the religious angle, is not approached humorously. After feeding the Monster and putting him to bed, the hermit offers an emotional prayer. Taking the Monster's great scarred hand in his own he says, "Our Father, I thank thee that in thy great mercy thou hast taken pity on my great loneliness, and new out of the silence of the night hast brought two of tny lonely children together and sent me a triend to be a light to mine eyes and a comfort in ti ne of trouble." After the prayer, the old man is overcome by emotion and falls sobbing on the Monster's chest. Whale then dashes for all time the idea of the Monster <u>his</u> Monster, at any rate—as a bogey, by cutting close as a very unmonsterly tear rolls down his cheek—he scene's final shot and its handling is the most overtly, unabashedly relilous to the film. It is samply a striking composition of the Monster lying in bed trying to comfort his friend by patting him gently on the back. Above them on the wall





hangs a slightly askew crucifix. The scene fades to darkness, but the image of the crucifix remains bright at the top of the screen—then it, too, fades away.

Contrived? It may seem that way in the telling, but nothing of that comes across when viewing the film. It has a simple, direct, honest emotionalism that is never cloying. As the fictionalized James Whale in Bill Condon's GODS AND MONSTERS notes, remarking on the film's comic tone, "But the Monster never receives any of my

jibes. He's noble-noble and misunderstood."

The next scene with the Monster and the hermit has an altogether different tone, one which manages to be funny and charming at the same time. Set considerably after their first counter, we find the pair sitting down for a meal and the Monster's speech lesson. Much progress has already been made and the Monster has no trouble at all coming to terms with the words he's taught—or the everyday pleasures of wine and cigars to which he is introduced. Dramatically, it is central to the development of the film that the Monster is here exposed to the concepts of good and bad—without this, the film's climax will not hold water. This makes it doubly difficult to understand Kar





recording to the second and a second of the North Some North Contract of the South Contract of a cent a venture ar ema 113 the war for their compression.

No. of the early ARCH. BY DOMESTIC sills in CD.1

> take a grown co I all nea legalize cic Markey P + 3 namers and a appear by deb inting co ts whis st 74 1211 1 5 also up for the in-From to ht read correctly rate ser I s h he exreal ere to tw hanters stabs for di reacons a the crient's companier.

and the

to receive the first of the Monster as the first the fload that's been murtiple to the fload that's been murtiple to the fload heavens, man, can't you see 'Oo' rich ama malize the first (John Carradine, no 1 se 11 isn smatt," adds the other (Robert Adair) the control of the district State of the age The Art den is his friend Even after they drag him ton the our after it catches fire during their fracas with 1 M s r s can do is ask, "Why do you do this?" Fig. Mc ic self a pothetic figure of loss and tercor samply ours in the woods, crying, "Friend! Friend"

Wale inderscores the senselessness of who has bened by fellowing it with a crief sent in which the scraimles po supeshiores da See a specific to the first and has coascone stomak his demanapped for meno ap-

later at high the camera iralks offers is lend on so here consect to a test of propulation of the state of the the fither common control coand be asked to see the next of the series of the see pecally since the oppsed guie appears offers biship symple in the man filter of the first of the Conwhe baseless cultural is he sea is not a gener min the life of the state of the state of supposed Ciris at behavior entired billings, che huthe mountaint of the first the first of the were a service I too.

Once in the crypt, the Manstar stambles against a fin breaking topen in a transfer of a sure sign prophetic images in the film, and sees the man and over the face of the woman's corn and ade, asking, hope tally, "Priend?" (This me and so thended the Brit censor who found it need phase is a biscut it out of the print shat can in Great bis a William on out dwell the scene, but is satisfied that is the in the what is to lollow

Interrupted as holds and of a contract Monster hides in the shadow. In a D. Contraction dence a turns out to the second unwilling graverobocis Kormilla and a second as who apparently like the make the coate under threat of morder clory's Wherese's pharma



PAGE 56 LEFT, Dr. Pretorius confronts He ary trankenstein with the fruits of his labors. PAGE 56 RIGHT 'Can you sleep on your stomach with such big butters on your pajamas?" The Monster wants a Mate-first his creator s, then one of his own, ABOVE. The creation scene in BRIDE OF FRANKENSTIIN was the highlight of James Whale's career, RIGHT: "The Bride of Frankens,ein"

for conceit here by having the body too, in pile of the long to a girl of 19-about the age of N r She w an she wrote Frankerssen i "he graves and ic for in a managed to the hen have force he could be some and kere. has each first that the large of the contract of the a tefalls. Those serbones are firm? The Allin rial reh. ii - aoiii the apped a ki ii awes to ik poin where ney ror hing pos Procerus systematics and the Karp of A and Kor II - Kor con less sugar policie period chicken and wile at a the ather my as a same trad to make error be the cone piece applications in more than the process to Maris of Association was real FE COMPLETE TO EXPLOSE CONTRACTOR or nacide enum in the lattice same time anxing this en a notwenter Water of the reason make g with a less most a resident and quite unwho esome period to a remark pro-

A state of a confidential the Monster first makes his present with win by stepping out of the shadowner's maing agranta gine ise. Much like the hermit, At the similarly unaffected and simply notes with n. 20 cent sangirold. "Oh I thought I was alone Good + . g " Since his approach hasn't produced the exthe ted terror, the Monster chances the question, "Friend?" whereupon Pretorius (not unreasonably, given the circumstances] lells him, "Yes, I hope so," convivially adding, "Have a cigar-they are my only weakness." The scene eschews any vestige of traditional horror and runs along in this coolly comic manner as Pretorius slips into the role of perfect host, moving to the other side of the coffin, serving his guest wine, and chatting happily like the bartender from hell. The Monster though, is not just being sociable. He's grasped enough of the situation to want to know i. Pretorius intends on making a "man like me " "No," explains the not-so-good doctor, leaning in on the coffin confidentially "woman-friend for you." The idea holds great appeal for the Monster "I think you can be very useful," Pretorius decides, "and you will add a little force to the argument if necessary. Do you know who Henry Frankenstein is and who you are?" (Whale brings his camera ever closer throughout this exchange, as the importance of the dialogue overrides the humor.) "Yes, I know," confesses the Monster "Made me from dead 1



ir . dead, hate living." "You're wise in your generation," Pretorius tells him in admiration, before continuing, "We must have a long task, and then I have an important call for you to make

Here, as the "Bride" theme is reintroduced by Waxman. Whale reverts to a long shot across the bones on the coffin toward the Monster holding the skull. The Monster mutters, "Woman, Friend " Whale cuts to a closeup on the word "Wife," followed by a similar closeup on Preturius, his face a portrait of evil, smiling at this amusing and forturtous development

On the whole, the scene is beautifully conveyed and written, and Waxman's score is certainly on asset but whale makes most of his points through the application of his own set of cinematic principles. There is an amazing balance in the shot breakdown as medium close shot cuts to medium close shot and closeup to closeup. There is nothing arbitrary about this. Each move serves a definite function. The increasingly close camera placements convey the sense of each character's own self-absorption in the conversation. The Monster is solely interested in obtaining a friend, Pretorius' concern is in using the Monster to his own advantage. The one shot that breaks the patternthe long shot of the Monster pictured with the bones on the coffin-serves a twofold purpose, linking the Monster to death and to Pretorius, and allowing Whale to give extra import to the concept of the Monster's mate by juxtaposing a long shot with the closeup on the word "wife "

Having brought two thirds of his unholy three together, it only remains to complete the trio, and Whale wastes no time in doing so. The "important call" is, of course, on Henry, who is packing to leave on his honeymoon when Minnie announces Pretorius's arrival "There! I knew it!" cries Henry nervously "Send him away I won't see him!" No sooner has Minnie delightedly gone off on this mission than WI ale cuts to a long shot of the room is Eretern is warks. I on his own authority through another door. From the beginning, Pretorius has the upper hand in the scene. He is shot from a low angle, adding to





leff's off-repeated object on to a speaking part for the Monster Couling, as the character in his dumb incarnation would have made the film's progression extremely difficult, it not downright impossible. Further, as SON OF FRANKENSTEIN proved, when Karloff go, his wish to have the Monster revert to his nonversal state, there really

wash tanywhere else to go with the idea.



accordingly to the announcement of the Mouster as the hermit's friend "Friend? This is the friend that's been mur dering half the countryside! Good heavens, man, can't you see? Oh He's blind," realizes the first (John Carradine, no less) "He isn't numan," adds the other (Robert Adair) helptu by "Frankenstein made him out of dead bodies!" Surprisingly the scene plays completely against type The hermit never doubts his friend. Even after they drag him from the hut after it catches fire during their fracas with the Monster, all he can do is ask, "Why do you do this?" The Monster, himself a pathetic figure of loss and terror, simply runs into the woods, crying "Friend! I nend" Whale underscores the senselessness of what has

Whale underscores the senselessness of what has happened by following it wan a brief scene in which the Monster stumbles upon a group of schoolgirls. They run screaming in terror, even though the most menacing thing he has done is to make his dump appeal for friendship.

with ms hands

Later at night, the camera tracks laterally across on old graveyard with the Monster Angered an adisallusioned he mps down a dead tree, and follows this by top pling a large religious statue, exposing some steps leading to the crypts below. The sympolism connects with FRAN-KENSILIN and the Monster's rejection of Cod. for here, through the placement of a large, already leaning, crue.fix in the background of the shot, there is a suggestion (especially since the toppled figure appears to be a hishop a symbol of man-made religion) that it is not so much Cod who has deserted him as it is the dictates of the religion of man which has branded him as less than human. It is significant, when one considers again the perversion of supposed Christian behavior enacted by most of the humans in the film, that the crucity should be tilted, just as the one on the hermit's wall, the one on which the Monster was toppled, and the crosslike nurning timbers of the mil. were all crooked, too

Once in the crypt, the Monster slumbles against a coffin breaking it open. In one of the most heartbreaking and prophetic images in the film, he passes his hand tenderly over the face of the woman's corpse inside lasking, hopefully, "Friend?" (This moment so offended the British censor, who found it necrophilic, that he cut it out of the print that ran in Great Britain; Whale does not dwell on the scene, but is satisfied to leave it as an indication of

what is to follow.

Interrupted by the sound of someone coming, the Monster hides in the shadows. In a Dickensian coincidence, it turns out to be Pretorius and a pair of dickedly unwilling graverobbers, Karl and Ladwig (Fed Billings), who apparently have been blackmailed into this avocation under threat of murder charges. (Whale sets up his ma



PAGE 56 LEFT: Dr. Pretorius confronts Henry Franken stein with the fruits of his labors. PAGE 56 RIGHT: "Can you sleep on your stomach with such big buttons on your pajamas?" The Monster wants a Mate—first his creator's, then one of his own. ABOVE: The creation scene in BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN was the highlight of James Whale's career. RIGHT: "The Bride of Frankenstein!"

jor conceit here by having the body they are pilfering belong to a girl of 19-about the age of Mary Shelley when she wrote Frankenstein!) The graveyard humor s not lim ited to the henchmen. Once the coffin is opened and Karl has noted, 'Pretty little thing in her way wasn't she," the most priceless line is handed to Pretorius, who chimes in tastetully "I hope her bones are firm!" Even Whale real.zes that this cannot be topped and dissolves to the point where they are f nishing up and Pretor us opts to stay behind "I rather like inis place!" As soon as Kar, and Ludwig are gone, he sets up a jolly little picnic of chicken and wine atop the coffin they have just emptied. Pretorius merrily toasts us centerpiece a pile of bones topped by a skullwith "I give you the Monster" (Waxman's score here ut. lizes a quirky organ piece that manages to convey a sense of macabre humor, whi e at the same time linking this scene to the one between the Monster and the hermit, making what follows a deliberate and quite unwholesome parody of that relationship.)

As in his encounter with the hermit, the Monster first makes his presence known by stepping out of the shadows and issuing a grunting noise. Much like the hermit, Pretorus is similarly unaffected and simply notes with magnificent sangfroid, "Oh I thought I was alone. Good evening." Since his approach hasn't produced the expected terror, the Monster chances the question, "Friend?" whereupon Pretorius (not unreasonably, given the circum stances) tells him, "Yes, I hope so," convivially adding, "Have a cigar—they are my only weakness." The scene eschews any vestige of traditional horror and runs along in this coolly comic manner as Pretorius slips into the role of perfect host, moving to the other side of the coffin, serving his guest wine, and chatting happily like the bar-tender from hell. The Monster, though, is not just being sociable. He's grasped enough of the situation to want to know if Pretorius intends on making a "man like me." "No," explains the not-so-good doctor, leaning in on the coffin confidentially, "woman-friend for you," The idea holds great appeal for the Monster. "I think you can be very useful," Pretorius decides, "and you will add a little force to the argument if necessary. Do you know who Henry Frankenstein is and who you are?" (Whale brings his camera ever closer throughout this exchange, as the importance of the dialogue overrides the humor) "Yes, I know," confesses the Monster "Made me from dead. I



love dead, hate living," "You're wise in your generation," Pretorius tells him in admiration, before continuing, "We must have a long talk, and then I have an important call for you to make."

Here, as the "Bride" theme is reintroduced by Waxman, Whale reverts to a long shot across the bones on the coffin toward the Monster holding the skull. The Monster mutters, "Woman. Friend." Whale cuts to a closeup on the word "Wife," followed by a similar closeup on Pretorius, his face a portrait of evil, smiling at this amusing and fortuitous development.

On the whole, the scene is beautifully conveyed and written, and Waxman's score is certainly an asset, but Whale makes most of his points through the application of his own set of cinematic principles. There is an amazing balance in the shot breakdown as medium close shot cuts to medium close shot and closeup to closeup. There is nothing arbitrary about this. Each move serves a definite function. The increasingly close camera placements convey the sense of each character's own self-absorption in the conversation. The Monster is solely interested in obtaining a friend; Pretorius' concern is in using the Monster to his own advantage. The one shot that breaks the pattern the long shot of the Monster pictured with the bones on the coffin-serves a twofold purpose, linking the Monster to death and to Pretorius, and allowing Whale to give extra import to the concept of the Monster's mate by juxtaposing a long shot with the closeup on the word "wife"

Having brought two-thirds of his unholy three together, it only remains to complete the trio, and Whale wastes no time in doing so. The "important call" is, of course, on Henry, who is packing to leave on his honeymoon when Minnie announces Pretorius's arrival. "There' I knew it!" cries Henry nervously. "Send him away. I won't see him!" No sooner has Minnie delightedly gone off on this mission than Whale cuts to a long shot of the room as Pretorius walks in on his own authority through another door. From the beginning, Pretorius has the upper hand in the scene. He is shot from a low angle, adding to



his sense of power and a astery, and indiced he is actually at a physically higher level than Henr and Bl.zabeth Moreover, where he was brusque in his initial encounter, he is all shules and police banter bore be knows he's incontrol. This time it is El zabeth who is corl to be point of rudeness- "Or Pretorius I don't know what your bas. me is with my busband, but whatever it may be, I tell you frankly I am not trightened of it, or of you " calizabeth s actions here make her a most worthy successor to the old-Boron in the first film Significantly, Henry is given no say in the matter. She has decided things for him

After Ehrapeth leaves, Premotes, oses no time in try-

ing to convince Henry to collaborate. When He rry demors, Whale gives Pretonus a powerful closeup on the remark. "I expected this " Then Pretorius into stages has ry to their other "assistant," the now articulate Monster 1 enry is understandably taken aback when the Monster speaks his name (a development for which Pretorius en irely takes creditl). That the positions of creato, and creation are now redit) that he positions of creator and creation are now that the positions of creator and creation are now that the position of the position

is witnessed by Migrae, result-1 to A fit. White uses this to make a and the burnsive maske by having Henry of " wien Minnie screams- a nent touch aber of norror fe at heroes with the payer cognize mele leading ladies by scream

· a scarch can be undertaken for the missing bar-Hori te smasoes à virse to he floor for attention as up from the smashed glass to the engrand es in as he warns the household "to do nothing." this episode," if ereby effectively main into a corner

hat does go on I those little European vis-

refunctory attention paid to the

The second of th

a bit shiny. Lexa bit share, for the second of the second of

the first distributed to the first state of the fir rationa ises Herry "Always," souffs Pretorius, who has no illustons about now karl will obtain his heart, and not ther do we, and frankly we suspect that Henry is timself July aware of the s tush in too. Everything about his speech indicates as much out later when Karl tactlessly volunteers the tasteful information that the wond of all new hear "was a very fresh one" Henry becomes a maerned about now it was obtained. As with the respons a litt he refuses to take for the actions of his creation. Henry is not too particular about the source of the react so long as he can delade himself into believing the he knows nothing abor it and is therefore not responsible. Indeed, the story he is as d would convince may no, especie by after Preferins noisely drops a surgical tool to warn Karl to shuc up, and the long pause while Karl reads Prefortus' lips to tell Henry I was the result of 'a police case "

at complicate matters, the Mons or chooses, his? to browbeal Henry with the need to getry "I in like this. He mas go away hand han a a highly strong than ever Henry tells Pretor of A the Menster deserted by hours to the following in the



thought on the color of scarper to object with a men movere and endown eights po-sections to a composite egual encyclish character 1.1 the part to who hastens to assure Henry that Elizabeth as not dead. Whale pans with Henry-on a lower hard I noting p-to Pretorius as Henry voices his disbe-, et a med am low angle close shot looking up at Proto a cours as he claims to have proof. Then Whate. . to recty tilted close shot of Henry, which he recores to a so reduing shot of Pretorius. He continually int ten sees bots before finally cutting to Elizabeth speaking to I enry "through this electrical machine" (which how suspictously like a field telephone; the period et the nem is remarkably vague) assuring Henry of her so t. Whale reverts to the filled shots antil Henry fully realizes that his wife is indeed alive, at which point he cuts to a normal two-shot of Henry and Pretorius.

As soon as Henry's enthissiasm for the experiment takes hold. Whale returns to the use of tilted shots-even moving ones, each perfectly matched, medium to medium, close to close, and each angle butted against its oppositecreating an atmosphere of insanity, vertigo, and menace. Whate continues the approach until the heart is about to be put into the new creature on the table. The scene then dissolves to an exterior shot of the tower, the sky dark with an opt r oching storm. Inside, Karl rushes in to apprise Henry and Pretorius of the fact, and, just as in the first film, le valeures his assistant. "We shall be ready "

Going beyond the technical polish and verisimilitude was well from Whale, the creation departs somewhat . mi been nee first film Most of these departures stem nor the first that we are now shown aspects of the procedone previous a another. Whale himself might have by the state of takes great pains to now someth from the to go to the top of the we tire em ment crer ation rather than be anor of the fire one way takes us there. Moreto the following of the his time, only an- $\alpha < -t/p > 0$, and $t = t/\alpha \cos \alpha$ focused on the great on $\alpha = t/\alpha = 1/\alpha$, and $\alpha < t/\alpha$

Serve of the famera me ements here, such as the so a skipposter to rising table, are cop-Existing the state of the state har you a property according are much more demonic than these Heavy and E. C. in the previous film, and the not a los a machine to operate that emits a that is said to the kight smoke is properly balance By I ck a wed kites. The intercutting of the rising trace with his switcing kites, accompany of by the

PACE 58 LEE 2 (tenry and the deviated Septimus view heir bonding in as the Monster an eager bridgeroom, enters PAGE 58 RIGHT. The Monster meets his Mate TIFT It's love at first sight for the Frankenstein Monster, ma not for his Bride. BLI OW; Dwight Frye contributed one of his saist me norable performances to BRIDE OF TRANKINSTITO Unfortunately, some of his meatiest scenes would up on the cutting-room floor.

music property compass balls combined the ire-s

Cin and he is betweender, then, why it was deemed cars to the Monster to awake stumble up the stars re a car an arbitrarily murderous encounter h Kar Taro cortain in-joke quality in Karl's Pritz-10 c as a compts to keep the Monster at bay wit after sea a how than rite were wetrased a Love the Whale himself seems to have been life elimbreside sencemor America stead rither arb. rel po entre le colsee t siemarken e dipsie

un ret, and the Kar and the Mean ring in the care same is core more a design in that are can see through them at ame p is i The one elective moment come, at the very one of the cur or other who have a dying scream as ne planter cathward to calls or his mement of the for

Mans rall

The unveiling of the Bride is handled quite differently from that of the Monster in the first film. There is an insert of her pulse being taken, but instead of moving lingers, this time it is a moan that indicates success, followed by a very effective shock cut to the bandage being removed from her eyes, revealing them, herce and staring, in extreme closeup. Somewhat more expected (and who would not have felf cheated without it?) is Henry's subsequent cry-"She's alive! Alive!" -as the intoxication of success

overcomes his moral reservations completely Henry and Pretorius start to remove the bandages and the scene dissolves to a long shot of the Bride in all her glory, with Henry and Pretorius holding her flowing gown like a pair of perverse bridesmalds holding CARLETSTR





his sense of power and mastery, and, indeed, he is actually at a physically higher level than Henry and El zabeta Moreover, where he was brusque in his initial encounter he is all smiles and polite banter here the knows he's in control. This time it is Elizabeth who is curt to the point of rudeness-"Dr Pretorius, I don't know what your business is with my husband, but whatever it may be, I tell you frankly, I am not frightened of it, or of you " (Elizabeth's actions here make her a most worthy successor to the old Baron in the first film Signiticantly, Henry is given no say

in the matter. She has decided thongs for him.)

After Elizabeth leaves, Pretorius loses no time in trying to convince Henry to collaborate. When Henry demurs, Whale gives Pretorius a powerful closeup on the remark, "I expected this!" Then Pretorius introduces Henry to their other "assistant," the now articulate Monster Henry is understandably taken aback when the Monster speaks his name (a development for which Pretorius entirely takes credit!) That the positions of creator and creation are now completely revirsed is made obvious when the Monster commands Henry to "Sit down" just as Henry had done in the original film Nevertaeless, Henry refuses to participate, and Pretor as quality puts the next phase of his plan into operation - sending the Monstor to kid hap Flizabeth. Unterestingly now hat the Monster is on the offensive, the action moves in the opposite direction. Whale for ows his progress to her coom in a tracking shock our light to lefe is opposed to those scenes in the if it where it is the Monster being pursued i

ruzabeta's kid apping is withessed by Minme resulting in an extended screaming fit. Whale uses this to make a fabu ous though an iberusive in joke by having Henry proclaim 'Fazabeth' when Minnie screams, a neat touch in light of the number of an for film heroes with the pecahar ability to recognize their leading lad es by seleam arone (Exactly what does go on a those little European v.l.

lages after hours?

Before a search can be undertaken for the missing paroness. Pretorius smashes a vase to the floor for attention, Whale pany up from the smashed glass to Prefortus a dithen toll es in as he warns the household "to o nothing and say nothing of this opisode," thereby effectively ma

accvering Henry into a corner

Wan oil, perfuncing attendor paid to the Me is er depositing his kidnap victim in a cave and Henry's clinits in finding Hizabeth (". admit I'm beaten"), Whale unveils that which we have been waiting for the great experiment rts. If

Making it cear that this is all a great theatre a lund retaking in our teneful Whale transport as into frankonstein - cwcr laboratory straiglit through in ival, of one of his beloved sinver sets. Mulit the siegs. They ic a bit shiny, I expect," warn. Henry, like a housew to apose gizing for the sho to mings of her dome it. Fre ones or it eather hand, dods it a "chairing house" Where moves directly to the meat of the matter, dissolving to Henry, Pretorius Karl and Ludwig at work in the lab Pretorius pauses to muse, 'It is interesting to think. Henry, that once upon at time we should have been hura, at the stake as wizirds for his experiment "(Note that it is not at one time," but "once upon a time," summing up Wha c's approuch to the film as a stylish, add t fairs tale. Lency has his own problems. The heart they are slated to use isn't much good. 'I must have a joiner and it must be sound and young.' Preforms to, sikar, to visit his friend at the "accident hospital" in search of this requisite. "What we need." Henry explains its a temal victim of sudden death. Can you do it?" For a thousand crowns, Karl agrees to try " There are alway accidental deaths occurring," rationaliz s Henry "Always, sniffs Pretonus, who has no il asio, subout how Kar, will obtain this heart and near ther do we, and frankly we suspect that Henry 1, nimse.t full aware of the situation, too. Everything about his speech indicates as much, but later, when Karl tactlessly volunteers the tasteful information that the wonderful new heart 'was a very fresh one," Henry becomes concerned alout how it was obtained. As with the responsibility he retuses to take for the actions of his creation, Henry Shot aco paracular about the source of the heart, so long as he can delude himself into believing that he knows noth n about it and is therefore not responsible. Indeed, the story ne is to d would convince no one especially after Pictorius nois ly drops a surgical tool to warn Karl to shut up, and he long pause while Karl reads Protorius' lips to le-Henry it was the result of "a police case"

To complicate matters, the Menster, book is this time to browbeat Hearty with the need to harry. "I can't work like this! He mus go away. So to him away," the nore highly strang than ever Henry leils Pritolias. Note that the Monster, deserted by his creator, should now be under the control or the film's devil character and that the creato. has to call on the aid of that devil in order to deal with his creation.) Protonus produces a boutle of Scotch and leader the Monster off taking advantage of the creature sinch found taste for spirits and lack of work in less finadu terate the drick with karekeat crops right in troot of nim! The

Nonster tosses it down and codapses

ous far. Whale has kept the fone much like that of the film's earlier seen is, be mon, as Herry's herves start to I since grae he agens not ill bog it chemical freworks Commencing with a nectum overhead close soot as Henry dicades "That to she a dead "Whale unleashes a perfeetly calanced, yet minac boggling flow of free usady veragit our images, beauting one into the other to create the



most dynamic sequence of his career. He follows with a medium I iw-angle close shot of Pretorius (the physical positions as well as their psychological ones of his characters dictate the approach), who hastens to assure Henry that Elizabeth is not dead. Whale pans with Henry—on a lower level, looking up -to Pretorius as Henry voices his disbehef The medium low-angle close shot looking up at Pretorius recurs as he claims to have proof. Then Whale cuts to a bizarrely tilted close shot of Henry, which he reverses in the succeeding shot of Pretorius. He continually intercuts these shots before finally cutting to Elizabeth speaking to Henry "through this electrical machine" (which looks suspiciously like a field telephone; the period of the film is remarkably vague) assuring Henry of her safety. Whale reverts to the tilted shots until Henry fully realizes that his wife is indeed alive, at which point he cuts to a normal two shot of Henry and Pretorius.

As soon as Henry's enthusiasm for the experiment takes hold. Whale returns to the use of tilted shots-even moving ones, each perfectly matched, med um to medium, close to close, and each angle butted against its oppositecreating an atmosphere of insanity, vertigo, and menace. Whale continues the approach until the heart is about to be put into the new creature on the table. The scene then dissolves to an exterior shot of the tower, the sky dark with an approaching storm. Inside. Karl rushes in to apprise Henry and Pretorius of the fact, and, just as in the first film, Henry assures his assistant, "We shall be ready"

Going beyond the technical polish and verisimilitude we expect from Whale, the creation departs somewhat from the one in the first film. Most of these departures stem troin he fact that we are here shown aspects of the procedure previously denied us. Whale himself might have spent some time wondering just how Henry attracted the lightning and harnessed it, for he takes great pains to show us He might also have liked to go to the top of the tower for the moment of creation rather than be an chored to the floor below, so he takes us there. More over, there are no "same spectators" this time, only unhunged participants so all the attention is focused on the


creation itself in all its mad glory

Some of the camera movements here, such as the snot that tracks in opposition to the rising table, are copied from FRANKENSTEIN, but a number of the approaches are new. The wide-angle distorted close shots of Henry and (especially) Pretorius are much more demonic than those of Henry and Fretz in the previous film, and the notion of giving Pretorius a machine to operate that emits a blinding explosion of spark and smoke is properly Satanic Best of all, though are the additions of Waxman's score and the Ben Franklin styled kites. The intercutting of the using tab e with the swaying kites, accompanied by the PAGE 58 LEFT: Henry and the deviated Septimus view their handiwork as the Monster, an eager bridegroom, enters. PAGE 58 RIGHT: The Monster meets his Mate. ITFT It's love at first sight for the Frankenstein Monster, but not for his Bride. BELOW: Dwight Frye contributed one of his most memorable performances to BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN. Unfortunately, some of his meatiest scenes wound up on the cutting-room floor.

music, impart a curiously balletic ambiance to the bride's birth.

One cannot help but wonder, then, why it was deemed necessary for the Monster to awake, stumble up the stairs to the roof, and have an arbitrarily murderous encounter with Karl. (There is a certain in-joke quality in Karl's Fritzlike behavior as he attempts to keep the Monster at bay with a torch, since both Karl and Fritz were portrayed by Dwight Frye.) Whale himself seems to have been little interested in this encounter. Not only is it staged rather arbitrarily, but, on the technical side, it is remarkably slipshod. (The superimposition of Karl and the Monster in the long shot is very poorly done; in fact, one can see through them at some points.) The one effective moment comes at the very end of the encounter, when Karl's dying scream as he plummets earthward dovetails with the moment of life for the Monster's Mate

The unveiling of the Bride is handled quite differently from that of the Monster in the first film. There is an insert of her pulse being taken, but instead of moving fingers, this time it is a moan that indicates success, followed by a very effective shock cut to the bandage being removed from her eyes, revealing them, fierce and staring, in extreme closeup. Somewhat more expected (and who would not have felt cheated without it?) is Henry's subsequent cry—"She's alive! Alive!"—as the intoxication of success







LEF1: A superb production still of the tormented, alcoholic Colin Clive clutching Elsa Lanchester in the finale of BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN. RIGHT: "Don't touch that lever! You'll blow us all to atoms!"

a train. Waxman's timpani beat ominously as Whale stages the usual three-shot breakdown-medium shot, medium closeup, closeup—he employs to introduce villains and monsters. The approach works on two levels: we get the standard monstrous intro (the Jack Pierce makeup was created from a design by Whale and Thesiger based on the Egyptian Queen Nefertiti), but we also see immediately that the Monster's Mate is none other than Mary Shelley! To top it off, Whale cuts to a low-angle on Pretorius, hands outstretched triumphantly, announcing, "The Bride of Frankenstein!" as mock wedding bells peal.

Buried within this matrimonial mockery, though, is an important point: as the bells die, there is a close shot of the Bride as she sees something that attracts her-Henry!

No sooner has this attraction been established than her intended groom, recovered from the "bachelor party" inflicted on him by Pretorius, appears. This, of course, is the film's Big Moment and Whale plays it as such The Monster takes one look at the Bride and hazards, "Friend?" Whale inserts a closeup of his pleading hands before cutting back to his face-an image as mixed with fear, hopefulness, hopelessness, and longing as that of Chaplin at the end of CITY LIGHTS (1931). Unfortunately, the Bride takes one look at the Monster and screams running to Henry, who leads her over to a couch. The Monster joins her.

Whale mercilessly lampoons the romantic cliches of the Hollywood film as he dollies in to a tight two-shot as the Monster takes his intended's hand. Then, with unbe-







\$6 00 EACH **ISSUE 4 \$10** Plus \$1.50 Postage single copy, 2+ \$3.00 lievable nerve, he cuts to a soft-focus closeup of her hand being stroked and petted. It is only through the masterful structure of the succeeding shots that Whale does not topple over into the risible, as he quickly alternates close shots of Henry, Pretorius, the Monster, the hands again, and then the Bride as she looks from her "violated" hand up to the Monster. The Monster moves to take her in his arms, at which point Whale cuts to a close shot of the Bride from the Monster's point of view as she recoils, screaming—just as the shepherdess had done. As Henry spirits the female creature away, the Monster comes to the realization, "She hate me, like others."

Outside, Elizabeth arrives on the scene (with no explanation of how she is free), accompanied by sound effects and music that make the Monster's pronouncement seem

like a chilling blast of wind.

Approaching Henry and the Bride, the Monster inadvertently comes across a certain apparatus. "Look out!" warns Henry. "The lever!" "Get away from that lever! You'll blow us all to atoms!" Pretorius wastes no time in adding. (Just why such a lever should exist in the first place, let alone be so accessible, is never made clear.) It is here that it is so important that the Monster should have come to terms with the concepts of good and evil through his acquaintance the hermit—otherwise his decision not only to let Henry and Elizabeth go, but that he, Pretorius, and the Bride "belong dead," would simply not make any sense

Neither Whale, in a complicated series of cuts, nor John P. Fulton's special effects, let us down during the final conflagration. Nevertheless, what remain long after the excitement of the explosions has long vanished are the images of the Bride hissing like a cat and the searing closeup of the Monster sadly shaking his head as a tear rolls down his cheek, It is a powerful climax to what is very likely the

finest horror film ever made

As noted earlier, the decision to let Henry live came late in the day and would appear to have been a box-office consideration. (Apart from SVENGALI in 1931, horror films with downbeat endings were unheard of at this time.) It should be noted, however, that the idea of killing off Henry was Whale's as a safeguard against any future forays into Frankensteinian history, and as such was not essential to the film. Indeed, letting Henry survive appreciably enlarges our understanding of his character and that of the Monster. At the very end, as it now stands, Henry at long last has learned a sense of genuine responsibility for his actions—because, at first, he refuses to go with Elizabeth. ("But I can't leave them, I can't!") The Monster, in insisting that Henry go, is thereby allowed to show a level of judgment and compassion that he would otherwise lack. The Monster has come to terms with his God. It is a curious and possibly unique example of a film being actually strengthened by box-office concerns

BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN's greatness is a most completely attributable to the man who made it, James Whale -a filmmaker worthy of inclusion in anyone's pantheon of greats. It is first and foremost his film. The complexities in it are the complexities of Whale as man and artist. One cannot but feel that here he expressed things in a more assured voice than ever before or after, that the moment where the Monster realizes that even a woman quite literally "made for him" is not the answer is an assessment that Whale himself had completely come to terms with in understanding himself and his sexuality. The central idea that the "love of a good woman" could not make everything magically "right" a notion that many people, including gay people, still cherish—had been voiced in Whale's THE INVISIBLE MAN (1933), but here Whale elaborates on that idea and makes it articulate, just as he has made his Monster so. The Monster (an outsider who

Continued on page 72

BORIS KARLOFFTM

EXCLUSIVE MERCHANDISE



Post Cards and Magnets

Featuring the art of Russ Jones, Robert Semmler, Ray Santoleri Lorraine Bush, Larry Greenwait, Frank Sofo, Dominic Tucci, Dave Daniels, Bruce Emmet and Many More!





~ALSO ~

Stamp Art Merchandise - T-Shirts - Mugs Ball Caps - Models - Books - Posters - Busts Watches - Mouse Pads - More! -



Send \$3.00 for our new product catalogue or visit our web site at bitp //www.pe.net/-karloff

Karloff EnterprisesTM

PO Box 2424, Rancho Mirage, California 92270 Voice (760) 328-7987 - FAX (760) 328-0831

FALL 98 Scheduled SHOWS AND APPEARANCES

September 26 & 27 • House of Frankenstein, Lake George, New York
October 3-4 • Mulqueen's Hollywood Collectibles • Clarian Hotel, Rosemonf, III
October 17 • Odyssey Science Center | Atoms Family Exhibit, Tagahassee Fla
October 24 • Witch's Dungeon, Bristol CT

October 25 • Classic Film Showing Mt Ephralm NJ
October 30-Nov 1 • Chiller Show Meadowlands Hilton, Seacaucus NJ

Our Man on Baker Street

by David Stuart Davies

My Interview With Basil Rathbone Well, dear reader, I guess that title grabbed your attention. Perhaps you are now thinking that this is a trick. Davies has interviewed Basil Rathbone, the superintendent of his local swimming baths the one with acne and a nasal drip. No. My title refers to the Basil Rathbone of Captain Blood, Robin Hood, Zorro, and, of course, Sherlock Holmes fame. The Basil who is still to this day, I believe, the most loved of all screen Sherlocks.

The idea for this article was kindled when I saw a photograph in a recent copy of Scarlet Street of our beloved editors Richard Valley and Iom Amorosi with screen legend Fay Wray. Lucky devils, I thought. It only comes to a select few to be able to share a drink with a lady who's been carried up the Empire State Building by a big ape or fought off the unwanted advances of creepy Lionel Atvil.. (Come to think of it, that situation was not so rare.) Fat chance of me ever meeting Fay, I thought. Other peo

ple meet legends. I have a friend, Ernest Dudley, a charming crime-writer of ad vanced years, who not only met but danced with Fred Astaire.

I had better explain. Ernest was an entertainment columnist on the Daily Mail in the twenties And as such he got to know a number of performers who were appearing in stage shows at the time. including the graceful Fred. Now, Ernest fancied himself a dancer-still does. actually and he was fascinated by one complicated dance step that Astaire demonstrated each night in his show Late one evening, Ernest was walking down Burlington Arcade, off Piccadilly, when he bumped into Fred. They got to talking and my friend asked how the dancer carried out that complicated step. "You mean this one," grinned Fred, spinning round, arms in the air, feet flashing. (Imag.ne the scene: If Ernest had been Ginger Rogers they would have been married the next day-if Edward Everett Horton had let them!) "That is the one," said Ernest, attempt-

ing, and failing, to mimic the maestro. "Come round after the show tomorrow right and I'll show you how it's done," said Fred, departing with a cheery wave.

said Fred, departing with a cheery wave.
Ernest did go round the next night and Fred Astaire did show him how to replicate the dance step—thus Ernest's c aim that he danced with Fred Astaire. A wonderful, true story. I can remember when Ernest told me about the incident a few years ago. We were in a hotel bar waiting for the waiter to arrive with our drinks and, out of the blue, Ernest announced loudly, "Of course, you know, I danced with Fred Astaire." At this juncture, our waiter arrived. His jaw dropped... as did our drinks.

But back to Basil ... now there's a legend I would love to have met. A New York chum, Bill Nadel, used to see him in his local grocery store quite a lot. He even saw him buying denture fixative—but I really don't want to know that sort of detail. My heroes must remain untar-

nished, with a full set of teeth. Another friend, Tony Howlett, who is now president of the Sherlock Holmes Society of London, was telephoned by Basil Rathbone when the actor was vis iting London in 1966. Apparently he was considering returning to the part of Sher.ock Holmes on radio and he wanted to talk to Tony, who was chairman of the Holmes Society then and a respected Sherlockian, to discuss the project and to pick his brains. Tony remembers the occasion vividly the phone rang and the dark voice at the other end said, "Hello, this is Basil Rathbone Now what would your reply have been? "And I'm Nigel Bruce," springs to my mind. But Tony's the epitome of an English gentleman and, while perhaps being tempted to utter some sarcastic riposte, simply replied, "Could you say that again, please?" Rathbone chuckled and said, "It really is Basil Rathbone."

Basil Rathbone and Nigel Bruce were paired for the second time as the Great Detective and the Good Doctor in THF ADVENTURES OF SHERLOCK HOLMES (1939), considered by many to be the best of the duo's 14 Holmes pictures. Scarlet Street's coverage of this classic film (Issue #13) included interviews with costars Terence Kilburn and Ida Lupino and is still (we subtly hint) available from our back issue department.





When Scarlet Street publisher/editor Richard Valley met Basil Rathbone in the early sixties, the distinguished actor was loathe to discuss his appearances for American International Pictures—though this photo from THE GHOST IN THE INVISIBLE BIKINI (1966) proves that the actor was perfectly willing to get into the spirit of things.

Tony sat down in amazement. The actor explained the reason for his call and asked if Tony would dine with him that evening. Imagine that, folks. The best Sherlock Holmes ever wants to take you, a devoted Holmes fan, out to dinner. It's a dream come true. Iony was a young barrister in those days and he was working on a very difficult case at the time and it had reached a critical stage. He really could not afford the time to go out to dinner that evening and so, regretfully, had to decline the invitation He could not make the date Rathbone understood and said that perhaps they could meet up in London the follow ng year. Sadly, the following year Basil Rathbone died, never returning to London. Tony never got to meet his hero and, while that phone call is a cherished memory, he still harbours that dark regret of not having done something desperate and ingenious in order to keep that dunner date.

A luckier fellow is Richard Valley. He actually met and spoke with Rathbone It was in the early sixties in a Paramus, New Jersey, department store, when the actor was signing copies of his recently published autobiography. In and Out of Character, Richard and Basil chatted amicably for a few minutes, Rathbone delighting in talking about Guy of Gisborne, Sherlock Holmes, and even Wolf von Frankenstein. However, when Richard asked Basil if he had en-

joyed making his most recent movie, AIP's THE COMEDY OF TERRORS, he returned with a brisk "no" and turned to the next customer

But what, I hear you say, about your interview with Basil Rathbone? Well, there is one. And it's mine! Recently a videotape has come into my possession that contains a live television interview that Edward R. Murrow conducted with Basil Rathbone in the early sixties. It's my tape, so it's my interview. What makes the interview rather special, apart from its rar ty, is the fact that Rathbone is at home in his apartment in m.dtown Manhattan overlooking Central Park, and Murrow is in the studio. During the course of the interview we are shown around the apartment which he shares with his wife Ouida and his daughter Cynthia, along with "another member of my household, Ginger, a red

Murrow commences the interview by informing the viewers that "Bas.I Rathbone will be forever associated with the man with the pipe, the magnifying glass, and the odd-looking hat. All clues lead us to deduce that he is waiting for us now. Is that right, Mr. Holmes—or should I say Mr. Rathbone?"

At this point he turns to a large screen in the studio and, thanks to the miracle of television (and it was pretty miraculous in those days), we see Basil sitting in his own armchair at home with Ginger

The magazine which sparked the Golden Age of the detective story is back...

The Strand Magazine

- Fine tales of mystery and suspense
- Great Sherlockian features
- Insightful book reviews



A quarterly magazine available by annual subscription \$24.95 (US & Canada) \$29.95 (Overseas)

P.O. Box 1418 Birmingham, MI 48012-1418 USA Phone 1-800-300-6652

Fax: (248) 874-1046
E-mail: strandmag@worldnet.att.net

by his side. He is dressed in a dark suit, white shirt, and black tie. His hair is sleeked back and he wears his villam's moustache. I.ke a think streak of black grease paint slashed under that superbaquiline nose. He is grinning: "Good evening, my dear Watson—or should I say Mr. Murrow?" And do you know he really sounds like Sherlock Holmes!

What follows is a fascinating and revealing interview. Like to read about it? Then grab a copy of the next issue of Scarlet Street

Continued next issue

The Cool Ghoul at Eighty

ov Richard Serivan

Programment of the model of the period of the local pine and inspirational experient row his New York beginnings in 1958, through the local station and career transitions by high operation the Cool Ghours whereabout partition helps in 1881. For me, there was a model of the Library of his gwn area affect with a program of his gwn area affect with a program of his gwn affect appearance on THE MIKE LOCAL HOW or TOMORROW with For Single and the mill fast feeling and like the consequence for the section

the transit saving the first to be the sound of the same sound of

milica ordered prime have some describes of the prime have some described or the some described of the orders who are particularly to the some described or the some described o

And now, as unheard of as it may seem, our tall, slim, soft-spoken friend from childhood has reached a milestone. September 1998 - Zacherley's 80th birthday! Incredible, you say? Anyone who has had the good fortune to meet the man finds it almost impossible to think of him as anywhere near that mark; sixties, maybe, with a wisp of gray hair not appreciably thinner than in the old days, and with a quick wit and twinkle in the eye that closes the deal. Eighty? No way! I was there. I know, And many others will know soon, for a gala festival-Zach's official birthday celebration-will be held at next October's Chiller Theatre Expo in New Jersey's Meadowlands (the birthplace of the notorious giant amoeba, aka "Slobbus Amoebus," aka "Phyllis"), and thrown by the convention's crazed creator, Dr. Kevin Clement. The revelry on that landmark weekend will likely be heard clear to Transylvania—its only equal, the evening that Frankenstein's Monster invaded Vasaria's Festival of the New Wine.

So, ghosts and gals, on this occasion I think it fitting to provide a Zacherley vignette, a glimpse into the true nature of our favorite TV Ghoul

Back in 1966, during the run of the aforementioned dance show, Zach employed variations of his usual props, among them his "wife" Isobel's casket/laundry hamper, the famous electrical "zap" machine (Zach's term), and an old, creepy, Gothic carved chair. He has had, through the years and at different stations, variations of this latter piece of furniture, but the one from the Channel 47 show was particularly eye-catching—five feet high, its back rising to a rounded peak with deep carved leaves and scrolls. The backrest and seat was upholstered in plush red velvet, a fitting throne for America's most legendary and beloved. horror host

One quiet afternoon, soon after the blizzard of '96, my phone rang. It was Zach. What he asked me at first seemed somewhat cryptic. "Didn't you tell me that you had a screening room, a movie theater in your basement?" I an swered, "Yes," that I had been a 16mm collector since the sixties, and that my screening area downstairs had through the years slowly taken on the aura of a small movie theater. "Is there room down there for the old Disc-O-Teen chair?" he continued. "Absolutely!" I shot back, fully knowing that I'd make room even if it meant throwing out some of my own furniture. "Well, would you like to have it?" he asked "I really need to make some room here." I've since forgotten my exact reply, but it was surely somewhere along the lines of, "Is Charlie Chan Chinese?"—followed by promises of how much I'd treasure it. Zach's only reply was, "Good, good, then I'll finish reupholstering it. Call you when it's ready!"

I was dumbfounded. Not only was I being offered the Holy Grail of collectibles for a Zacherley fan, but he was going to personally spruce it up to look just like new (or

newly old)

The second phone call came about 10 days later. The chair was ready. I waited until the roads thawed out, rented a wreck, and made my way across the dreaded amoeba-infested Jersey Swamps, through the Black Forest, and finally up Mount Gasport to Zach's mysterious digs, where the Master himself helped me carry the ancient piece of furniture down his winding stone stairway to the cobbled street below and into the wheezy old truck. He bid me a fond farewell, promising to come visit some day and see his "old friend" in its new home. And in its new home it proudly sits to this day, cherished by one very lucky Zacherley friend and devotee. Furthermore, true to his word, a visit was paid shortly thereafter by Zach's alterego, John Zacherle, and a photographic record (see below) made of the event.

It should be mentioned that, fortunate as I am to be in possession of this prized (and very comfortable) heirloom, will always feel more like a custodian than an owner, charged with the happy task of taking care of it and keeping it at the ready; and should TV's Grand Master of Macabre Ceremonies ever be in need of it, the Zacherchair is his for the asking, and forever shall be

Happy Birthday, Zach, and, on turning 80, we're sure you're saying, "Isn't that exciting!"

PAGE 64: There's no denying that legendary horror host Zacherley has staked out a place in the hearts of fright fans from coast to coast. BELOW LEFT: In his civilian guise as John Zacherle, the Cool Ghoul visits the home of Scarlet Street writer Richard Scrivani, the better to sit in one of his classic old chairs. Zach paid to have the antique piece reupholstered entirely on his own when Groucho came up a little short. BELOW RIGHT: At a Chiller Convention in New Jersey, Zacherley delights in a vintage edition of Scarlet Street: The Magazine of Mystery and Horror. He's been the official host of Chiller since its inception in 1990.





Book Ends

The Scarlet Street Review of Books

DRUMS OF TERROR: VOODOO IN THE CINEMA

Bryan Senn Midnight Marquee Press, 1998

256 pages—\$20

Pity the forgotten zombie. Has he (it?) ever been anything more than a poor relation of the immortal vampire, the shape-shifting werewolf, or even his slow-moving cousin, the living mummy? In the entire history of motion pictures, is it surprising that only two, maybe three zombie films can reasonably be considered classics of the horror genre?

No, it isn't, but that hasn't stopped Bryan Senn from taking up the case for the walking dead by products of the Voudoun religion. Senn's Drums of Terror, subtitled Voodoo in the Cinema, is not a typical horror study-a major plus these days, when the quantity of such books is astonishingly plentiful, and the quality of most is distressingly poor. For one thing, few such studies begin with a lesson in theology. Senn, however, knows that a true understanding of voodoo movies requires at least a rudimentary acquaintance with the actual religion. He presents a brief history of loas (spirits), zombies, voodoo drums, dolls, and the like in a highly readable manner, then launches into the cold meat of the matter: the movies.

And what a frightfully eclectic collection it is: KING OF THE ZOMBIES (1941), VOODOO MAN (1944), WEIRD WOMAN (1944), DR. TERROR'S HOUSE OF HORRORS (1965), THE OBLONG BOX (1969), SUGAR HILL (1974), ZOMBIE (1979), THE SERPENT AND THE RAINBOW (1988), and many, many more, including those two, maybe three classics. WHITE ZOMBIE (1932), I WALKED WITH A ZOMBIE (1943), and THE PLAGUE OF THE ZOMBIES (1966).

Senn has his quirks as a writer, not the least being the blinders he sports whenever comedy relief—and, in some cases, entire comedies—appears on the screen. (An exception is his fondness for the talented Mantan Moreland.) He's at his best when he goes against the grain, as he does in a winning chapter on THE VAMPIRE'S GHOST (1945), a povertyrow feature with a fresh premise and some sparkling dialogue. It's the sort of film dismissed by lesser critics, often for the very ideas Senn finds compelling.

Drums of Terror covers 39 movies in detail, followed by shorter critiques (under the headings "Pseudoo-Voodoo" and "Boob Toob Hoodoo") of over 50 more films. If you're itching to tour Haiti, to drop by the local houmtori (the place of worship) to meet a zombie or two (if not in Haiti, then perhaps on Broadway), let Bryan Senn be your tour guide and the attractively-designed Drums of Terror be your guide book. You can't do better

---Drew Sullivan

Hammer's THE PLAGUE OF THE ZOMBIES (1966) cleverly shifted its voodoo hijinks from the isle of Haiti to England's Cornwall, where the walking dead are forced to work the local mines.





SCREAMS OF REASON: MAD SCIENCE AND MODERN CULTURE

David J. Skal W.W. Norton and Co., 1998 368 pages—\$29 95

Someone commented to me before I read David J Skal's Screams of Reason that it was "one of the best film books ever written." Strong words. And yet, having admired Skal's writing and even feeling an occasional kinship with him (perhaps a generational thing, since he and I are contemporaries and he sometimes seems to be a spokesperson for that generation), I was willing to believe that this might possibly be so. Well, 1 have now read Screams of Reason and, frankly, it is not "one of the best film books ever written" for one simple rea son: it isn't a film book per se. It is very much more than that. Despite its underpinnings as an exp oration of the depiction of "mad science" and, especially "mad scientists" and their relation to the societies in which they exist, what Skal gives us is a carefully detailed, lively, and very readable look at the uneasy relation between science-mad, merely irrational, and even sane—and society over a huge span of time (basically from the creation of Mary Shelley's Frankenstein in 1918 up to the present day)

As always, he manages to do this without ever letting the reader lose sight of the fact that, academic as it may seem this is a very personal work. David J Skal is himself present throughout the book his personal experiences being brought into play where they relate to his subject—and this gives Screams of Reason more the feeling of spending the evening with a supreme raconteur than a pontificating pedant of academia. Ever when he leads us off into areas where we may not agree (the vaginal significance of the wound in the head of the Frankenstein Monster has raised severalahem! eyebrows), or where we may not be all that personally interested (UFOs and abductions by proctologists from outer space are decidedly not this reviewer's dish of tea). Skal is such a brilliant writer that we are more than happy to go along with him. Whether we are in accord after the spell of his words are safely behind us is another matter,

Continued on page 68


YOUR

SUPER SOURCE

FOR

video

TROPIC TWILIGHT COMPANY



FOR A GIANT DESCRIPTIVE CATALOG SEND \$3 TO

TROPIC TWILIGHT COMPANY 1142 AUAHI ST. SUITE 3203 HONOLULU, HI 96814

THOUSANDS OF TITLES!!!

CLASSIC SPECIALTIES

Presents - Imported from Australia!
KEL RICHARDS' INTERNATIONALLY FAMOUS
SHERLOCK HOLMES' TALES OF TERROR!



SHERLOCK BOLMES AND THE CURSE OF THE THARAOHS

Egyptologist Soames Coffin lies dying in his dark, old Scottish mansion. He believes that the Pharaohs' ancient magic will bring him back to life. Soon, The Walking Dead is stalking among the living! Only one man can rescue the kidnapped Laura Coffin and locate The Eye of Osiris to stop the creeping death from the tomb - that man is Sherlock Holmes!

Price US\$ 7.95

SHERLOCK HOLMES AND THE VAMPIRE SERVENT

Yet another body is found drained of every last drop of blood! Inspector Lestrade of Scotland Yard is baffled. Only Sherlock Holmes - the world's greatest detective - can solve the mystery of the bloodless corpses and save London! But, before he can intervene, Holmes, himself, is attacked by the vampire creature and lies close to death!





Order books by name Make checks payable, and send to: Classic Specialties, P. O. Box 19058, Cincinnati OH 45219. Please add \$3.50 for Priority Mail delivery of one book; \$ 50 each additional Free catalog with every order. VISA/MC welcomed (sugnature/exprry required). Ph. 513 281 4757, Fax 513 281 5797, Visit http://www.sheriock-holmes.com

MUSIC FROM DRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN AND OTHER CLASSIC HORROR MOVIES

BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN. The classic Franz Waxman score, plus The Invisible Ray Suite.

THE DEVIL RIDES OUT: She, Frankenstein Created Woman, The Scars of Dracula, Quatermass, more.

HOUSE OF FRANKENSTEIN: All the music for your favorite monsters (Dracula, The Wolf Man, The Monster) by Hans J. Salter and Paul Dessau.

DRACULA—CLASSIC SCORES FROM HAMMER HORROR: Horror of Dracula, Vampire Circus, The Hands of the Ripper, etc.

THE MONSTER MUSIC OF HANS J. SALTER & FRANK SKINNER Son of Frankenstein, The Invisible Man Returns, The Wolf Man. KING KONG: The classic Max Steiner score.

HORROR!: Horrors of the Black Museum, The Haunting, Corridors of Blood, Night of the Demon, The Abominable Snowman, Witchfinder General, Curse of the Mummy's Tomb, Konga, The Curse of the Werewolf, more.



Shipping in continental USA — 42 frac CD, 21 éach sal différal CD. Canada 14 frac CD, 82 each additional CD. Foreign, insue of surface (60 airmail, double rates) 87 first CD, \$4 each additional CD. Scarlet Street ships via UPS and orders must have street address. NJ condents add 6% salse tax. Allow 4 to 6 weeks for delivery.



McFarland Classics

"A joy to review...there may never be another book on serials that compares in quality of coverage" — The Big Reel.

"[A] mass of details .. Such information can make a summer afternoon"—Choice

"Not only gives the back ground, but also imparts the excitement of the chapterplay" Classic Images.

"Cline certainly knows his subject" — Mavie Collector's World.

In the Nick of Time: Motion Picture Sound Serials William C Cline 293pp., \$24 paperback (postpaid), 64 photographs, appendix, index ISBN 0-7864-0471 X




"Valuable...it will be welcomed by Meyer fans and film scholars" -Filmfax.

"Detailed and fascinating.
I'm in awe of [Frasier's]
research"—Psychotronic.

"A must" Movie Collector's

"Highly recommended"
Film Review Annual.

"Extensively researched...an abundance of information"— Sightlines.



Russ Meyer — The Life and Films. David K. Frasier. 252pp., \$34 paperback (postpaid), 56 photographs, filmography, bibliography, indexes ISBN 0-7864-0472-8.

McFarland



Box 611, Jefferson NC 28640 Orders only 800-253-2187 FAX 336-246-5018

BOOK ENDS

Continued from Page 66

but there's no denying that he's held us in that spell for awhile and has made us

tnink in the process!

Screams of Reason is an amazingly daunting work. Once the reader realizes the enormity of its scope, the question races to the foreground can the author possibly pull all this together in a cohesive and coherent manner? The answer is an astonished "yes," as Skal manages to tie up his various threads with all the assurance of a serial hero who knows he will only appear to have fallen off the cliff until you see the next chapter. Anyone who can manage to address the history of the creation of the novel, Franken stein, discuss in effective detail nearly all of its various screen incarnations, and also have the wit and resource to touch on such related matters as Ken Russell's GOTHIC (1986), Ivan Passer's HAUN-TED SUMMER (1988), and Roger Corman's FRANKENSTEIN UNBOUND (1990) deserves nothing but praise and respect. Skal's research is impeccable, but what most amazes the reader is the sheer scope of his frame of reference. He can move with the ease of the truly knowledgeable from Mary Shelley to Charles Darwin to Oscar Wilde to Robert Louis Stevenson to H G. Wells to Karel Capek to Fritz Lang to James Whale and on and on. And, unlike many writers with a passion for the arts, Skal keeps a firm hold on world history, which is especially essential with a premise such as this. There is no sense that Screams of Reason was created in the rarefied realm of the arts alone. The "real" world is always there and always real and the author is clearly a part of it—he's not looking down on it from a superior height. Ska. has that which is often sorely lacking in art itself today—a genuine worldview

Will the book please everyone? Hard ly. A number of readers will doubtless come away from Screams of Reason in a state of great agitation, though it will be interesting-and telling-to see who is upset by what! Some people will surely have their withers wrung by the homosexual subtexts concerning Robert Lewis Stevenson's Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde (1886). Others will inevitably be put off by Skal's reading of what might be behind the rash of stories about abduction by space aliens. Still others will take of fense at his questioning the essential "rightness" of such cultural icons as Carl Sagan and Stephen Hawking, As such, the book is apt to cause a great deal of lively (and hopefully, healthy) debate, which, in a world of books more apt to elicit a yawn than a scream (of reason or otherwise), is perhaps the highest praise I can give this truly fine and unique

Ken Hanke

NOSFERATU

Jim Shepherd Alfred A. Knopf, 1998 215 pages—\$22

A strange and not entirely explicable fiction based on the life of the great

director F.W. Murnau, Nosferatu is at once a fascinating and currously dispassionate work, with a structure that clearly bespeaks of its origins as a smaller idea-a fictional diary of the making of Murnau's 1922 horror classic, NOSFERATU—expanded to short novel length The result of this is, in part, a narrative that jumps from a third person first act to a first person second act to a third person third act with less than wholly satisfactory results Structure, however, is the least of the book's problems. Much more unsettling is the odd mixture of emotional minimalism with an abundance of historical detail (not all of which is as accurate as the author's research would suggest; e.g., it seems scarcely likely that Murnau would be remarking about the special effects in the 1924 THIEF OF BAGDAD while planning his 1922 NOSFERATU). It's all rather fascinating, but somewhat short of satisfying. We know that this is not film history and yet it is written with his tory seemingly in the forefront, the human drama left to fend for itself with a bare minimum of characterization. Un like Christopher Bram's fictional account of the last days of James Whale, Father of Frankenstein, in which the author tries to understand and convey what he understands about his lead character, Jim Shepard seems content to offer us a rough sketch of Murnau (and even rougher ones of those in his sphere) and we are to make of it what we will Unfor-

Continued on page 71

earch for James by Ken Hanke

or a director dismissed by even so savvy a critic as An drew Sarris as "lightly likable," James Whale has had an astonishing amount of ink spilled (and film exposed) on his behalf in recent years, until there are now three (two of them, admittedly, by the same author) books on his life and career, not to mention parts of other books; articles; a novel by Christopher Bram based on his last days, Father of Frankenstein (Dutton, 1995); and Bill Condon's fine film of that novel, GODS AND MONSTERS-enough Whale material to satisfy even Captain Ahab! Or it ought to be, yet it somehow still seems to fall short of an entirely successful

Whaling expedition

The three bios James Whale by James Curtis (Scarecrow Press, 1982), James Whale A Brography or The Wouldbe Gentleman by Mark Gatiss (Cassell, 1995), and James Whale. A New World of Gods and Monsters again by Curtis (Faber and Faber, 1998)—all have ments, as well as shortcomings. Curtis' original biography for Scarecrow, while lacking in many areas and now superceded by his new book (which absorbs most of the old book's material), is certainly noteworthy if only as a pioneering effort on Whale. It did chronicle Whale's career and life in a way no one else had ever done, but it clearly-ostensibly at the behest of Whale's longtime companion, David Lewis-downplayed the director's homosexuality, and, partly as a result of this, failed to really come to grips with the films. Alas, despite good intentions all around, this is precisely the area in which the subsequent Whale books still fall sadly short

The Gatiss biography, by far the slighter of the two newer books, is a valiant effort at attempting to integrate the man and his work. At least, that is the stated intent. The back cover itself proclaims that the book "examines Whale's extraordinary rise to prominence, the gay sensibility of his films, and the popular theory that Hollywood homophobia led directly to his downfall"-bold claims, and the book never quite lives up to them. At best, Gatiss makes a few remarkably tentative stabs ences that suggest the possibility that FRANKENSTEIN (1935).

Colin Clive (whom Gatiss, contrary to Curtis, describes as bisexual) in FRANK-ENSTEIN (1931) was meant to be the director's onscreen alter ego, and the fairly obvious observation that Whale's films are brimming with a fondness for and understanding of characters who are somehow "different." The frustrating aspect of all this is that Gatiss so often gets within inches of an assessment that looks promisingly like it might suggest something fresh—and then the whole thing slips right through his fingers. For example, in discussing Mae Clarke's performance in WATERLOO BRIDGE (1931) Gatiss notes, "Through the tre-

James Whale's jealousy of star Boris Karloff-a monster he had created by making the actor famous via FRANKENSTEIN (1931)—resulted in the director posing for more information on Whale's life and at connecting a gay sensibility to the an inordinately large number of publicity films. These are mostly related to refer- stills during the making of BRIDE OF

mendous vitality of Whale's direction, it is she, rather than [Kent] Douglass, who carries the film. In her mannerisms, her strength, her independence, and perhaps even her lack of real emotion, Myra is more like a man than a woman. In contrast, it is Douglass' Roy who comes closer to the traditional depiction of the female." Fascinating, correct, and telling, but Gatiss leaves us hanging by not ex ploring what this might mean or even what it means to him

Still, at least Gatiss sees Whale's films as having a gay subtext. Curtis completely ignores or dismisses this, partly on the assumptions of Whale's friends and intimates, who say that Whale would never have incorporated

such elements into his work. Very likely he wouldn't have done so-consciously (at least as anything other than an in-joke). But what might Whale have done instinctively? What did he tell us unconsciously? Curtis seems not to accept these possibilities and must, one supposes, view the creation of art as a wholly conscious act-a somewhat shortsighted and even dreary notion that does no service to Whale's work or art in general. Indeed, Curtis seems to cherish only the most hackneyed ideas of gayness by admitting that Whale's sexuality is expressed only in the flamboyant design consciousness of his films. After he has cited the example of Whale's penchant for "oversized floral arrangements," it is hard not to expect Emory from THE BOYS IN THE BAND to mince onto the scene and exclaim, "Oh, Mary, it takes a fairy to make something pretty!" This is perhaps to be expected of someone who is apparently not entirely in sympathy with the topic, as witness his tired ref erence to the word gay "in the tradi-tional and, lamentably, archaic sense of the word." There is a rather unpleasant odor of overripe chiche to Curtis' take on homosexuality that is not entirely dispelled by his decision at book's end to have David Lewis' ashes placed di rectly across from those of Whale at Forest Lawn

Still, it is almost impossible to fault Curtis' research. He has unearthed career than one would have thought possible-and, in some cases, than one might have desired. Perhaps because it





As an artistic, working-class gay man who at times tried to pass himself off as a member of the British upper class, James Whale was different—and attracted to people and characters who likewise lived outside the "norm." LEFT: One of Whale's lifelong friends was actor Ernest Thesiger (pictured with Whale on the set of 1935's BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN). Thesiger was gay, married to his lover's sister, a soldier in World War One, a master of needlepoint. RIGHT: Colin Clive (pictured with Thesiger in BRIDE) was a nerve-shattered alcoholic, married to a lesbian and reputedly bisexual, who drank himself to death at the age of 37. BELOW LFFT: Elsa Lanchester played Mary Shelley in the witty BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN prologue, opposite Gavin Gordon as the notoriously bisexual Lord Byron. In real life, of course, Lanchester was married to yet another gay actor and Whale associate: Charles Laughton. BELOW RIGHT: In SHOW BOAT (1936), Helen Morgan played Julie, whose mixed blood sends her into exile from her show business companions. In real life, Morgan (pictured with Irene Dunne) was, like Colin Clive, an alcoholic.

was already overly familiar material by the time I read the book, I found myself with a sense of knowing far more about JOURNEY'S END as a 1929 stage production than necessary. Thus, however, is a small price to pay for the background on the making of Whale's films, which could not factually, at least be bet-tered. Where Curtis doesn't quite succeed here-nor, in fact, dues Gatiss is in the fact that Whale never seems quite a living character in his own story. So very little sense of the man himself emerges from the facts and the back ground that Whale ultimately seems less substantial than do the events surrounding the making of his movies. This is a great pity in that it is hard to imaging the man who made such amazing films as WATERLOO BRIDGE, FRANK-ENSTEIN, THE OLD DARK HOUSF (1932), THE INVISIBLE MAN (1933) ONE MORE RIVER (1934), BRIDE OF

FRANKENSTEIN (1935), REMEMBER LAST NIGHT? (1935), and SHOW BOAT (1936) as anything less than fascinating and very much alive, Curtis' portrait of Whale is historically very sound, but where is the essence of the man? The passion? The wit? If the answer-as seems mevitably the case—lies in the films themselves, we would never know it from Curtis' unwillingness or inability to link them with the man. What we are left with is an anecdotal portrait of Whale with little to suggest what those anecdotes reveal in the final analysis. (Though heavily fictionalized, it is likely that Bram's novel, Father of Frankenstein, and Bill Condon's film of it offer more successful portraits of Whale as a living, breathing person of immense complex ity, humor, and sadness.)

As examinations of Whale's work, both Curtis and Gatiss fall amazingly short of the mark. Had I come to either's

work without already knowing the films of James Whale, I doubt seriously that I would have felt compelled to seek those films out—and that, I suggest, is the first duty of any book about an artist it must convey the writer's own excitement over the work of his subject and communicate that to the reader. Gatiss fares better in this department, managing to transmit the sense that he genuinely loves Whale's works, but he nonetheless gives them fairly short shrift in terms of descr.bing them and giving a sense of what makes them unique. Gatiss, however, is much more generous in this regard than is Curtis, though he breezes over such films as 1932's IMPATIENT MAIDEN (to a degree that one suspects he was un able to screen it for the book) and 1934's BY CANDLELIGHT (which he obviously screened by virtue of commenting on the

Continued on page 71





In one of several clever in-jokes sprinkled throughout Bill Condon's GODS AND MONSTERS, Clayton Boone (Brendan Fraser) and James Whale (Ian McKellen) share stogies in much the same way as did BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN'S Blind Hermit and Monster.

WHALE HUNT

Continued from page 70

film's sometimes overbearing musical score). Curtis often gives only a skimpy plot outline and little comment about what is onscreen. This is especially a disappointment when dealing with films that the reader is likely not to have been able to see. Gatiss, at least, offers a couple of rich dialogue bits from Whale's breathlessly brilliant REMEMBER LAST NIGHT?, while Curtis rather perversely seems to assume that the reader has seen the film, only to turn around and complain about its unde served obscurity.

In the end, one is confronted with the question, which is the better book? Frankly, I'd say it's very nearly a wash. Gatiss' book is more personal and lively, with a somewhat better sense of Whale on the screen. Curtis' is brilliantly researched and a good picture of the mak-



ing of the films, but it remains distanced and detached. Of course, true admirers of Whale's work will insist on owning both, while still hoping for a book on Whale that will provide the guideposts to understanding just why this director whom we are told is "lightly likable" is also endlessly fascinating.

Ken Hanke is the author of Charlie Chan at the Movies (McFarland, 1989).

BOOK ENDS

Continued from 68

lunately, we aren't able to make as much of it as he perhaps thinks we are

Shepard's premise is fairly simple. Murnau (sti.l going by the name Plumpe) meets and falls in love with Hans while they are both students. Their romance is a drawn out and tentative af fair that is tarnished almost as soon as it is consummated by Murnau's brief affair with another man. As soon as Hans real izes what has happened (in a scene almost thrown away in terms of drama), he exits Murnau's life, and, before any kind of reconciliation or even understanding can be achieved, is killed in World War One The thread that ties the book together concerns Murnau's combined sense of guilt and lifelong love for the dead man: a situation worsened by the rumor that Hans may have deliberately committed suicide on the battlefield. Somewhere behind every move that Murnau makes is his search for the answer to this mystery. It is undoubtedly a strong enough plot to carry the book, but we are never allowed to get close enough to Murnau to completely under stand his devotion. We are merely to accept it while the book concerns itself with the filming of NOSFERATU, THE LAST LAUGH (1924), and TABU (1931). This also leaves one to wonder why the book merely mentions such essential Murnau works as FAUST (1926) and SUNRISE (1927)—espec ally the former, since discussions of Coethe's FAUST between Murnau and Hans figure in the book's first section). Again, all this is in teresting, but it's difficult to conceive of the general readership interested in

Murnau's and Karl Freund's development of a gyroscopic camera stabilizer that allowed the freedom to shoot THE LAST LAUGH in an unusually fluid style. Even more problematic is the fact that this is handled in film-book jargon and is probably not even comprehensible to readers unfamiliar with such books

That said, there are wonderful things in the book. Murnau's ersatz dianes smack of authenticity even with the occasional mistake and the knowledge that one cannot take any of this as a history lesson. Perhaps the most refreshing and surprising aspect of the book, however, hes in its utterly non-sensationalistic presentation of Murnau's sexuality. During a sequence in which the actor Conrad Veidt squires the youthful Mur nau around various clubs in decadent Berlin, there are references to legal codes being broken by homosexual activities, but nothing is made of Murnau's sexuality in the usual breast-beating manner. His romance with Hans is merely given as a fact and accepted as such, presented in admirably straightforward fashion that never even flirts with the idea that such a relationship could in any way be "wrong." Murnau's sexuality even seems to be a generally accepted fact of the film scene, both in Germany (Emil Jannings jokes good naturedly about it) and in Hollywood. (Murnau tells the story of Hans to Robert Flaherty's brother as if there could be nothing shocking about it and indeed the reaction-or non-reaction-bears him out.) Murnau is certainly painted as a tortured genius, but that torture is always rooted in his betrayal of Hans, his loss of him, and the idea that he may have committed suicide over that betrayal, never because Murnau is gay. To some degree, this may be the result of the largely dispassionate approach Shepard gives to most events in the novel. Regardless, it is probably the book's finest achievement and possibly its most lasting one. Because of this, and because it offers at least the illusion of a behind-the-scenes look at three legendary films, Nosferatu, for all its flaws, is certainly worth a read

Ken Hanke

SET VISITS
Bill Warren

McFarland & Company, Inc., 1997

311 pages—\$39.50

Bill Warren loves the movies. He loves watching them, he loves watching them being made, and he loves writing about them. He is proud to be, first and foremost, a fan. He came to Los Angeles in 1966 "... hoping to get work in movies, but I didn't go about things the right way, and I've never been on that side of the business "Through the kindness of Forrest J Ackerman and producer Luis Enrique Vergara, he was able to visit the sets of Boris Karloff's last four movies, beginning an addiction to set visits that has served him well as a writer on film

Set Visits: Interviews with 32 Horror and Science Fiction Filmmakers is chock full of the nuts and bolts operation of creating a movie. If you've ever wondered what, exactly, a producer does, or how certain special effects are created, read this book. All the disciplines necessary to make a horror or sci-fi film are richly represented here.

Continued on page 74

BILL CONDON

Continued from page 39

"I did my first movie with Arthur Dignam, STRANGE BEHAVIOR. He played the mad doctor Le Sangel in that. He's a wonderful Australian actor who people might remember from such early Fred Schepisi films as THE DEVIL'S PLAYGROUND, in which he played a lecherous priest. After reading so many people, it just seemed to me that he was the only one who could really conjure Thesiger up. He agreed to come in for a day's work all the way from Australia! As for Elsa Lanchester, Rosalind Ayres was a godsend. I think of her as Elsa with a little bit of Susan Sarandon spr.nkled in. There's one moment when she's standing with Whale at a George Cukor party and says, "I didn't know you were here," and for a second it feels completely as if you're watching Elsa.

'As for the older Karloff, there's a funny story. At the Saturn Awards, I ran into Martin Landau, who suggested that he should have played Karloff. That would have been unique, wouldn't it? One actor playing both Karloff and Lugosi[†] But the actor we chose, Jack Betts, was wonderful, although in real life he's a dead ringer for Clark Gable. which didn't do us any good at all Luckily, with Karloff we had life masks to work from, so we were able to play

around with prosthetics."

One Whale intimate who didn't make it into the film is Elsa Lanchester's husband, Charles Laughton, who made his American film debut in THE OLD DARK HOUSE (1932)

and figured in an early sequence in Bram's novel.

"I never planned to include the Laughton flashback," Condon explained. "I loved it, but it seemed to be off the point of the rest of the story. The one I desperately wanted to do—and I had it in the first draft, but just couldn't afford—is the scene where Whale leaves the doctor's office and finds himself being driven by Hannah down Hollywood Boulevard, and he remembers the opening of CA MILLE at the Chinese Theatre. It would have allowed us a brief glimpse of his relationship with his lover, David Lewis, when it was going well And, of course, it would have been the opening of a Cukor movie so it would have helped to establish that rivalry. And it would have given us a scene with Garbo, a very mysterious moment when these two people briefly cross paths. I loved that and I wish we'd been able to put that in, due to the budget,"

GODS AND MONSTERS was made for three and a half million. It's set in glamorous Hollywood. It's a period piece—a four-period piece, actually, with scenes set in 1900 (approximately), 1918, 1935, and 1957. Scarlet Street asked Condon how he managed to make such a wide-ranging film

on so small a budget.

"It was scary, but we planned it like a war. Like a big battle. I could give you an entire list of people who made it possible, starting with the actors, who had these big, big scenes and were perfect on the first take. Obviously we were lucky to have Bruce Finlayson on the costumes. Bruce would be up till three in the morning sewing a costume himself if it was necessary—and it often was necessary. And then with the sets-our whole approach there was the early Merchant Ivory approach, which is that you save your budget for a couple of big scenes, and that gives an overall illusion of opulence. In our case, that was the party at the Cukor house with all the extras, and of course the lab from BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN. The really scary thing was that we had only 24 days to shoot the entire film, and certain constraints start to seem appealing for people who want to keep the money in check. There was always the danger that we might lose the Frankenstein laboratory, which made for a few sleepless nights. But we got it."

Executive producer Clive Barker was behind the project all the way. "Clive was kind of our godfather in putting it together. It's an area that he's expanding into, to sort of be like Coppola. He has amazing powers of persuasion, and

you're always teetering on the edge of destruction during preproduction. He'd always come in at the right moment and make an impassioned phone call, keep the money on track, and do all that."

AND GODS MONSTERS has gained some attention-negative in several quarters, positive in mostby being a movie featuring real-life gay people (Whale, Thesiger, Clive, Lewis, and Cukor), made by outspoken gay people. Like Barker, lan McKel len, and Christo- George Cukor's. pher Bram, Con-



Whale and Boone (Ian McKellen and Brendan Fraser) attend a gathering at

don is openly gay. "As a matter of fact, there were lots of heads of departments on the film who were out, not that we ever planned it that way. I honestly wish it wasn't so rare a phenomenon.

If GODS AND MONSTERS, which is scheduled to open early in November, proves to be the popular and critical success it deserves to be, Bill Condon may very well get his

wish.

THE BRIDE CAME C.O.L.D.

Continued from page 61

can easily be read as an expression of Whale's outsider status as homosexual) is villain no longer, nor is God (whom the Monster viciously rejected in FRANKENSTEIN), Now, it is at the cruelty and misunderstanding of man that Whale points a finger. His subsequent films, by and large, bear this out. The director's sympathy remained very much with any character-Julie in SHOW BOAT, for example-

who is somehow an outcast.

James Whale never made another horror film, which is both regrettable and understandable—where was there for h.m to go after BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN? Perhaps the most amazing thing about the film, though, is that it was made at all. Whale and Karloff were certainly not the closest of friends (in GODS AND MONSTERS, James Whale is allowed to note that Karloff is "a very proper actor, and the dullest fellow imaginable"), and saw anything but eye to eye on the project. The best assessment of the torturous journey from concept to final film is found within the film itself. It can easily be read that Henry's reluctance to work on the creation of the Bride is a mirror of Mary Shelley's reluctance to tell the story in the first place--and reflected Whale's own thoughts about a sequel to FRANKENSTEIN Like Henry Frankenstein himself, Whale had a "terrible lesson" to learn making BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEINnamely, that his thunder would be stolen by his star and that he would be forever typed as a horror film specialist In BRIDE, he made sure that these circumstances would not happen again, and if he didn't quite succeed in putting an end to further Frankenstein films, that's less a comment on Whale than on commercialism. It never occurred to Whale that his creation was immortal as far as he was concerned. the Monster died in the tower, and that was that.

CURTIS HARRINGTON

Continued from page 38

see him socially from time to time and I think he's done an incredible job of research on the new edition. One of the things that I got from James Curtis is copies of the reviews of the play, THE MAN WITH THE RED HAIR, in which James Whale played Charles Laughton's son in England in 1928. It's so interest ing, because there's a caricature in one of the reviews of James Whale-it's a caricature dated March 31st, 1928, in a copy of The Illustrated Sporting and Dramatic News, and there's a drawing of James Whale and he's wearing—it looks like the suit and the short sleeves that the Monster had! That's what he did when he played the role-he shortened his sleeves! That was an idea he d had for a long time and then he applied it to the Monster.

SS: You've said in the past that you do not believe there's really what one would call a "gay sensibility" in Whale's films. CH: No, I didn't quite say that. It depends on your definitions, of course. He certainly had a camp sensibility in his humor Some people would call that gay. It's all in the eye of the beholder as far as I'm concerned, but what I did say was that he wouldn't-that I can't conceive of him thinking, in any way, that the Monster was a symbol for the homosexual as an outcast of society, I don't buy that I don't think his mind worked that way, so I really don't believe it But if somebody does, that's their privilege It doesn't seem to me to suit the way he looked at things. I think all these analyses are fine-and it even happens with my own fl.ms; people give interpretations I never thought about. It's something impretation to it, and that's fine .

SS: It might have been done unconsciously, but it wasn't as if Whale were setting up an agenda or anything of that nature.

CH: Not remotely. He wouldn't have thought that way at all

SS: But a work of art than can be interpreted one way and one way only-is it really worth interpreting at all?

CH: There are a million interpretations and some of them seem to be right on the mark and others seem farfetched. Sometimes Ill read something about a film I've done and it seems like the perfect in sight, and then I'll read something else and say, "My God, how did they come to that conclusion?" One of the things I've found when I talk to young people today-young gays and militant gays and people who are rather vociferously out of the closet-they don't seem to have any concept of what being gay was to someone like James Whale in the thirties, or any time in the past. They can't quite understand that homosexuality was always a taboo subject among the middle c.asses Always It still is It's just as strong as ever. But there was no particular animus in the sophisticated circles of the theater and the arts. It never-either then or now-it just never existed. It isn't as though it was some great burden for Jimmy to be gay or admit he was gay It was just the artistic world. Everybody knew most ballet dancers were gay and a lot of artists were and so what? And there was Gertrude Stein-about as obvious a dyke as you could ask for-in the public eye and giving lectures at Mid western Ladies' Clubs and no one thought anything about it. It's on the lower level that there's always been a problem The police were-I remember in Los Angeles, they were doing raids on gay bars years ago, and entrapment in men's rest rooms, and all those horrible things. That sort of thing has been at least minimized, now. You know, it's very interesting to me that I knew James Whale much later in life. He and David were still living together, but their inti-



posed years later as an interpreta- Eva Moore and Gloria Stuart in THE OLD of them I think the thing that moved tion, Someone gives a Freudian inter- DARK HOUSE, rescued by Curtis Harrington.

mate relationship had ended quite some time before that. They apparently had lived together quite openly in Holly wood for a number of years, and I never heard any shocked rumors or whispers or anything, or noticed that anyone cared one way or the other about it That's not to say there wasn't some individuals who were homophobic. In fact, I've heard that Louis B. Mayer was very homophobic.

SS: Is that actually Whale's house in GODS AND MONSTERS?

CH: No I don t know whether it's a set or another location. The day that I spent w.th Ian McKellen, we went to the actual house together. Bill and his production people had arranged for the owners to let us go through it; partly, I was being brought there to og my memory. But the house-well, it's been totally redecorated and just seeing the shape of the rooms is not the same. There's just no James Whale touch left. The house had been lived in for a while by Goldie Hawn, and she did a completely new kitchen and that sort of thing. I said,

"Well, this house has been Goldie Hawned!" It in no way resembled the house that I remembered Whale had such distinctive taste. The other thing that is so incidentally interesting--it's the sort of thing that no one ever bothers to comment about—is that he had an enormous love for huge floral bouquets. There were always lots of them in his house.

SS: And his films!

CH: Particularly in films where he could really use them—like THE KISS BEFORE THE MIRROR or in REMEMBER LAST NIGHT? It's pure James Whale The style! It's just extraordinary and quite untypical of any other films. That's why I'm so gratified that his work is being rediscovered and appreciated at this point in time. One of the things I did recently was to go through some of my old film histories—Arthur Knight's The Liveliest Art and Lewis Jacobs' The Rise of the

American Film-and Whale's work is not even mentioned! Not even mentioned! They pay no attention to his films! No one saw anything remotely special about them!

SS: Which is impossible to imagine, really

CH It is

SS: Andrew Sarris placed Whale in a category headed "lightly likable;" ne doesn't recognize anything about these films that is

CH: Distinctive! And his films just reek of a personal touch which, from my point of view, is the only thing that makes any film interesting. I go to films to see the imprint of the personality of the director. I'm not interested in a film that's just well di

SS: What's your most vivid memory of James Whale? Or is that an impossible question?

CH: Impossible! There are too many me the most was a kindness he did in

Paris that I will never forget. When I went to Pans, I lived on a tiny—not even an allowance from my parents I'd get an occasional \$20 in the mail that my dear mother sent me. I was living in a little Left Bank hotel for a dollar a day and eating in the cheapest restaurants, but it was great and thrilling to be in Paris. Whale arrived and one night he took me out to dinner at a very posh restaurant, which I was not accustomed to going to and then afterwards, as we were saying good night, and I was going to my little Left Bank hotel and he was going to his posh hotel, he suddenly reached in his wallet and pulled out a hundred thousand franc notes, which was a lot of money to me. It wasn't much. It was like \$300. He thrust it in my hand, and he said, "Here. Take this. I think it's so wonderful that you have the courage and determination to have this experience, to come here and live here, and if this will help I want to give it to ' And that was just a gesture out of his heart. That was the kind of man he

CLASSIFIED WANT ADS DEAD OR ALIVE

Deadline: October 15, 1998, for Issue 31 (Nov. 1998). Basic Rate: 70 cents per word. Minimum: \$15.00*Bold face and/or full caps add 40 cents per word (80 cents for both). Display ad, 2¼ X 2¼, \$70. Payment: check or money order, payable to Scarlet Street Inc., must accompany ad. Mail to: Scarlet Street Inc., P.O. Box 604, Glen Rock, NJ 07452.

STONER'S MONSTER MAYHEM. Free Monsterabilia catalog! Richard Stoner 3207-1C, Dept. SS, Lansing, MI 48910; Phone/Fax: (517) 394-

Will pay very well for back issues of Little Shoppe of Horrors magazine, Write. Matheus Chaves Lardim, R. Niquelina 1357, 30.270.920 Box 4006, Belo Horizonte Minas, Gerais, Brazil.

Hundreds of rare and unusual videos for sale under \$10 each! Send SASE to James Smith, POB 1986, Nevada City, CA 95959

WANTED: SCARLET STREET AD-VERTISING SALES ASSISTANT. Commission and perks. Write: Richard Valley, PO Box 604, Glen Rock, NJ 07452. Phone: (201) 455 0034. Fax: (201) 455-1496 E-mail: Reditor@aol.

WANTED: PHOTOS OF ROBERT QUARRY on stage, especially his performance in LION IN WINTER. Contact. Kevin G. Shinnick, 222 Washington Place #2, Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

In Brixton Road, this morning, a plain gold wedding ring found in the roadway between the White Hart Tavern and Holland Grove Apply Dr. Watson, 221B, Baker Street, between eight and nine this evening

DAVID PEEL! Seeking interviews with family, friends, and coworkers of the late Hammer actor. Also biographical information, behindthe-scenes photographs, stage photos, BRIDES OF DRACULA pressbook. Richard Valley, PO Box 604, Glen Rock, NJ 07452

Want Fast Results?

Advertise in Scarlet Street!

QUALITY VIDEOS/LOW PRICES All titles \$11 postage paid! All genres, Horror/Gore/Exploitation/ Action/Cult etc. Over 5,000 titles to choose from! For cram-packed catalog send \$2 to L.M. Garnett, P.O. Box 58337, Seattle, WA 98138

ARTIST SEEKS PHOTO REF of Following Actors: Clifton Webb, Bona Colleano, Thelma Ritter, Steve Cochran, Nigel Green, Dan Duryea, Mary Mirrison, Johnny Constintine, and Steve Holland (50s TV's Flash Gordon and Bamas D Savage model). Gerry Dolan, 1551 11th Street, Santa Monica, Cal, 90401.

1,000,000 VIDEOMOVIES/SOUND-TRACK LPS! All genres! Video catalogue—\$1.00. Big descriptive—\$9.95. Soundtracks/Casts-\$1.00 RTS/CT, Box 93897, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193. http://www.rtsvideo.qpg.com/

CLIVE BARKER

Continued from page 32

when I looked at these abominations, that they were not really thinking this through. My models at that time were Terence Fisher and Cronenberg and Tobe Hooper-people who were making horror movies that really had some seriousness and guts to them. I thought, "I don't want to be involved in horror movies that are hokey, I want to make movies that genuinely do the job."

SS: So, if nothing else, they drove you to be come a filmmaker

CB: Yeah! They were absolutely responsible! (Laughs) Go do it yourself or shut the fuck up!

BOOK ENDS

Continued from page 71

While the basis framework is the set visit, many of the interviews collected here were conducted via phone. Although the interviews are sometimes separated by time or space, Warren creates the feeling of watching a work in progress, whether it be the invocation of a huge soundstage covered with thousands of gremlin puppets and their manipulators for GREMLINS 2. THE NEW BATCH (1990), or the mission impossible atmosphere of the John Landis location shoot in the mudst of a Pittsburgh blizzard for INNOCENT BLOOD (1992).

Best of all, however, are the unex pected tidbits of information or glimmers of character such as director Joe Dante remembering the exact moment during the preview of his EXPLORERS (1985) when he realized, "Uh, oh, this movie isn't gonna work " John Landis seems a bit self-satisfied with his own brashness, then shows another side to his personality when he hovers in the background as Robert Loggia is interviewed, waiting to see what Loggia would

say about him."

Bill Warren descrives the credit for these revealing moments, for they are the result of his interviewing technique, his fund of knowledge about movies, and his genuine enthusiasm for his topic. If he is sometimes less than objective, letting his awe of of Francis Ford Coppolaor his joy in the friendship of Joe Dante color his remarks, that only adds to the reader's pleasure. However, this brings us to the main weakness of the material, and it's a self-professed one. As Warren states in his intro, these are all publicity interviews "None of the participants in the movie is likely to reveal anything negative . . . " After 300 pages of sweetness, light, and mutual respect, one really yearns for someone to lean forward and whisper, "He really is a son of a bitch"

Nevertheless, Set Visits definitely deserves a space on any movie lover's book shelf

-Ken Schactman

SCREEN AND SCREEN

Continued from page 27

nice if [ill Banner was mentioned, as well. She died an untimely death in a 1981 automobile accident, according to the director's commentary.

Overall, this is an outstanding packge that significantly benefits from Jack Hill's involvement. The print (which Hill confesses to "borrowing" from the original locked-in-a-vault negative) is not without minor wear and tear. But this is the most faithful reproduction of the film that we can ever expect to acquire The fact that Image Entertainment has recently reduced the retail price to \$24.99 makes this a legitimate bargain for genre buffs.

-John F. Black





WE HAVE A HUGE SELECTION OF RESIN, VINYL AND PLASTIC KITS

Spumco Toys . Robots . Wind-Ups . Nightmare Before Christmas Dolls and PVC's . West Village Xena Headquarters • Rare Imported Toys Including Gorgi Die Cast •

Now carrying SOMETHING WEIRD videos from the 50's, 60's and 70's

Toys from the Collectable to the KITSCHY!

WORLD WIDE MAIL ORDER SERVICES

214 Sullivan St., (Bet Bleecker & West 3rd Sts) New York, NY 10012 (212) 777-2770 Fax: (212) 475-9727

> WEBSITE: http://www.villagecomics.com EMAIL: infosales@villagecomics.com



Percepto Records presents MONSTIRO PRINCIPAL DE LA COMPANION DE LA COMPANION

ORIGINAL MOTION PICTURE SOUNDTRACK



Reindeer comes the original soundtrack to Mad Monster Party. Experience the complete score by composer Maury Laws, released for the first time in over thirty years. Enjoy original songs with lyncs by Jules Bass, featuring the vocal talents of Boris Karioff, Phyllis Diller, Ethel Ennis and Gale Garnett.

This deluxe package also includes a 16 page color booklet detailing the making of the film by noted Rankin/Bass historian Rick Goldschmidt and includes dozens of never-before published photographs and concept drawings by Mad Magazine alumnus Jack Davis and Don Duga.

It's the coolest party of the year and you're all invited! \$16.95 in better record stores everywhere!

And, don't miss these Limited Edition Soundtracks from Film Score Monthly

Special

Our Silver Age Classics feature the original film music tracks, digitally remastered, with deluxe collector's booklets! Never before available commercially, these cool soundtracks are limited to just 3 000 copies each. Not available in stores—order yours today!

FANTASTIC VOYAGE


By Leonard Rosenman
THE POSEIDON ADVENTURE with

The Paper Chase By John Williams
STAGECOACH with The Loner

By Jerry Goldsmith \$19.95 each plus \$3.00 shipping per disc







Order direct at www.filmscoremonthly.com or send check/money order to FSM Marketplace, 5455 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1500, Los Angeles CA 90036-4201 For FASTEST SERVICE, fax your order with credit card info to 213-937-9277

The New York Times

GODS and MONSTERS

By JANET MASLIN

'Gods and Monsters' is an unalloyed success at the New York Film Festival, with a performance by Sir lan McKellen that richly deserves to be remembered at the end of the year Like John Hurt in 'Love and Death on Long Island' (giving a similarly spleadid performance, though in a narrower role), he works wonders with he character of a marvelously urbane English homosexual who becomes obsessed with an Adonis from a wildly different world. In this case it's Brendan Fraser, also impressive, as the hunk who mows the lawn.

It happens that the English gentlemen in both these films find themselves incongruously embroiled in American popular culture. This time, it's the still-grand Hollywood of 1957, the year the director James Whale, whom Mr McKellen plays, met his mysten ous death. It was Mr Whale who directed the famous Frankenstein movies. ("I just directed the first two," he points out fartly here, "The others were done by backs.") Over the course of a long career, he also painted, worked as an actor and made many other films (among them "The Invisible Man" and the original "Waterloo Bridge")

Taking off from Mr. Whale's complex nature and the unknown circumstances of his last days, the film adapts Christopher Bram's hypothetical novel about the filmmaker into an immensely touching character study that is heightened by well chosen glumpses of Hollywood's past. Written and directed by Bill Condon, who had his own fling with tongue-in-cheek horror when he made the oddball 'Strange Behavior' and "Strange Invadors," "Gods and Monsters" creates a deeply resonant portrait of Mr. Whale and the gay Hollywood of his era

One day, while having a marvelous time playing eat-and-mouse games with an overeager young interviewer visiting him at poolside (the Whale pool is used by its owner strictly as an instrument of seduction),



Anne Fishben/ Lions Gate Films
Ian McKellen, Ieft, and Brendan Fraser in Bill Condon's film "Gods and Monsters."

Whale succumbs to a small but debilitating stroke. He is physically unimpaired afterward, as coy and debonal as ever, but his mind has begun playing tricks. Sudden sensations and painful thoughts of the past begin to overwhelm him, in a manner that Mr Condon illustrates with haunting grace (Carter Burwell's beautifully mournful score enhances these moments.) At the same point in his life he sees a tempting opportunity when the gardener appears outside.

"Suppose we say phoney to the hedges!" Whale suggests to handsome Clayton Boone (Mr. Fraser). "Can you spate an hour after lunch to sit for me". Clayton, who proves a far more multidimensional figure than might be expected, is suspicious at first of Whale's desire to draw him, but the old slyboots does a dauntless job of getting under the young man's skin

Mr Condon is at his most impressive when weaving their peculiar bond into the spirit of the Frankenstein movies, something he does with tenderness and insight An inspired section of the film finds all of its principals watching "The Bride of Frankenste n" on television and responding to it in revealing ways

What's more, Mr Condon segues defity through incompry into the movie making process itself. Here and elsewhere, real Hollywood figures (Elsa Lanchester, Boris Karloff) are ably impersonated Particular attention is paid to George Cukor, a target for Whate's cattinoss and an emblematic figure in the closeted gay subculture of his time.

With a cast that also includes Lohta Davidovich as Clayton's occasional girl-friend and Lynn Redgrave, warmly attentive and unrecognizably plain as Whale's devoted housekeeper, "Gods and Monsters" has been capably made in all regards. What especially clevates it is the razor-sharp cleverness of Mr. McKellen's performance, which brings unusual fullness and feeling to a most unusual man.



EXCLUSIVE DETROIT AREA ENGAGEMENT
COMING IN DECEMBER




"THE BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN"-A Universal Picture





"THE BRIDE OF FRANKENSTEIN"-A Universal Picture

PRINTED IN U.S.A
















UNIVER WEEKLY





